

# Contents

List of figures . . . . .	VII
List of tables . . . . .	VIII
Deutsche Zusammenfassung . . . . .	X
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Technological change, competition and policy intervention . . . . .	1
1.1.1 Innovation and networks of knowledge exchange . . . . .	2
1.1.2 Policy intervention . . . . .	4
1.1.3 The case of renewable energy generation technologies . . . . .	6
1.1.4 Complementary technologies in the energy sector . . . . .	6
1.1.5 Competitive diffusion in the energy sector . . . . .	7
1.1.6 The road ahead . . . . .	8
1.2 Structure of the thesis . . . . .	8
1.2.1 Chapter 2 . . . . .	8
1.2.2 Chapter 3 . . . . .	11
1.2.3 Chapter 4 . . . . .	12
<b>2 Inventor networks in renewable energies: The influence of the policy mix in Germany</b>	<b>14</b>
2.1 Introduction . . . . .	14
2.2 Policy influence on innovation, collaboration and networks . . . . .	16
2.2.1 The innovation–network nexus . . . . .	16
2.2.2 Policy instruments fostering innovation and collaborations . . . . .	16
2.2.2.1 Rationale for policy intervention . . . . .	16
2.2.2.2 Technology push instruments . . . . .	17
2.2.2.3 Systemic instruments . . . . .	18
2.2.2.4 Demand pull instruments . . . . .	19
2.2.3 Consistency of the instrument mix . . . . .	20

2.3	Policies for renewable energy in Germany . . . . .	21
2.3.1	Technology push instruments . . . . .	22
2.3.2	Systemic instruments . . . . .	22
2.3.3	Demand pull instruments . . . . .	23
2.4	Data and empirical strategy . . . . .	24
2.4.1	Dependent variables: co-inventor networks . . . . .	25
2.4.1.1	Reconstructing co-inventor networks from patent data . . . . .	25
2.4.1.2	Development of network structures over time . . . . .	25
2.4.1.3	Operationalization . . . . .	27
2.4.2	Policy variables . . . . .	27
2.4.3	Control variables . . . . .	27
2.4.4	Econometric approach . . . . .	29
2.4.4.1	Estimation strategy . . . . .	29
2.4.4.2	Lag structures . . . . .	30
2.5	Results: policy impact on network size and structure . . . . .	31
2.5.1	Size of the network . . . . .	31
2.5.2	Structure of the network . . . . .	32
2.5.3	Robustness checks . . . . .	32
2.6	Discussion and conclusions . . . . .	38
2.7	Appendix . . . . .	41
2.7.1	Correlation tables . . . . .	41
2.7.2	Patent search strategy . . . . .	42
2.7.3	Lag structure . . . . .	43
<b>3</b>	<b>Optimal policy identification: Insights from the German electricity market</b>	<b>45</b>
3.1	Introduction . . . . .	45
3.2	The model . . . . .	47
3.2.1	Technologies . . . . .	48
3.2.2	Actors . . . . .	50
3.2.2.1	Electricity consumers . . . . .	50
3.2.2.2	Fossil electricity producers . . . . .	52
3.2.2.3	Equipment manufacturers . . . . .	53
3.2.3	Innovation and learning . . . . .	54
3.2.4	Markets . . . . .	55
3.2.4.1	Market for electricity . . . . .	55

3.2.4.2	Market for electricity generation equipment . . . . .	56
3.2.5	Policy intervention . . . . .	57
3.3	Empirical verification and robustness tests . . . . .	59
3.4	Counter-factual analysis by differential evolution . . . . .	63
3.4.1	Differential evolution . . . . .	64
3.4.2	Outlook for 2011-2020 . . . . .	65
3.4.3	Optimization over the entire period 1990-2020 . . . . .	67
3.5	Discussion and conclusion . . . . .	70
3.5.1	Description of the simulation model . . . . .	74
3.5.2	On initial conditions used and results obtained . . . . .	75
<b>4</b>	<b>Is there a sailing-ship effect for fossil fuel technologies through renewable energies?</b>	<b>77</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	77
4.2	Inter-technological competition and the sailing-ship effect . . . . .	79
4.3	The case of fossil fuels . . . . .	80
4.4	Data and econometric approach . . . . .	82
4.4.1	Dependent variables: Invention in fossil fuel technology components . . . . .	82
4.4.2	Sailing-ship variables . . . . .	83
4.4.3	Control variables . . . . .	85
4.4.4	Econometric approach . . . . .	89
4.4.4.1	Estimation strategy . . . . .	89
4.4.4.2	Lag structure . . . . .	89
4.5	Results . . . . .	90
4.5.1	Analysis of technological components . . . . .	90
4.5.2	Robustness checks . . . . .	93
4.6	Discussion and conclusion . . . . .	95
4.7	Appendix . . . . .	98
4.7.1	Selection process for fossil patents . . . . .	98
4.7.2	Estimation of results based on location of patent applicants . . . . .	99
4.7.3	Estimation of results with alternative $CO_2$ regulation measure . . . . .	102
4.8	Correlation table . . . . .	105
<b>5</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>106</b>
5.1	Main findings and contributions . . . . .	106
5.2	Policy implications . . . . .	109
5.3	Limitations and avenues for further research . . . . .	111

<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>113</b>
<b>Curriculum Vitæ</b>	<b>131</b>
<b>Erklärung nach §4 Abs. 1 PromO</b>	<b>132</b>