

DERA Rohstoffinformationen

Certifying Natural Resources – A Comparative Study on Global Standards and Certification Schemes for Sustainability

Part II – Empirical Assessment of Case Studies

Hannover, March 2012

CONTENTS

Background	5
Acronyms	7
1 The Forest Stewardship Council (FSC)	19
1.1 General aspects of the FSC	19
1.1.1 Actors involved in founding the FSC	19
1.1.2 Membership structure of the FSC	21
1.1.3 Members' representation in the FSC	21
1.1.4 Congruence among rule-makers and rule-takers in the FSC	22
1.1.5 Mode of installing the FSC board of directors	23
1.1.6 The FSC secretariat	24
1.1.7 The FSC's relation to other GSCSs	24
1.1.8 The FSC's relation to (inter-)governmental bodies	25
1.1.9 Financing of the FSC's activities	26
1.1.10 FSC scheme evaluation	27
1.2 Characteristics of the FSC global standard	28
1.2.1 Subject of the FSC global standard	28
1.2.2 Chain of custody certification in the FSC	28
1.2.3 Precision of the FSC global standard	29
1.2.4 Context sensitivity of the FSC global standard	30
1.2.5 Minimum or maximum standard in the FSC	30
1.2.6 Incentives for client participation of the FSC global standard	31
1.2.7 Industry scale of the FSC global standard	32
1.3 Developing the FSC global standard	33

1.3.1	Procedures for evaluating the FSC global standard	33
1.3.2	Actors responsible for initiating revision of the FSC global standard	34
1.3.3	Actors responsible for preparing the FSC global standard	35
1.3.4	Stakeholder consultation on the FSC global standard	35
1.3.5	Final decision-making on the FSC global standard	36
1.4	Conformity assessment in the FSC	37
1.4.1	Auditing in the FSC	37
1.4.2	Monitoring actors in the FSC	38
1.4.3	Modes of monitoring in the FSC	38
1.4.4	Accreditation in the FSC	39
1.4.5	Dispute-settlement in the FSC	39
1.4.6	Means of enforcement in the FSC	40
2	The Fairtrade Labeling Organizations International (FLO)	42
2.1	General aspects of the FLO	42
2.1.1	Actors involved in founding the FLO	42
2.1.2	Membership structure of the FLO	43
2.1.3	Members' representation in the FLO	44
2.1.4	Congruence among rule-makers and rule-takers in the FLO	44
2.1.5	Mode of installing the FLO board of directors	45
2.1.6	The FLO secretariat	46
2.1.7	The FLO's relation to other GSCSS	46
2.1.8	The FLO's relation to (inter-)governmental organizations	47
2.1.9	Financing of the FLO's activities	47
2.1.10	FLO scheme evaluation	48
2.2	Characteristics of the FLO global standard	48

2.2.1	Subject of the FLO global standard	48
2.2.2	Chain of custody certification in the FLO	49
2.2.3	Precision of the FLO global standard	50
2.2.4	Context sensitivity of the FLO global standard	51
2.2.5	Minimum or maximum standard in the FLO	51
2.2.6	Incentives for client participation of the FLO global standard	52
2.2.7	Industry scale of the FLO global standard	53
2.3	Developing the FLO global standard	54
2.3.1	Procedures for evaluating the FLO global standard	54
2.3.2	Actors responsible for initiating revision of the FLO global standard	54
2.3.3	Actors responsible for preparing the FLO global standard	55
2.3.4	Stakeholder consultation on the FLO global standard	55
2.3.5	Final decision-making on the FLO global standard	56
2.4	Conformity assessment in the FLO	57
2.4.1	Auditing in the FLO	57
2.4.2	Monitoring actors in the FLO	57
2.4.3	Modes of monitoring in the FLO	58
2.4.4	Accreditation in the FLO	58
2.4.5	Dispute-settlement in the FLO	59
2.4.6	Means of enforcement in the FLO	60
3	The Global Reporting Initiative (GRI)	61
3.1	General aspects of the GRI	61
3.1.1	Actors involved in founding the GRI	61
3.1.2	Membership structure of the GRI	62
3.1.3	Members' representation in the GRI	63

3.1.4	Congruence among rule-makers and rule-takers in the GRI	63
3.1.5	Mode of installing the GRI board of directors	64
3.1.6	The GRI secretariat	65
3.1.7	The GRI's relation to other GSCSs	65
3.1.8	The GRI's relation to (inter-)governmental organizations	66
3.1.9	Financing of the GRI's activities	66
3.1.10	GRI scheme evaluation	67
3.2	Characteristics of the GRI global standard	68
3.2.1	Subject of the GRI global standard	68
3.2.2	Chain of custody certification in the GRI	68
3.2.3	Precision of the GRI global standard	69
3.2.4	Context sensitivity of the GRI global standard	70
3.2.5	Minimum or maximum standard in the GRI	71
3.2.6	Incentives for client participation of the GRI global standard	72
3.2.7	Industry scale of the GRI global standard	72
3.3	Developing the GRI global standard	73
3.3.1	Procedures for evaluating the GRI global standard	73
3.3.2	Actors responsible for initiating revision of the GRI global standard	74
3.3.3	Actors responsible for preparing the GRI global standard	74
3.3.4	Stakeholder consultation on the GRI global standard	75
3.3.5	Final decision-making on the GRI global standard	75
3.4	Conformity assessment in the GRI	76
3.4.1	Auditing in the GRI	76
3.4.2	Monitoring actors in the GRI	77
3.4.3	Modes of monitoring in the GRI	77

3.4.4	Accreditation in the GRI	77
3.4.5	Dispute-settlement in the GRI	78
3.4.6	Means of enforcement in the GRI	78
4	The Marine Stewardship Council (MSC)	79
4.1	General aspects of the MSC	79
4.1.1	Actors involved in founding the MSC	79
4.1.2	Membership structure of the MSC	80
4.1.3	Members' representation in the MSC	80
4.1.4	Congruence among rule-makers and rule-takers in the MSC	81
4.1.5	Mode of installing the MSC board of directors	82
4.1.6	The MSC secretariat	82
4.1.7	The MSC's relation to other GSCSs	83
4.1.8	The MSC's relation to (inter-)governmental organizations	84
4.1.9	Financing of the MSC's activities	84
4.1.10	MSC scheme evaluation	84
4.2	Characteristics of the MSC global standard	85
4.2.1	Subject of the MSC global standard	85
4.2.2	Chain of custody certification in the MSC	86
4.2.3	Precision of the MSC global standard	86
4.2.4	Context sensitivity of the MSC global standard	87
4.2.5	Minimum or maximum standard in the MSC	88
4.2.6	Incentives for client participation of the MSC global standard	88
4.2.7	Industry scale of the MSC global standard	89
4.3	Developing the MSC global standard	90
4.3.1	Procedures for evaluating the MSC global standard	90

4.3.2	Actors responsible for initiating revision of the MSC global standard	91
4.3.3	Actors responsible for preparing the MSC global standard	91
4.3.4	Stakeholder consultation on the MSC global standard	92
4.3.5	Final decision-making on the MSC global standard	93
4.4	Conformity assessment in the MSC	93
4.4.1	Auditing in the MSC	93
4.4.2	Monitoring actors in the MSC	94
4.4.3	Modes of monitoring in the MSC	94
4.4.4	Accreditation in the MSC	95
4.4.5	Dispute-settlement in the MSC	95
4.4.6	Means of enforcement in the MSC	96
5	The Kimberley Process Certification Scheme (KPCS)	97
5.1	General aspects of the KPCS	97
5.1.1	Actors involved in founding the KPCS	97
5.1.2	Membership structure of the KPCS	98
5.1.3	Members' representation in the KPCS	99
5.1.4	Congruence among rule-makers and rule-takers in the KPCS	100
5.1.5	Mode of installing the KPCS board of directors	100
5.1.6	The KPCS secretariat	101
5.1.7	The KPCS' relation to other GSCSs	101
5.1.8	The KPCS' relation to (inter-)governmental bodies	102
5.1.9	Financing of the KPCS's activities	102
5.1.10	KPC scheme evaluation	103
5.2	Characteristics of the KPCS global standard	103
5.2.1	Subject of the KPCS global standard	103

5.2.2	Chain of custody certification in the KPCS	104
5.2.3	Precision of the KPCS global standard	104
5.2.4	Context sensitivity of the KPCS global standard	105
5.2.5	Minimum or maximum standard in the KPCS	106
5.2.6	Incentives for client participation of the KPCS global standard	106
5.2.7	Industry scale of the KPCS global standard	107
5.3	Developing the KPCS global standard	108
5.3.1	Procedures for evaluating the KPCS global standard	108
5.3.2	Actors responsible for initiating revision of the KPCS global standard	108
5.3.3	Actors responsible for preparing the KPCS global standard	109
5.3.4	Stakeholder consultation on the KPCS global standard	109
5.3.5	Final decision-making on the KPCS global standard	110
5.4	Conformity assessment in the KPCS	110
5.4.1	Auditing in the KPCS	110
5.4.2	Monitoring actors in the KPCS	111
5.4.3	Modes of monitoring in the KPCS	112
5.4.4	Accreditation in the KPCS	113
5.4.5	Dispute-settlement in the KPCS	113
5.4.6	Means of enforcement in the KPCS	114
6	The Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (EITI)	115
6.1	General aspects of the EITI	115
6.1.1	Actors involved in founding the EITI	115
6.1.2	Membership structure of the EITI	117
6.1.3	Members' representation in the EITI	117
6.1.4	Congruence among rule-makers and rule-takers in the EITI	118

6.1.5	Mode of installing the EITI board of directors	118
6.1.6	The EITI secretariat	120
6.1.7	The EITI's relation to other GSCSs	120
6.1.8	The EITI's relation to (inter-)governmental bodies	121
6.1.9	Financing of the EITI's activities	122
6.1.10	EITI scheme evaluation	122
6.2	Characteristics of the EITI global standard	123
6.2.1	Subject of the EITI global standard	123
6.2.2	Chain of custody certification in the EITI	124
6.2.3	Precision of the EITI global standard	124
6.2.4	Context sensitivity of the EITI global standard	125
6.2.5	Minimum or maximum standard in the EITI	126
6.2.6	Incentives for client participation of the EITI global standard	127
6.2.7	Industry scale of the EITI global standard	128
6.3	Developing the EITI global standard	129
6.3.1	Procedures for evaluating the EITI global standard	129
6.3.2	Actors responsible for initiating revision of the EITI global standard	130
6.3.3	Actors responsible for preparing the EITI global standard	130
6.3.4	Stakeholder consultation on the EITI global standard	131
6.3.5	Final decision-making on the EITI global standard	132
6.4	Conformity assessment in the EITI	132
6.4.1	Auditing in the EITI	132
6.4.2	Monitoring actors in the EITI	133
6.4.3	Modes of monitoring in the EITI	134
6.4.4	Accreditation in the EITI	135

	6.4.5	Dispute-settlement in the EITI	135
	6.4.6	Means of enforcement in the EITI	136
7		The International Organization for Standardization's Technical Committee 207 (ISO/TC 207)	137
	7.1	General aspects of the ISO/TC 207	137
	7.1.1	Actors involved in founding the ISO/TC 207	137
	7.1.2	Membership structure of the ISO/TC 207	138
	7.1.3	Members' representation in the ISO/TC 207	139
	7.1.4	Congruence among rule-makers and rule-takers in the context of the ISO/TC 207	141
	7.1.5	Mode of installing the ISO/TC 207 board of directors	141
	7.1.6	The ISO/TC 207 secretariat	142
	7.1.7	The ISO/TC 207's relation to other GSCSs	143
	7.1.8	The ISO/TC 207's relation to (inter-)governmental organizations	144
	7.1.9	Financing of the ISO/TC 207's activities	144
	7.1.10	ISO/TC 207 scheme evaluation	145
	7.2	Characteristics of the ISO/TC 207 global standard	145
	7.2.1	Subject of the ISO/TC 207 global standard	145
	7.2.2	Chain of custody certification in the ISO/TC 207	146
	7.2.3	Precision of the ISO/TC 207 global standard	147
	7.2.4	Context sensitivity of the ISO/TC 207 global standard	147
	7.2.5	Minimum or maximum standard in the context of the ISO/TC 207	148
	7.2.6	Incentives for client participation of the ISO/TC global standard	148
	7.2.7	Industry scale of the ISO/TC 207 global standard	149
	7.3	Developing the ISO/TC 207 global standard	150
	7.3.1	Procedures for evaluating the ISO/TC 207 global standard	150

7.3.2	Actors responsible for initiating revision of the ISO/TC 207 global standard	151
7.3.3	Actors responsible for preparing the ISO/TC 207 global standard	152
7.3.4	Stakeholder consultation on the ISO/TC 207 global standard	153
7.3.5	Final decision-making on the ISO/TC 207 global standard	154
7.4	Conformity assessment in the context of the ISO/TC 207 global standard	155
7.4.1	Auditing in the context of the ISO/TC 207 global standard	155
7.4.2	Monitoring actors in the context of the ISO/TC 207 global standard	156
7.4.3	Modes of monitoring in the context of the ISO/TC 207 global standard	156
7.4.4	Accreditation in the context of the ISO/TC 207 global standard	156
7.4.5	Dispute-settlement in the context of the ISO/TC 207 global standard	157
7.4.6	Means of enforcement in the context of the ISO/TC 207 global standard	158
8	References	159