

SELECTIVE ENFORCEMENT
AND INTERNATIONAL
CRIMINAL LAW

The International Criminal Court
and Africa

James NYAWO



intersentia

Cambridge – Antwerp – Portland

CONTENTS

<i>Preface</i>	vii
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	ix
Chapter 1. Introduction	1
1.1. Statutory Rules and the Court's Engagement or Selection of Situations or Defendants	18
1.2. Selective Enforcement in International Criminal Law	23
1.2.1. Book Hypothesis	26
1.2.2. Book Outline	29
Chapter 2. The Establishment of the International Criminal Court, and Africa's Role and Early Support	33
2.1. Attempting to Understand Africa's Early Enthusiasm and Support for the Court	35
2.1.1. Rationalism Theory and Africa's Early Support for the Court	36
2.1.2. Constructivist Theory and Africa's Early Support of the Court ..	40
2.2. Role of the African Civil Society/NGOs in Promoting the Rome Statute and Cooperation with the Court in the Continent	45
2.2.1. Civil Societies/NGOs Arm-twisting the African Governments into Cooperating with the Court	47
2.3. The Court in the Global Governance System	51
2.4. The Seat of the Court	55
Chapter 3. The Office of the Prosecutor and the Politics of Selecting Targets for Prosecution	57
3.1. The US's Paranoia over the Politicisation of the Court and its Possible Impact on the Prosecutorial Strategy	60
3.2. The EU, the Provision of Political Leadership and Financial Stability to the Court, and the Iraq Problem	65
3.2.1. Minding the Bright Red Thread of Politics in Iraq	70

3.3.	Challenges and Prospects of the Office of the Prosecutor	77
3.3.1.	Appearance and Reality: Politics and the Limits of Prosecutorial Discretion in the Rome Statute	78
3.3.2.	Politics: A Double-edged Sword for the Prosecutor	84
3.4.	<i>Proprio Motu</i> Powers in Action and the Prosecutor's Fears Confirmed . . .	89
3.5.	The AU Strikes Back at the Prosecutor	93
 Chapter 4. State Party Referrals, UN Security Council Referrals and the Selection of Situations		 97
4.1.	Outlining the Referral System in International Human Rights	97
4.2.	Negotiating the Triggering Mechanisms into the Rome Statute	101
4.3.	The UN Security Council Referral	101
4.4.	State Party Referral	106
4.5.	The Analysis: Links Between Referral Mechanisms and Selection of Situations by the Court – Darfur and Libya	108
4.5.1.	Libya and Security Council Resolution 1970	113
4.5.2.	States Parties Referrals and Selective Enforcement	120
 Chapter 5. Assessing Selective Enforcement from an Admissibility Perspective		 133
5.1.	The Legal Basis for Admissibility in the Rome Statute	135
5.2.	Three Stages of Admissibility Assessment under the Rome Statute	137
5.3.	Statutory Factors that Regulate the Court's Decision to Intervene in a Given Situation	140
5.3.1.	Unwillingness	147
5.3.2.	Inability	153
5.4.	Admissibility put into Practice by the Office of the Prosecutor and the Chambers	155
5.5.	Crimes within the Jurisdiction of the Court	156
5.6.	Admissibility in the Context of Self-Referral	162
5.7.	The Admissibility of Situations Referred by the UN Security Council . .	171
5.8.	The Admissibility of Situations Opened by the Prosecutor under Article 15 Powers	178
5.9.	Gravity	182
 Chapter 6. The AU and African States' Shift from Cooperation to Non-Cooperation with the Court		 187
6.1.	An Uneasy Encounter Between the AU and the Court in Darfur	194
6.2.	The Jilted Lover's Response: Africa's Deteriorating Relationship with the Court – the Aftermath of 4 March 2009	204

Chapter 7. African States' Reaction to the AU's Call for Non-Cooperation with the Court	221
7.1. Kenya Caught Between its Rome Statute Obligations and the AU's Non-Cooperation Resolution	223
7.2. Malawi: Keeping up with its Rome Statute Obligations under Changing Leadership	227
7.3. Nigeria and Closing the Impunity Gap.	231
7.4. South Africa: Caught between the Desire to Show its True Pan-Africanism Credentials and its Position as an Upholder of International Law Obligations.	235
7.5. An Attempt to Amend Article 16: The Push for a Power Shift from the UN Security Council to the UN General Assembly	243
7.6. The AU's Proposed Alternative Juridical Mechanisms to Curb the Court's Intervention: The Proposal for a Hybrid Court for Darfur.	245
7.7. Conferring International Criminal Jurisdiction onto the African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights	247
Chapter 8. Africa and the International Criminal Court: The Lessons and Prospects.	251
8.1. Lessons Learnt	253
8.1.1. African Situations are Poisoned Chalice and not Soft Landing for the New Court	253
8.1.2. The Court's Internal Control Mechanisms Should be a Source of Confidence for the States	256
8.1.3. The Court's Contribution Towards Promoting the International Rule of Law.	258
8.1.4. African States Parties Taking the Lead in the Fine-Tuning of the Rome Statute System	261
8.1.5. The Pitfalls of Limited Promotion of Institutions Rooted in Progressive African Values, History and Philosophy.	265
8.2. Prospects.	268
8.2.1. The Court's Fortunes are Likely to Depend on the Political and Economic Situation in the EU	268
8.2.2. The Regionalisation of the Court for Visibility and Efficiency	269
8.2.3. The Office of the Prosecutor Likely to Concentrate on Suspects Lacking Protection from and Control of State Institutions.	269
8.2.4. International Court of Justice Intervention to Settle Head of State of Immunity in the Context of the Rome Statute	270
<i>Bibliography</i>	271
<i>Index</i>	283