

Juri Lienert

**The Sustainable Use of Non-Timber
Forest Products in the Context
of Rural Western Nepal**

Merging Capabilities and Livelihoods

Verlag Dr. Kovač

**Hamburg
2017**

Contents

1 Problem Statement, Goals, and Structure of the Thesis	17
2 Positioning of the Research Context.....	27
2.1 The Role of NTFPs and MAPs for Livelihood and Poverty Reduction	28
2.2 Research on NTFPs and MAPs	30
2.3 Analyzing Sustainability Issues	34
2.4 Frameworks of Sustainability Research Addressing Human-Nature Interaction	35
2.5 Well-Being and the Assessment of Sustainable Development	39
2.6 Livelihoods.....	42
2.7 Governance.....	44
2.8 The International Context of Sustainable Use of Biological Resources	47
2.8.1 The Convention on Biological Diversity	47
2.8.2 Access and Benefit Sharing (ABS)	48
2.8.3 The BioTrade Initiative	49
2.9 Interim Results.....	50
3 The Nepali Context.....	53
3.1 Nepal's Sustainable Development Issues and the Specific Topic of NTFPs	53
3.2 Nepal's Diverse Society	55
3.3 The Livelihood Context in Nepal.....	56
3.4 Biodiversity in Nepal	57
3.5 NTFPs and MAPS in Nepal	59
3.6 Nepal's Trade Situation.....	60
3.7 Nepal's Forest Management	61
3.8 Nepal's ABS and BioTrade Situation	62
3.8.1 Governance in Nepal regarding the CBD	62
3.8.2 ABS in Nepal.....	63
3.8.3 Sustainable Trade of Biological Resources and the BioTrade Initiative.....	63
3.8.4 Value Chains of NTFPs and MAPs.....	63
3.9 Preliminary Conclusion.....	64

4 Conceptual Part.....	67
4.1 The Capability Approach.....	69
4.1.1 Basic and Core Understandings	69
4.1.2 The Role of Freedom.....	70
4.1.3 The Role of Agency.....	72
4.1.4 Institutional Components within the CA.....	73
4.1.5 The Use of the Capability Approach in Different Research Fields	75
4.2 Robeyns' Capability Framework.....	77
4.3 In Search of the Missing Framework Components–Answers within the Capability Approach	81
4.4 The Social Context in the CA	82
4.4.1 Collective Capabilities	82
4.4.2 Significance of Agency–The Individual and the Collective Sphere	83
4.4.3 The Meaning of Collective Action and Self-Help	84
4.4.4 Participation and the Importance of Groups in the CA.....	86
4.4.5 The Intrinsic Value of Social Structures in the CA.....	88
4.4.6 Institutional Aspects and Structures in the CA	91
4.4.7 The Capability Approach and Culture	92
4.4.8 Conclusion of the Social Context within the CA	93
4.5 The Environmental Dimension of the Capability Approach.....	94
4.5.1 Conclusion of the Environmental Dimension within the CA	97
4.6 Goods and Services in the CA.....	98
4.7 Conclusion: A Capabilities Based Framework: Filling the Framework's Black Box	100
4.8 The Livelihoods Perspective	105
4.8.1 The Sustainable Livelihoods Approach	106
4.8.2 The Sustainable Livelihoods Framework–Core Concept and Principles	108
4.8.3 Why Choose the SLA for Merging with the CA-Framework?.....	112
4.9 Merging the Frameworks.....	114
5 Operationalizing the Framework	119
5.1 Operationalization of the Framework.....	119
5.1.1 The Evaluative Role of the CA –Measuring Well-Being with Capabilities	120

5.1.2 Measuring in the CA–Capabilities and Functionings	121
5.1.3 Sen’s idea of Measuring Human well-being	122
5.1.4 Nussbaum’s List of Central Capabilities	124
5.1.5 Empirical Application of Nussbaum’s List of Central Capabilities–An Example	127
5.1.6 Which Dimensions Matter–Alkire’s Method of Selecting Poverty Dimensions	128
5.1.7 Using a Mixed-Method Approach in Capabilities Research– Own Experiences	131
5.1.8 Preliminary Conclusion regarding Capabilities	132
5.2 The SLA and its Use in an Empirical Setting	134
5.2.1 The Role of the SLA-Components: Operationalization	135
5.2.2 Assets	135
5.2.3 Livelihood Strategies	137
5.2.4 The Structural Environment and the Notion of Institutions, Power and Politics	139
5.2.5 Capabilities	141
5.2.6 Sustainability	142
5.2.7 Conclusion: The Operationalized Livelihoods Framework	143
5.3 Operationalization of the Merged Framework	144
6 Methods	147
6.1 A Qualitative Approach	148
6.1.1 Integration of Quantitative Studies	149
6.1.2 General Approach of the Case Study Design	150
6.1.3 Sampling within the Case Study	151
6.2 The Data Collection Process	153
6.2.1 Qualitative In-depth-Interviews	153
6.2.2 Quantitative Survey-Interviews	154
6.2.3 Stakeholder Interviews	154
6.2.4 Key Informant Interviews	155
6.2.5 Focus Groups	155
6.3 General Interview Situations	156
6.4 Data Analysis	157
6.4.1 Qualitative Content Analysis	158
6.4.2 The Process of Qualitative Content Analysis	158
6.4.3 Content Analytical Units	162

6.4.4 Theory-Driven Derivation of Main Categories.....	162
6.4.5 Formulation of Definitions, Anchor Examples and Coding Rules.....	165
7 Case Studies on Valuation of Biological Resources in Nepal.....	175
7.1 Case Study One: Valuing NTFPs and MAPs in Rural	
Western Nepal.....	176
7.1.1 Introduction	176
7.1.2 Fieldtrip Conditions.....	177
7.1.3 Context Conditions.....	178
7.1.4 Livelihood Assets	182
7.1.5 Capabilities and Functionings	191
7.1.6 Livelihood Strategies.....	197
7.1.7 Reciprocal Interaction and Feed-Back Loops	202
7.1.8 Prospective Capital Assets, Capabilities and Livelihood Strategies	204
7.2 Case Study Two: The Chiuree Tree and its Products in Surkhet,	
Mid-Western Nepal.....	206
7.2.1 The Product	206
7.2.2 Explication of the Research Approach.....	208
7.2.3 The Case Study Area	209
7.2.4 Institutional Frame Conditions.....	209
7.2.5 Case Study Sites, Sampling, Interviews and Socio-Economic Data.....	210
7.2.6 Livelihood Assets	212
7.2.7 Capabilities and Functionings	222
7.2.8 Livelihood Activity Portfolio	223
7.2.9 Reciprocal Interactions and Feedback loops.....	225
7.2.10 Prospective Livelihood Assets, Capabilities and Livelihood Activities.....	226
8 Conclusion	229
8.1 Discussion of the Results	229
8.1.1 Case Study One	229
8.1.2 Case Study Two.....	231
8.2 Interpretation of the Results.....	233
8.3 Practical Recommendations	237
8.4 Theoretical Appraisal	237

8.5 Conceptual Appraisal	240
8.6 Limitations and Final Outlook	241
9 Reference List	245