

Mrinalini Kochupillai

# Promoting Sustainable Innovations in Plant Varieties

# Contents

<b>1 An Economic &amp; Philosophical Introduction to ‘Sustainable Innovations’ in Plant Varieties</b> . . . . .	<b>1</b>
1.1 <i>Shiva</i> : A Symbol of ‘Sustainable Innovation’ . . . . .	1
1.2 <i>Shiva</i> to Schumpeter: Revisiting ‘Creative Destruction’ . . . . .	5
1.2.1 <i>Shiva</i> , Sombart & Schumpeter: Identifying the Differences . . . . .	8
1.2.2 The Sustainability of ‘Creative Destruction’ . . . . .	9
1.3 ‘Creative Destruction’ in the Agricultural Seeds Sector . . . . .	11
1.4 The Organization of This Book . . . . .	15
1.5 A Note on Methodology . . . . .	17
<b>2 The International Legal Framework for the Protection of Plant Varieties and Agrobiodiversity</b> . . . . .	<b>19</b>
2.1 The International Legal Framework for the Protection of Plant Varieties: The Background Leading to the Research Goal . . . . .	19
2.1.1 The UPOV Texts . . . . .	21
2.1.2 The CBD and the ITPGRFA . . . . .	27
2.1.3 Sustainable Innovation: What and Why . . . . .	36
2.1.4 Why In Situ Agrobiodiversity Conservation? . . . . .	40
2.2 The Background Leading to the Delimited Research Objectives (Scope of the Study) . . . . .	41
2.2.1 Why Intellectual Property Protection Regimes? . . . . .	41
2.2.2 Why India? . . . . .	42
2.2.3 The Informal Seed Sector: What and Why? . . . . .	44
2.2.4 Why the Pulses Sector . . . . .	45
<b>3 Plant Breeding &amp; Seed Improvement: Then &amp; Now</b> . . . . .	<b>49</b>
3.1 Traditional ( <i>In Situ</i> ) Agriculture & Associated Socio-Cultural Practices: Historical and Scientific Perspectives . . . . .	50
3.1.1 On-Farm Crop Improvement and In Situ Evolution of Agrobiodiversity . . . . .	51

3.1.2	Traditional Agriculture and Associated Scientific and Socio-Cultural Practices . . . . .	55
3.2	The Evolution of Formal ( <i>Ex Situ</i> ) Crop Improvement and Plant Breeding . . . . .	57
3.2.1	Mendelian Genetics and the Creation of Hybrids . . . . .	59
3.2.1.1	The Economics of Hybrid Seeds . . . . .	62
3.2.2	Self-Pollinating Varieties and Male Sterile Lines . . . . .	63
3.2.3	Terminator and Traitor Technologies . . . . .	66
3.2.4	Genetically Modified (GM) Seeds: Bt and Roundup® Ready Technology . . . . .	68
3.2.5	The Sustainability of 'Modern' Agriculture . . . . .	72
3.2.5.1	The Impact of Modern Plant Breeding on Genetic Variability and Genetic Diversity . . . . .	73
3.3	Chapter Conclusions . . . . .	75
<b>4</b>	<b>The Indian Protection of Plant Varieties &amp; Farmers Rights Act 2001: A Critical Overview . . . . .</b>	<b>79</b>
4.1	Indian Agriculture and Associated Agricultural Policies: History and Current Status . . . . .	81
4.1.1	History of Agriculture and Agricultural Policies in India . . . . .	81
4.1.1.1	Agricultural R&D in Pre-independence India . . . . .	82
4.1.1.2	Agricultural Policies and 5-Year Plans in Independent India: An Overview . . . . .	84
4.1.1.3	Green Revolution and HYV Seeds in India . . . . .	86
4.1.1.4	Seed Act, 1966 and the Seed Review Team Report, 1968 . . . . .	91
4.1.1.5	The 5 Year Plans of India and Recent Trends . . . . .	94
4.1.2	Background of the Indian PPV&FR Act . . . . .	97
4.1.2.1	Agriculture in India: The Formal Seed Sector . . . . .	97
4.1.2.2	Agriculture in India: The Informal Seed Sector . . . . .	100
4.2	The Indian PPV&FR Act: Objects and Purpose . . . . .	102
4.3	PPV&FR Act in Practice: Understanding Key Provisions . . . . .	105
4.3.1	The 'Parental Lines' Case . . . . .	105
4.3.1.1	Background: The Indian Seed Industry & Its Parental Lines . . . . .	105
4.3.1.2	Background: Protecting Extant Varieties . . . . .	107
4.3.1.3	Registration of Extant Varieties . . . . .	107
4.3.1.4	Facts and Decision in the 'Parental Lines' Case . . . . .	109
4.3.1.4.1	Novel . . . . .	111
4.3.1.4.2	Extant . . . . .	113
4.3.2	The 'HMT' Controversy . . . . .	113
4.3.2.1	Background . . . . .	113

4.3.2.2	Facts and Analysis Under the PPV&FR Act . . .	114
4.3.2.2.1	Researcher's Rights . . . . .	116
4.3.2.2.2	Essentially Derived Variety . . . . .	117
4.3.2.2.3	Rights of a Farmer's Variety Registrant . . . . .	118
4.3.2.2.4	Cancellation of Registration . . . . .	121
4.4	Plant Variety Protection Application Trends in India (2007–2013) . . . . .	123
4.4.1	Coding the Indian Plant Variety Application Data . . . . .	123
4.4.1.1	Explanation of Data Fields . . . . .	124
4.4.1.2	Variables Used for Data Analysis . . . . .	126
4.4.2	Plant Variety Application Data: Description and Analysis . . . . .	127
4.4.2.1	Overview of Trends: Applications for Plant Variety Protection in India . . . . .	129
4.4.2.1.1	Top 10 Most-Popular Species . . . . .	130
4.4.2.1.2	Top 10 Applicants (Table 4.5) . . . . .	132
4.4.2.2	Application Category by Applicant and Crops . . . . .	133
4.4.2.2.1	Application Category by Applicant . . . . .	133
4.4.2.2.2	Application Category by Crop . . . . .	133
4.4.2.3	Applications by Crop Pollination and Applicant . . . . .	134
4.4.2.4	Applications by Applicant Category and Crop . . . . .	135
4.4.2.5	Applications by Applicant Category and Crops . . . . .	136
4.4.2.5.1	A Closer Look at Private Sector Applications . . . . .	136
4.4.2.5.2	A Closer Look at Public Sector Applications . . . . .	139
4.4.2.5.3	A Closer Look at Farmers Variety Applications . . . . .	141
4.5	Chapter Conclusions and Overview of Findings . . . . .	142
4.5.1	Adoption of Non-sustainable Agricultural Policies . . . . .	142
4.5.2	Adoption of Divergent Goals in Enacted Laws and Policies . . . . .	143
4.5.3	The Scheme of the Indian Act . . . . .	143
4.5.4	Incentives for Breeders (Formal Seed Sector) . . . . .	144
4.5.5	Incentives for Farmers (Informal Seed Sector) . . . . .	145
4.5.6	Balancing the Incentive Structure . . . . .	145
5	<b>Data Coding, Interpretation and Analysis . . . . .</b>	<b>149</b>
5.1	Private Sector Surveys & Interviews: Findings & Analysis . . . . .	150
5.1.1	Responses to Research Questions (For List of Questions, See Annex 6) . . . . .	151

5.1.1.1	Research Question 1: What Propels a Private Sector Seed Company to Enter a Market That Does Not Grant IP Protection to Plant Varieties? . . . . .	151
5.1.1.2	Research Question 2: What Is the Current Research Focus of the Indian Seed Industry? . . . . .	152
5.1.1.3	Research Question 3a: Pulses R&D, Production and Distribution Trends Among the Private Sector Seed Industry of India . . . . .	155
5.1.1.4	Research Question 3b: Which Factors Influence (or Would Influence) the Private Sector's Interest (in the Present/Future) in Pursuing R&D in Pulses Seeds/Crops (Especially Those That Are Self-Pollinating and for Which No Male Sterile Lines Have So Far Been Identified) . . . . .	156
5.1.2	Miscellaneous Comments . . . . .	160
5.1.2.1	Importance of Farmers' Right to Save, Exchange and Resow Seeds . . . . .	160
5.1.2.2	Farmers' Varieties in Public Domain . . . . .	160
5.1.3	Section Conclusions . . . . .	161
5.2	Farmer Surveys . . . . .	163
5.2.1	Farmer Cultivation Choices/Preferences and Underlying Rationale . . . . .	163
5.2.1.1	Research Question(s) Addressed . . . . .	163
5.2.1.2	Testing Hypothesis A.1: Cultivation Trends and Cultivation of Pulses Versus Non-Pulses Crops in the Surveyed Districts . . . . .	165
5.2.1.3	Testing Hypothesis A.2: Preferred Crops and Pulses Cultivation Trends in the Surveyed Districts . . . . .	166
5.2.1.4	Testing Hypothesis A.3: Comparing Profit as a Cultivation Choice Rationale in the Surveyed Districts . . . . .	167
5.2.1.4.1	Categorizing and Coding Reasons for Cultivation Choices/Preferences . . . . .	168
5.2.1.4.2	Coding Rationale and Presumptions . . . . .	169
5.2.1.4.3	Response Frequency Under Each CC Category . . . . .	171
5.2.1.4.4	Test of Proportions (Prtest) on the Cultivation Choice Categories . . . . .	172
5.2.1.4.5	Test of Proportions (Prtest) on Dominant Cultivation Choice Rationale Categories by District on Individual Seed Types . . . . .	175

5.2.1.4.6	Test of Proportions (Prtest) on Cultivation Choice Categories by Cultivated Land Area . . . . .	176
5.2.1.5	Section Conclusions & Analysis: Cultivation Choice Rationales—An Indication of Market Failure? . . . . .	177
5.2.2	Farmer Seed Replacement and In Situ Conservation Practices . . . . .	179
5.2.2.1	Research Question(s) Addressed . . . . .	179
5.2.2.2	Hypotheses: Set 1 . . . . .	181
5.2.2.3	Understanding the Variables and Their Inter-Relationship . . . . .	182
5.2.2.4	Testing Hypotheses: Set 1 . . . . .	184
5.2.2.4.1	Hypothesis B.1: Average Seed Replacement Trends in Pulses Versus Preferred Crops . . . . .	184
5.2.2.4.2	Testing Hypothesis B.2 & B.3: Average Seed Replacement Values for Pulses and Preferred Crops in Each of the Surveyed Districts . . .	184
5.2.2.4.3	Testing Hypothesis B.4: Average Preferred Crop Seed Replacement Values in the Surveyed Districts . . .	185
5.2.2.4.4	Testing Hypothesis B.5: Average Pulses Crop Seed Replacement Rates in the Surveyed Districts . . . .	186
5.2.2.4.5	Sub-Section Conclusions (Combining Quantitative and Qualitative Findings) . . . . .	187
5.2.2.5	Hypotheses: Set 2 . . . . .	189
5.2.2.5.1	Understanding the Variables and Their Inter-Relationship in the Data-Set . . .	192
5.2.2.5.2	Testing Hypothesis B.6 . . . . .	193
5.2.2.5.3	Testing Hypothesis B.7 and B.8 . . .	193
5.2.2.5.4	Testing Hypothesis B.9 . . . . .	194
5.2.2.5.5	Testing Hypothesis B.10 . . . . .	195
5.2.2.5.6	Testing Hypothesis B.11 and B.12 . . .	195
5.2.2.5.7	Testing Hypothesis B.13 and B.14 . . .	196
5.2.2.5.8	Sub-Section Conclusions . . . . .	197
5.2.3	Factors Affecting Seed Replacement & Conservation: Linear and Probit Regressions . . . . .	199
5.2.3.1	Research Question(s) Addressed . . . . .	199
5.2.3.2	Hypotheses: Identifying Independent Variables That Might Affect the Dependent Variable 'Conservation' . . . . .	200

5.2.3.3	Testing the Hypotheses: Linear Versus Probit Regressions Models . . . . .	202
5.2.3.3.1	Linear Regression Results . . . . .	202
5.2.3.3.2	Probit Regression Results . . . . .	203
5.2.3.3.3	Interpreting the Regression Results . . . . .	204
5.2.3.4	Sub-Section Conclusions and Analysis . . . . .	206
5.2.4	Socio-Cultural Practices in the Surveyed Districts . . . . .	208
5.2.4.1	Current Seed Exchange Practices . . . . .	209
5.2.4.2	Opinion on Willingness to Share and to Stop the Practice of Sharing/Seed Exchange . . . . .	210
5.2.4.2.1	Responses to Question 1: Rewards Versus Exclusive Rights . . . . .	211
5.2.4.2.2	Response to Question 2: Willingness to Exchange/Share Improved Seeds . . . . .	211
5.2.4.2.3	Response to Questions 3 and 4: Willingness to Share with the Government and the Private Sector . . . . .	213
5.2.4.3	Sub-Section Conclusions and Analysis . . . . .	214
5.2.5	Evidence of Farmer-Level Innovations and Village Level In Situ Agrobiodiversity Conservation . . . . .	215
5.3	Chapter Conclusions (Summary of Key Findings) . . . . .	217
<b>6</b>	<b>Addressing Market Failures for Sustainable Innovations in Plant Varieties . . . . .</b>	<b>219</b>
6.1	Schumpeter and the ‘Value-Neutrality’ of Modern IP Regimes . . . . .	219
6.2	Market Failure and IP Protection . . . . .	223
6.2.1	‘Market Failure’ as a Justification for IPRs . . . . .	224
6.2.2	‘Market Failure’ as a Justification for Limiting IP Rights . . . . .	227
6.2.3	IP Law and ‘Missing Markets’ . . . . .	228
<b>7</b>	<b>Conclusions &amp; Recommendations: Leveling the Incentives Landscape to Promote Sustainable Innovations in Plant Varieties . . . . .</b>	<b>231</b>
7.1	The Need to Level the Landscape of Incentives: Overview of Research Findings . . . . .	232
7.1.1	Incentives Skewed Heavily in Favor of Formal Plant Breeding . . . . .	232
7.1.2	Addressing Government Policies That Act as ‘Perverse Incentives’ . . . . .	233
7.1.3	The Indian Law Is a Good Attempt at Balancing Divergent Interests . . . . .	235
7.1.4	‘Creative Destruction’ Is Not the Observed Phenomenon vis-à-vis Innovations in the Agricultural Seeds Sector . . . . .	236

7.2	Leveling the Incentives Landscape: Adopting Measure Both ‘Internal’ and ‘External’ to IP Laws and Policies . . . . .	238
7.2.1	Establishing Checks and Balances Within the IP Regime for the Protection of Plant Varieties . . . . .	240
7.2.1.1	Tweaking the Indian Plant Variety Protection Law . . . . .	240
7.2.1.1.1	Modifying the Researcher’s Rights Exemption . . . . .	240
7.2.1.1.2	Expanding the Circumstances in Which Farmers Can Claim Compensation for Improving a Variety . . . . .	241
7.2.1.2	Amending UPOV While Retaining the Flexibilities Under Article 27.3(b) of TRIPs . . . . .	242
7.2.2	Complementing Internal Checks Through External Means . . . . .	242
7.2.2.1	Imposing Pigouvian Taxes for Soil Pollution and Agrobiodiversity Erosion . . . . .	243
7.2.2.2	Support Work of Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs) . . . . .	244
7.2.2.3	Supporting Private Sector Efforts That Encourage Agrobiodiversity Conservation . . . . .	245
7.2.2.4	Crop Insurance . . . . .	245
7.2.2.5	Promoting Formal R&D into Landraces and Means of Increasing Yield of Landraces Using Sustainable Eco-Friendly Methods . . . . .	245
7.3	The Way Forward . . . . .	246
7.3.1	Implementing ‘Soft Law’ Contained in International Agreements . . . . .	246
7.3.2	‘Fundamental Extension in Morality’ as a Means of Promoting Sustainable Innovations in Plant Varieties . . . . .	247
<b>Annex 1: Research Methodology—Overview of Research Methodology and Objectives . . . . .</b>		<b>249</b>
1.1	Mixed-Methods Research Approach . . . . .	249
1.1.1	Partially Mixed, Concurrent and Sequential, Equal Status Design . . . . .	253
1.1.2	Qualitative and Quantitative Research Designs . . . . .	254
1.1.3	Sampling Design . . . . .	256
1.1.4	Mixing Rationale and Purpose . . . . .	259
1.2	Designing and Executing a Mixed-Method Research . . . . .	261
1.2.1	The Research Goal . . . . .	263
1.2.2	The Research Objective . . . . .	263
1.2.3	Research Questions . . . . .	264
<b>Annex 2: Total Applications Filed by Species (2007–2014) . . . . .</b>		<b>269</b>



<b>Annex 3: Crop Species Notified Under the PPV&amp;FR Act, 2001 . . . . .</b>	<b>271</b>
<b>Annex 4: Variables Used for Data Analysis—Survey Questions, Response Sets and Coding . . . . .</b>	<b>275</b>
4.1 Table 1: Name and Description of the Variables Used (Hypothesis A.1 – A.3, B.1 – B.12) . . . . .	275
4.2 Table 2: First Level of Coding for Reasons for Cultivating Preferred/Pulses Crops . . . . .	277
4.3 Table 3: Variables Used for Probit and Linear Regressions . . . . .	280
<b>Annex 5: Explanation of Variables Used in the Hypotheses . . . . .</b>	<b>283</b>
<b>Annex 6: Private Sector &amp; Farmer Surveys: Scope, Structure, Methodology &amp; Implementation . . . . .</b>	<b>285</b>
6.1 Identifying the Questions for Qualitative and Quantitative Empirical Investigations . . . . .	285
6.1.1 Questions Guiding the Structure and Content of the Private Sector Survey/Interviews . . . . .	286
6.1.2 Questions Guiding the Content and Structure of the Farmer Survey/Interviews . . . . .	288
6.2 Private Sector Surveys: Scope, Methodology, Structure and Implementation . . . . .	292
6.2.1 The Private Sector Survey: Scope and Methodology . . . . .	292
6.2.1.1 Scope . . . . .	292
6.2.1.2 Methodology . . . . .	294
6.2.2 The Private Sector Survey: Structure and Content . . . . .	295
6.2.2.1 Profile of Companies . . . . .	296
6.2.2.2 Seed R&D Portfolio and Pulses Seeds R&D Portfolio . . . . .	296
6.2.2.3 Seed Production and Pulses Seeds Production Portfolio . . . . .	296
6.2.2.4 Seed Distribution Portfolio . . . . .	297
6.2.2.5 Intellectual Property Protection Portfolio . . . . .	297
6.2.2.6 General Opinions About Intellectual Property . . . . .	297
6.2.2.7 Miscellaneous Opinions and Permissions . . . . .	297
6.2.2.8 Pre-test . . . . .	297
6.2.3 Creating a Master List of Seed Companies in India & Implementing the Survey . . . . .	298
6.2.4 Supplementary Interviews . . . . .	300
6.3 Farmer Surveys: Methodology, Structure & Implementation . . . . .	300
6.3.1 The Farmer Survey: Scope and Methodology . . . . .	300
6.3.1.1 Scope . . . . .	300
6.3.1.2 Methodology . . . . .	301

6.3.2	The Farmer (Informal Sector) Survey: Structure and Content . . . . .	302
6.3.2.1	Direct and Indirect Factors Affecting Cultivation and Innovation . . . . .	302
6.3.2.2	Pulses Crops v. Preferred Crops . . . . .	304
6.3.2.3	The Key Questions Included in the Survey and Their Underlying Rationale . . . . .	305
6.3.3	Identifying Pre-Test and Test Locations . . . . .	309
6.3.3.1	Expert Views . . . . .	310
6.3.3.2	Pre-tests . . . . .	311
6.3.3.3	Final Test Locations . . . . .	313
6.3.4	Sampling . . . . .	313
6.3.4.1	Collected Sample Size . . . . .	315
6.3.4.2	Collecting Qualitative Data Among Farmers . . .	315
6.3.5	Coding and Arrangement of Data for Analysis . . . . .	316
<b>Annex 7: Farmer Survey: Chhattisgarh &amp; Madhya Pradesh</b> <b>(Translated from Hindi by the Author) . . . . .</b>		<b>317</b>
<b>Bibliography . . . . .</b>		<b>325</b>