WTO Retaliation

Effectiveness and Purposes

Michelle Limenta



Table of Contents

		rd		
		vledgements		
		Tables and Figures		
Lis	st of 1	Abbreviations	xvii	
1.	0	erview	1	
1.			, 1	
	I.	Introduction to WTO Dispute Settlement: The Best		
		Vote of Confidence for the Multilateral Trading System	1	
		A. Consultations		
		B. Adjudication by Panels		
		C. The Implementation of Rulings	4	
	II.	Problems Presented: Retaliation, a Flaw in the	_	
		Successful System?	5	
		A. First Concern: The (In)effectiveness of	_	
		WTO Retaliation	6	
		B. Second Concern: Debates Regarding the Purpose(s)		
		of WTO Retaliation		
		i. Inducing Compliance	8	
		ii. A Means of Obtaining Some Form of		
		Temporary Compensation	9	
		iii. Rebalancing	10	
		iv. 'To Deter Inefficient Breach but to Encourage		
		Efficient Breach'		
	III.	WTO Law in Relation to Other Legal Systems		
		A. WTO Law in Relation to Public International Law	11	
		i. WTO Remedies and Public International Law		
		Remedies: Inclusive or Exclusive		
		From the System?	12	
		B. WTO Law in Relation to Contract		
	IV.	The Objective and Plan of the Book	15	
2.	Reta	aliation in the Multilateral Trading System	17	
	Overview			
	I.	Temporary Remedies in the DSU	18	
		A. Compensation in GATT/WTO Dispute Settlement	19	
		B. What is 'Retaliation' in the Context of the		
		Multilateral Trading System?	22	

x Table of Contents

	II.	Lav	w to Retaliate Under GATT and				
		W.	ΓΟ Dispute Settlement	23			
		A.	Retaliation Cases in GATT Dispute Settlement	23			
		В.	Substantive Rules of GATT Retaliation	24			
		C.	Substantive Rules of WTO Retaliation				
			i. The Basic Elements of WTO Retaliation in the				
			Multilateral DSU Framework	2.6			
			ii. Three Principles and Calculation Methods of				
			Retaliation in the DSU	31			
			iii. Countermeasures Under the SCM Agreement				
	III.	Ret	taliation in Regional Trade Agreements				
		mar	y	48			
3.			nings of WTO Retaliation and Reform Proposals				
			V	49			
	I.		e Shortcomings and Problems Inherent in				
		WI	O Retaliation	 50			
		A.	'Shooting [Oneself] in the Foot'				
		В.	Contrary to the Basic Principle of the WTO	52			
		C.	Imposing an Inappropriate Burden on				
			Innocent Industries	53			
		D.	Lack of Inducement Power for the Measures				
			that Have Strong Domestic Political Support	54			
		E.	Continued Sanctions	55			
		F.	Lack of Retaliating Capacity for Small Developing				
			Countries and Least-Developed Countries	56			
	II.	Pro	posals to Enhance WTO Retaliation and the Criticisms	58			
		A.	Collective Retaliation	60			
		В.	Transferrable Retaliatory Rights	63			
		C.	Financial/Monetary Compensation	64			
		D.	Compulsory Compensation	66			
		E.	Automatic Application of Cross-Retaliation				
		F.	Retroactive Remedies				
	Sum	mar	y				
1							
١.	Purp	osec	l-based Approach in Evaluating Effectiveness	70			
	Overview						
	I.		mpliance, Implementation, Effectiveness and	-71			
			pose-based Approach	/ 1			
		A.	The Distinction Between Implementation,				
		n	Compliance and Effectiveness	71			
		B.	A Purpose-based Approach to Effectiveness	73			
		C.	The Importance of Identifying the Purpose of				
			Retaliation and the Uncertainty on the Purpose(s)				
			of WTO Retaliation	75			

	II.	De	bates	Regarding the Purpose of Retaliation	77
		A.	The	Purpose of Retaliation: Inducing	
			Co	mpliance vs Rebalancing	78
			i.	Inducing Compliance	
			ii.	Rebalancing	
	Sun	ımar	y	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	83
5.	Log	م اه	to	in Searching for the Purposes of Retaliation	0.4
٥.				in searching for the Purposes of Retallation	
	I.			est: Reference to Remedies Under the ILC	04
	1.	rticles on State Responsibility	0.5		
		A.	ni Ai	nedies Under the ILC Draft Articles	03
		A.	i.	Cessation and Non-Repetition	
			ii.	Reparation	
			iii.	Restitution	
			iv.	Compensation	
				Satisfaction	
			V.	Countermeasures	
		D	vi.		09
		В.		ntracting Out of Remedies Under	00
		0		re Responsibilityerence to the ILC Draft Articles in Determining	50
		C.			
			Con	Purpose of WTO Retaliation in Brazil—Aircraft:	02
	TT	nd or Unsound Approach?	93		
	II.	зес	ona v	Quest: Reference to Contract Remedies from	0.4
			v and	Economics Perspective	74
		A.	Pro	perty or Liability Rules and Their Relevance	05
				WTO Law	93
			i.	Property Rules	96
		ъ	ii.	Liability Rules	9/
		В.	Eva	luating WTO Entitlements from the Perspective	00
				Protection RulesThe Debate over Protection Rules of WTO	98
			i.	The Departe over Protection Rules of W10	00
				Entitlements: Property or Liability Rules	>>
			ii.	WTO Law Accommodates Some Amount of	
				Intra-Contractual Flexibility, Yet WTO	100
			•••	Entitlements are Protected by a Property Rule	100
			iii.	WTO Enforcement in the Context of	101
	***	ned .	1.0	Property Rules Protection	101
	III.	Thi	rd Q	uest: Article 22.6 Arbitrators' Statements	102
			h Ke	gard to the Purpose of Retaliation	103
		A.	The	Purpose of 'Inducing Compliance' with aivalent' Level Requirement	102
		ъ			103
		В.		Purpose of 'Inducing Compliance' with	105
			'Ap	propriate' Level Requirement	105

		C.	Inducing Compliance is 'Not the Only
			Purpose' Pursued by Retaliation106
	IV.	Fou	orth Quest: Interpretation of Article 22 of the DSU
		in A	Accordance with the Customary Rules of Interpretation
		to (Clarify the Purposes of WTO Retaliation107
		A.	The Customary Rules of Interpretation108
			i. Good Faith108
			ii. Ordinary Meaning109
			iii. The Context, and the Object and Purpose
			of a Treaty
			iv. Relevant Rules of International Law110
			v. Supplementary Means of Interpretation
		В.	Interpretation of Article 22 of the DSU in Accordance
		ъ.	with the Customary Rules of Interpretation
			i. Contracted In: The Customary Rules of
			Interpretation
			ii. The Multiple Purposes Identified from the
			Text of Article 22 of the DSU113
			iii. Multiple Purposes Carried Out in the Context
			of Article 22 of the DSU114
			iv. Multiple Purposes in the Light of the Object
			and Purpose of WTO Dispute Settlement
			v. The Assessment of Remedies Provisions Under
			the ITO Charter, the GATT 1947 and the
			Uruguay Round Draft Texts as Supplementary
			Means of Interpretation
	Sum	mary	7
6.	Reta	liatio	on to Induce an Amicable Settlement as Another
			ng Purpose and the Effectiveness of WTO Retaliation 126
			7
	I.		icable Settlements in the Multilateral Trading System 127
	•	Α.	A Brief Historical Context of Amicable
			Settlements in the GATT Practice
		В.	Amicable Settlement in WTO Dispute Settlement
		ъ.	i. Notification Obligation of MAS
			ii. Consistent with Covered Agreement
		C.	Amicable Settlements at Non-Implementation
		C.	Level Induced by Retaliation
			i. 'Greater Market Access' Reached in
			EC—Hormones
			ii. 'Cash Payments' in US—Upland Cotton
			iii. 'GSP Facilitation' Reached in US—Clove
			Cigarettes137