



Empirical Analysis of Localized Casing Wear with Variations in Contact Pressure and Drilling Conditions

Dissertation

Zur Erlangung des Doktorgrades
der Ingenieurwissenschaften

Vorgelegt von

M.Sc. Tanveer Yaqoob

aus Karachi, Pakistan

Genehmigt von der

Fakultät für Energie- und Wirtschaftswissenschaften
der Technischen Universität Clausthal

Tag der mündlichen Prüfung

09.12.2021



Table of Contents

1. Introduction.....	1
1.1. Casing wear estimation: Necessity	1
1.2. Potential nature of the problem.....	2
1.3. Conventional approaches in industry and associated issues.....	3
1.4. Outline of chapters	4
1.5. State of the art	6
1.6. Research gap	7
1.7. Objectives of the study	8
1.8. Study Approach.....	9
2. Casing wear: Mechanisms, estimation and consequences	10
2.1. Casing wear mechanisms	10
2.1.1. Adhesive wear.....	10
2.1.2. Abrasive wear.....	11
2.1.3. Polishing wear	14
2.2. Existing models for casing wear determination.....	14
2.2.1. Soft-string model vs stiff string model for casing wear	14
2.2.2. Experimental contact pressure and wear volume estimation (Williamson, 1981)	15
2.2.3. Wear track length model (Best, 1986)	17
2.2.4. Experimental setup for casing wear (Bol, 1986)	17
2.2.5. Experimental measurements for friction coefficient (White and Dawson, 1987).....	18
2.2.6. Wear efficiency model (Hall and Garkasi, 1994).....	19
2.2.7. Contact pressure threshold model (Hall and Malloy, 2005)	21
2.2.8. Prediction of casing wear in extended-reach drilling (Gao and Sun, 2010).....	25
2.2.9. Model for Impact force distribution during DS vibrations (Samuel and Kumar, 2014)	27
2.2.10. Case-specific casing wear prediction using stiff-string model (Samuel and Kumar, 2016)	29
2.2.11. Experimental study on casing wear in highly deviated drilling (Yu and Lian, 2016).....	32
2.2.12. Prediction of combined casing wear due to eccentric and drillstring whirl (Gao and Zhou, 2018)	34
2.3. Casing wear monitoring	36
2.3.1. Ditch magnets	36
2.3.2. Multi-finger caliper log.....	37



2.3.3.	Magnetic thickness detector.....	38
2.3.4.	Ultrasonic imager tool.....	38
2.4.	Effect of wear on casing collapse strength reduction.....	39
2.5.	Effect of wear on casing burst strength [34].....	42
3.	Critical parameters affecting casing wear and its mitigation.....	46
3.1.	Trajectory- Abrupt dogleg severities and tortuosities.....	46
3.1.1.	Analyzing side force with DLS on existing wells using well-planning software	48
3.2.	Drilling RPM, tripping and reaming.....	53
3.3.	Material selections.....	54
3.3.1.	Casing material- Grade and inner surface treatment	54
3.3.2.	Tool joint- Geometry and hard-facing	56
3.4.	Fluid selection	57
3.4.1.	Choice of water- vs oil-based mud.....	58
3.4.2.	Additives and solid content.....	58
3.5.	Formation anomalies	59
3.5.1.	Hard-formations (high WOB)	59
3.5.2.	Anisotropic and Interbedded formations	59
3.6.	Effect of mud motors and wirelines.....	60
3.7.	Drillstring problems.....	60
3.7.1.	DS bending and buckling.....	60
3.7.2.	DS Whirl	61
3.7.3.	Torsional Stick-Slip	61
3.8.	Casing wear mitigation	62
3.8.1.	Operational parameters and practices	62
3.8.2.	Casing material.....	62
3.8.3.	DP protectors	62
3.8.4.	Spray coatings	63
3.8.5.	Low-friction hardbands.....	64
4.	Selection of wear parameters for experimental setup based on simulations and case histories	65
4.1.	Case Histories.....	71
4.1.1.	Casing wear and collapse: Sajaa field, Sharjah UAE, 2003.....	72
4.1.2.	Casing wear and collapse: Gulf of Mexico, 2014 [55]	74



4.1.3.	Casing wear: North US, 2015	75
4.1.4.	Casing wear in S-shaped well: Latin America, 2015.....	76
4.1.5.	Casing wear: Middle East, 2015.....	77
4.1.6.	Casing wear: Alpine field, Alaska US, 2018	77
4.1.7.	DP and casing wear: Permian basin, 2020	80
4.2.	Selected design and material parameters	81
4.2.1.	Material and diameter selection.....	81
4.2.2.	Contact force, rotary speed and reciprocation speed selection.....	81
4.2.3.	Selection of mud properties	82
5.	Casing wear frame: Design and test parameters	83
5.1.	Older frame: Components and test procedure	83
5.2.	Modification goals.....	84
5.3.	Wear frame systems	85
5.3.1.	Contact / side force system	86
5.3.2.	Tool joint fixation and rotation system.....	87
5.3.3.	Axial reciprocation system	88
5.3.4.	Fluid circulation system	89
5.3.5.	Measurement, data acquisition and control systems.....	90
5.3.5.1.	Friction torque sensors	90
5.3.5.2.	Contact load sensor	92
5.3.5.3.	Operating panel and display unit.....	93
5.4.	Frame assembly and safety features	94
5.5.	Comparison of the wear frame with API casing wear standard	94
5.5.1.	Procedure and schematic	95
6.	Wear frame sensitivity and stress distribution simulation	97
6.1.	Calibration of contact load under different operating parameters.....	97
6.1.1.	Analysis of contact load at a single contact location on tool joint	97
6.1.2.	Analysis of contact load at multiple contact locations on tool joint	98
6.1.3.	Analysis of contact load while sliding (without TJ rotation)	100
6.1.4.	Analysis of contact load while rotating (without reciprocation)	101
6.1.5.	Analysis of contact load while rotating with reciprocation	104
6.2.	Sensitivity of friction torque sensor.....	107
6.3.	Stress Distribution Analysis at the C-section	108



7. Wear tests and comparisons	111
7.1. Specimen characteristics and preparation	111
7.1.1. Steel C-section.....	111
7.1.2. Glass fiber C-section.....	112
7.1.3. Carbon fiber C-section	113
7.2. Characteristics of water-based mud	114
7.3. Test procedure and operational parameters.....	115
7.4. Reporting.....	115
7.5. Wear tests results for steel casing with water.....	115
7.6. Wear tests results for steel casing with water-based mud as lubricant.....	119
7.7. Wear tests results for glass fiber casing with water-based mud as lubricant	123
7.8. Wear tests results for glass fiber casing with water	126
7.9. Wear tests results for carbon fiber casing with water-based mud as lubricant.....	128
7.10. Wear tests results for carbon fiber casing with water.....	130
7.11. Comparison of wear test results	132
8. Discussion of test results and observations	137
8.1. Analysis of calculated wear factors.....	137
8.2. Contact pressure thresholds (CPT) for casing materials	138
8.2.1. Steel casing.....	138
8.2.2. Glass fiber casing.....	139
8.2.3. Carbon fiber casing	140
8.3. Steady wear factor value for wear determination for long contact intervals	141
8.4. Analysis of the formation of protective film in mud tests	143
8.5. Discussion in friction heating and material alteration.....	144
8.6. Preliminary analysis of roughness profiles before and after tests	144
8.7. Comparison to previous experimental setups	146
9. Conclusions and future investigations	148
9.1. Further modifications to the wear frame	149
9.2. Future extensions of the study	150
10. Literature References	153
11. Appendices.....	158