

**Effects of helophyte species and system types in treatment of
artificial acid mine drainage under carbon-deficient and
sulphate-reducing conditions in laboratory-scale horizontal flow
constructed wetlands**

A thesis approved by the Faculty of Environment and Natural Sciences at the
Brandenburg University of Technology Cottbus–Senftenberg
in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the award of the academic degree of
Doctor of Philosophy (Ph.D.) in Environmental Sciences

by

Master of Science

Ashirbad Mohanty

from Puri, Odhisa, India

Supervisor: Prof. Dr. rer. nat. habil Marion Martienssen

Supervisor: Dr. Hermann J. Heipieper

Day of the oral examination: April 24, 2019

Table of Contents

List of Figures.....	ix
List of Tables.....	xi
List of Abbreviations and Acronyms.....	xiii
Summary	xv
Zusammenfassung	xvi
1. Introduction.....	19
1.1. Abiotic remediation strategies.....	24
1.1.1. Active technologies.....	24
1.1.2. Passive technology	24
1.2. Biological remediation strategies	25
1.2.1. Active biological systems: sulfidogenic bioreactors.....	25
1.2.2. Passive biological	25
1.3. Wetlands (Natural and Constructed Wetlands)	26
1.3.1. Some advantages of constructed wetlands are:	28
1.3.2. The disadvantages include:	28
1.3.3. Types of constructed wetlands	29
1.3.4. Technological aspects/ removal mechanisms.....	36
1.3.5. Physico-chemical factors effecting performances of constructed wetlands.....	43
1.3.6. Application of the technology	55
1.4. Aim of the work	56
2. Material and Methods.....	57
2.1. Experimental sites	57
2.2. Synthetic wastewater and its constituents	57
2.2.1. Preparation of artificial AMD	57
2.3. Treatment of synthetic acid mine drainage in the laboratory-scale horizontal flow constructed wetlands with <i>Juncus effuses</i> in green house (without external electron donor).....	58
2.3.1. Experimental design.....	58
2.3.2. Plant biomass	59
2.3.3. Experimental conditions	59
2.4. Treatment of synthetic acid mine drainage in the laboratory-scale horizontal flow constructed wetlands with <i>Phragmites australis</i> in green house (without electron donor).....	61

2.4.1.	Experimental design	61
2.4.2.	Plant biomass	62
2.4.3.	Experimental conditions	62
2.5.	Treatment of synthetic acid mine drainage in the laboratory-scale horizontal flow constructed wetlands with <i>Juncus effuses</i> Phytotechnicum (with H₂ as electron donor)	63
2.5.1.	Experimental design	63
2.5.2.	Plant biomass	64
2.5.3.	Experimental conditions	64
2.6.	Treatment of synthetic acid mine drainage in the laboratory-scale horizontal flow constructed wetlands with <i>Phragmites australis</i> Phytotechnicum (with H₂ as electron donor)	66
2.6.1.	Experimental design	66
2.6.2.	Plant biomass	66
2.6.3.	Experimental conditions	66
2.7.	Maintenance.....	67
2.8.	Sampling	68
2.9.	Analytical methods and calculations.....	68
2.9.1.	Sulphate	69
2.9.2.	Sulphide	69
2.9.3.	Elemental sulphur	69
2.9.4.	Sulphite and thiosulphate	69
2.9.5.	Metals	69
2.9.6.	Redox potential (Eh) and pH.....	69
2.9.7.	Dissolved oxygen and temperature.....	70
2.9.8.	Evapo-transpiration and water balance.....	70
2.9.9.	Shoot density.....	70
2.9.10.	Specific removal rate.....	71
2.9.11.	Removal efficiency analysis.....	71
3.	Results and Discussion.....	73
3.1.	Results with <i>Phragmites australis</i> (from green house, without external electron donor)	73
3.1.1.	Dynamics of sulphur removal.....	73
3.1.2.	Dynamics of iron removal.....	78
3.1.3.	Dynamics of aluminium removal	81
3.1.4.	Further parameters (shoot density, EvT, Eh and pH).....	82

3.2. Results with <i>Phragmites australis</i> (from Phytotechnicum, with H₂ as external electron donor)	90
3.2.1. Dynamics of sulphur removal.....	90
3.2.2. Dynamics of iron removal.....	95
3.2.3. Dynamics of aluminium removal.....	98
3.2.4. Further parameters (shoot density, EvT, Eh, DO and pH).....	99
3.3. Results with <i>Juncus effusus</i> (from green house, without external electron donor)	105
3.3.1. Dynamics of sulphur removal.....	105
3.3.2. Dynamics of iron removal.....	108
3.3.3. Dynamics of aluminium removal.....	110
3.3.4. Growth of plant biomass (shoot density) and water loss (EvT).....	111
3.4. Results with <i>Juncus effuses</i> (from Phytotechnicum, with H₂ as external electron donor)	113
3.4.1. Dynamics of sulphur removal.....	113
3.4.2. Dynamics of iron removal.....	116
3.4.3. Dynamics of aluminium removal.....	118
3.4.4. Growth of plant biomass (shoot density) and water loss (EvT).....	119
3.5. Outcomes and general remarks	121
4. Conclusions	125
5. Bibliography	127