

Document No. 127 208 3831 ✓  
Date: 12/12/2012

127 208 3831 ✓

# **Trade Effects of Rules on Procurement for Commonwealth ACP Members**

---

**B 378901**



COMMONWEALTH SECRETARIAT

# Contents

<b>Abbreviations and acronyms</b>	ix
<b>Summary</b>	xi
<b>Introduction</b>	1
<b>1 Definitions and Clarifications</b>	3
1.1 Transparency	3
1.2 Domestic and international competition	5
1.3 Market access	6
1.4 Domestic preference	7
1.5 Regional and international procurement regulation	12
<b>2. Transparent Government Procurement</b>	15
2.1 Core transparency tools	15
2.1.1 <i>Publication of the legal framework</i>	16
2.1.2 <i>Publication of procurement opportunities</i>	17
2.1.3 <i>Procedural transparency</i>	19
2.1.4 <i>Transparency of contract awards</i>	21
2.1.5 <i>Transparent dispute settlement</i>	22
2.2 The benefits of improved transparency	23
2.2.1 <i>The economic rationale of transparency</i>	24
2.2.2 <i>Qualitative benefits of improved transparency</i>	28
2.2.3 <i>Quantitative benefits of transparency</i>	29
2.3 The costs of improving transparency	31
2.3.1 <i>Associated costs</i>	32
2.3.2 <i>Broader costs of transparency</i>	34
<b>3. Market Access</b>	36
3.1 A brief history of the GPA negotiations	37
3.2 Features of the 1994 GPA	41
3.3 The continuing discussions	43
<b>4. Potential Trade Effects</b>	45
4.1 Statistical evidence of trade effects	46
4.2 The potential effects	48
4.3 How the effects may be conditioned	50

<b>5</b>	<b>Policy Options</b>	57
5.1	Transparency options	57
5.2	Market access options	58

## Annexes

<b>I</b>	<b>Dominica</b>	64
1	Country context	64
2	Trade policy	65
3	Procurement	66
3.1	<i>Legal and regulatory framework</i>	66
3.2	<i>Advertising</i>	68
3.3	<i>Procedural transparency</i>	68
3.4	<i>Publication of awards</i>	69
3.5	<i>Review mechanism</i>	69
3.6	<i>The OECS/PPS</i>	69
<b>II</b>	<b>Nigeria</b>	70
1	Introduction	70
1.1	<i>Purpose</i>	70
1.2	<i>Government context</i>	70
1.3	<i>Conflicts and instability</i>	70
1.4	<i>Corruption</i>	71
2	Nigerian public procurement system assessments	71
2.1	<i>World Bank CPAR, June 2000</i>	71
2.2	<i>Baseline Indicator System Report, 2007</i>	72
3	Assessment results	72
3.1	<i>World Bank CPAR, June 2000</i>	72
3.2	<i>BIS assessment, 2007</i>	74
4	Conclusions and recommendations	78
4.1	<i>Scoring BIS assessments</i>	78
4.2	<i>System assessments and the trade agenda</i>	78
4.3	<i>Recommendations</i>	79
<b>III</b>	<b>Samoa</b>	80
1	Country context	80
1.1	<i>Samoa in summary</i>	80
1.2	<i>Regional affiliations</i>	80
2	The Samoan procurement system	83
3	Effects of an improved procurement system	89

<b>IV</b>	<b>Tanzania</b>	91
1	Methodology	91
2	Structure of the report	92
3	General information	93
3.1	<i>Development reforms in Tanzania and public procurement</i>	94
3.2	<i>International and regional agreements and related issues</i>	95
4	Public procurement in Tanzania	98
4.1	<i>Legislative framework</i>	98
4.2	<i>Transparency</i>	99
4.3	<i>Horizontal policies</i>	100
4.4	<i>Quality of the transparency mechanisms</i>	119
4.5	<i>Benefits of opening up the procurement market to international and regional competition</i>	120
5	Development issues	126
5.1	<i>Supporting production through negotiations for an agreement on procurement</i>	126
5.2	<i>Bilateral aid to Tanzania</i>	128
5.3	<i>Development issues and liberalising the procurement market</i>	134
	<b>Bibliography</b>	143