

**Policy Options for Economic Growth of Remote Village
in Kyrgyzstan: an Analysis with Village CGE Model**

Inaugural-Dissertation

zur

Erlangung des Grades

Doktor der Agrarwissenschaften
(Dr.agr.)

der

Hohen Landwirtschaftlichen Fakultät

der

Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität
zu Bonn

vorgelegt am 29 Oktober 2009

von

Aibek Baibagysh Uulu

aus

Bischkek, Kirgisistan

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	iii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	v
ABSTRACT	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
LIST OF TABLES	ix

1 Introduction: Agricultural reforms and problems in Kyrgyzstan; Motivation of the study

1.1 Agricultural reforms in Kyrgyzstan	1
1.1.1 Problems of the independent farmers in post reform era	8
1.1.2 Rural poverty and households	10
1.2 Market and coordination failures, poverty traps and state intervention	12
1.2.1 Institutions and underdevelopment	18
1.2.2 State and interventions	21
1.3 Motivation and the objectives of the study	25

2 Theoretical aspects of the village wide and agricultural household models; Non separability of households, as related to the village CGE model.....

2.1 Village level analysis as appropriate framework	30
2.2 Theoretical structure of the village model	35
2.3 Concept of agricultural household model and implication of non separability	41
2.4 Specific structure of the village model	51
2.4.1 Production	51
2.4.2 Consumption	58

3 Description and spatial aspects of the subject village; Empirical analysis of the rural household data.....

3.1 Case village “Sarytalaa” and the spatial context	62
3.2 Empirical test of (non) separability of rural households in the subject village	70
3.2.1 Non separability and imperfect labor market	71
3.2.2 The data used for non separability test	73
3.2.3 Results of testing (non) separability of village households	75

4 Shadow Prices and Village SAM construction

4.1 Estimating household specific, shadow prices for household non tradables	79
4.1.1 Empirical approach to shadow price estimation	80
4.1.2 Data and results of shadow price estimation	83
4.2 Village SAM	86
4.2.1 Input – output structure of the applied village SAM	89
4.2.2 Income and expenditures of the village households	93
Summary remarks	96

5	Development policy experiments in the village context and model results.....	97
5.1	Policies to affect the transaction/marketing cost of marketed supply.....	99
	Experiment 1: 10% decrease in marketing /transaction cost of all village export..	106
5.2	Policies that entail the productivity enhancing technological change	110
	Experiment 2: 10 % technological improvement in crop production	113
5.3	Policies that reduce the price of external inputs (e.g. input subsidies).....	118
	Experiment 3: 10% cost reduction of the external inputs for crops.....	120
5.4	Policies to make the credit funds and investment available to rural farmers..	123
	Experiment 4: 10% increase in capital stock that result from credit reforms	125
5.5	Policies/ outcomes that affect the village labor market	128
	Experiment 5: Expanding the share of the in village labor market.....	129
	Experiment 6: Expanding the share of the off village labor market	131
6	The study: summary, conclusions, limitations and future directions	135
	REFERENCES.....	145
	APPENDIX.....	155