

STEPHEN S. DOKTORCZYK

PERSISTENT DISOBEDIENCE
TO CHURCH AUTHORITY:
HISTORY, ANALYSIS AND
APPLICATION
OF CANON 1371, 2^o

EDITRICE PONTIFICIA UNIVERSITÀ GREGORIANA



PONTIFICIA
UNIVERSITÀ
GREGORIANA

Roma 2016

GENERAL INDEX

INTRODUCTION.....	5
Chapter I: <i>Historical Sources before the CIC 1917</i>	11
1. Introduction to the study of the fonts	11
2. Pre 1917 CIC Fonts.....	13
2.1 First and second centuries.....	13
2.1.1 Clement and obedience to the bishop	13
2.1.2 Transgressors	15
2.1.3 Disobedient clerics.....	16
2.2 Third century.....	18
2.2.1 Failing to obey one's bishop.....	18
2.2.2 Cases not to be admitted to a canonical hearing	20
2.3 450: Unauthorized building of monasteries	22
2.4 500: Trampling upon ecclesiastical privileges.....	24
2.5 Sixth century	26
2.5.1 Violation of the bishop's decree or constitution	27
2.5.2 Refusal to acquiesce to the bishop.....	28
2.5.3 Contempt of the Apostolic See	30
2.6 Circa 600-800	32
2.6.1 Unauthorized departure from a monastery.....	32
2.6.2 Obligation to pledge vows (bishop candidates)	34
2.7 Ninth century	36
2.7.1 Contempt for precepts of the Apostolic See	36
2.7.2 Observation of mandates of the Roman Pontiff.....	38
2.8 Middle of the eleventh century to 1200	40
2.8.1 Authority of an Ordinary	40
2.8.2 Loss of benefice after suspension	43
2.9 Thirteenth century: an excommunicated cleric	
who engages in ministry	44
2.9.1 A deposed cleric.....	45
2.9.2 A suspended person	45

2.9.3 One under interdict	46
2.9.4 One excommunicated and under interdict	47
2.9.5 Excommunicated person loses his benefice.....	49
2.9.6 Summary	49
2.10 Fifteenth century	49
2.10.1 Reserved Sins (Paul II)	50
2.10.2 Reserved Sins (Sixtus IV).....	52
2.11 Circa 1700.....	56
2.11.1 A lazy and obstinate priest.....	56
2.11.2 Lazy and obstinate priests ten years later.....	59
2.12 1729-1820	61
2.12.1 Not fulfilling one's duty to serve.....	61
2.12.2 Failure to fulfill missionary duty 91 years later.....	62
2.12.3 Usury.....	64
2.13 1910 - Removal of pastors	66
2.14 General Observations.....	68
CHAPTER II: <i>From the CIC 1917 to the preparation of the CIC 1983</i>	73
1. Canon 2331 §1, CIC 1917.....	73
1.1 <i>Qui</i>	74
1.1.1 Clerics and religious	74
1.1.2 Laity	75
1.2 <i>Romano Pontifici</i>	76
1.2.1 Other considerations on the Roman Pontiff.....	77
1.3 <i>Proprio ordinario</i>	79
1.3.1 Residential bishops	80
1.3.2 Other bishops	81
1.3.3 Vicar general.....	81
1.3.4 Vicars and Prefects Apostolic.....	82
1.3.5 Apostolic administrator.....	82
1.3.6 Limitations	82
1.4 <i>Aliquid</i>	83
1.5 <i>Legitime praecipienti</i>	84
1.5.1 Command.....	85
1.5.2 Limits to the content of the command	86
1.5.3 Who must follow the command.....	86
1.5.4 Promulgation.....	87
1.5.5 Prevention	87
1.5.6 Who may give precepts.....	88
1.5.7 Other observations	88
1.6 <i>Prohibenti</i>	89
1.7 <i>Pertinaciter</i>	90

1.8	<i>Obtemperare</i> and where it is found elsewhere in the 1917 CIC	91
1.8.1	Canon 1360 §2	92
1.8.2	Canon 1761 §2	93
1.8.3	Canon 1848	93
1.8.4	Canon 2181	94
1.8.5	Brief summary	94
1.9	<i>Congruis poenis</i>	95
1.9.1	Pre CIC 1917	95
1.9.2	Definition of <i>poena</i> in the CIC 1917	96
1.9.3	Vindictive punishments	97
1.9.4	Remedial punishments and penances	98
1.9.5	Factors to consider	99
1.10	<i>Censuris</i>	99
1.10.1	Interdict	100
1.10.2	Suspension	101
1.10.3	Imposition of a censure is not mandatory	101
1.11	<i>Pro gravitate culpa</i>	101
1.12	<i>Puniantur</i>	103
1.13	Need for a warning	103
1.13.1	Verbal	104
1.13.2	Warnings and corrections elsewhere in the CIC	104
1.13.3	Some exceptions	105
1.14	Imputability	106
1.14.1	Objective element	106
1.14.2	Juridic element	107
1.14.3	Subjective element	107
1.14.4	Ignorance	108
1.14.5	Other considerations	108
1.15	Interpretation	108
1.16	Summary	109
2.	Revision process: from can. 2331 §1 to can. 1371, 2 ^o	109
2.1	First drafts of what is now can. 1371	112
2.1.1	1969 draft	112
2.1.2	Subsequent drafts	114
2.1.3	Specific feedback on the fourth draft	115
2.1.4	Specific comments from the second group	116
2.2	17 March 1977 discussion	117
2.3	Next <i>schema</i> (undated)	119
2.4	1980 <i>schema</i>	119
2.5	Changes to the 1982 <i>schema</i>	120
2.6	Other observations and comments	121

CHAPTER III: <i>Analysis and interpretation of canon 1371, 2º, CIC 1983</i>	123
1. Canon 1371, 2º	123
1.1 <i>Iusta poena puniatur</i>	124
1.1.1 The censure of excommunication	126
1.1.2 Interdict	127
1.1.3 Suspension	128
1.1.4 Expiatory penalties (cann. 1336-1338)	129
1.1.5 Making a determination	131
1.1.6 Penal remedies and penances	132
1.1.7 Other considerations	133
1.2 <i>Qui</i>	134
1.2.1 Clerics held to a higher standard	135
1.3 <i>Sedis Apostolicae</i>	136
1.3.1 Roman Pontiff	137
1.3.2 Dicasteries of the Holy See	139
1.3.3 Limits in the exercising of authority	141
1.3.4 Concerning bishops	143
1.3.5 Summary	143
1.4 <i>Ordinario</i>	144
1.4.1 Definition	144
1.4.2 Differences appearing in the CIC 1983	145
1.4.3 Anglican Ordinariate	146
1.4.4 Personal Prelature	147
1.4.5 A list of ordinaries	148
1.4.6 Local ordinaries	149
1.5 <i>Superior</i>	150
1.5.1 What about the pastor (parish priest)?	152
1.6 <i>Legitime</i>	153
1.7 <i>Praecipienti vel prohibenti</i>	153
1.8 <i>Non obtemperat</i>	155
1.8.1 Why not <i>oboedire</i> ?	156
1.9 <i>Monitum</i>	157
1.10 <i>Inoboedientia</i>	158
1.11 <i>Persistet</i>	159
1.12 Imputability	160
1.13 Other considerations	160
2. CCEO	161
2.1 <i>Qui</i>	161
2.2 <i>Proprio Hierarchae</i>	163
2.2.1 <i>Patriarcha</i>	164
2.2.2 <i>Archiepiscopus maior</i>	164
2.2.3 <i>Metropolitana, qui Ecclesiae metropolitanae sui iuris praeest</i> ..	165

2.2.4	<i>Episcopus eparchialis</i>	165
2.2.5	<i>Illi, qui eis interim in regimine ad normam iuris succedunt</i> ...	166
2.2.6	<i>Administrator apostolicus</i>	166
2.2.7	<i>Protosyncellus</i>	167
2.2.8	<i>Syncellus</i>	168
2.2.9	<i>Superiores maiores in institutis vitae consecratae</i>	168
2.3	<i>Post monitionem</i>	169
2.4	<i>Congrua poena puniatur</i>	170
2.5	<i>Ut deliquens</i>	171
2.6	Observations	172
3.	Summary	173
CHAPTER IV: <i>Case studies</i>		175
1.	Introduction	175
2.	Cases involving disobedience to the Apostolic See	176
2.1	A decision of the Apostolic Signatura concerning a priest's sustenance	177
2.1.1	Step 1: Diocesan stage	177
2.1.2	Step 2: Recourse presented to the Congregation for the Clergy	178
2.1.3	Step 3: Recourse presented to the Apostolic Signatura	179
2.1.4	Follow up on the status of the case	181
2.1.5	Brief analysis	182
2.2	A decision of three dicasteries concerning a cleric's employment ...	183
2.2.1	Diocesan stage	183
2.2.2	Congregation for the Clergy stage	184
2.2.3	Apostolic Signatura stage	186
2.2.4	Congregation for Bishops stage	188
2.2.5	Commentary	188
2.2.6	Brief analysis	189
2.3	A decision of the Congregation for the Clergy to return a priest to ministry with commensurate remuneration	189
2.4	A decision of the Congregation for the Clergy regarding a priest's public exercise of sacred ministry	192
2.4.1	Background	192
2.4.2	Decree not executed	193
2.5	A decision of the Holy Father to impose the loss of the clerical state upon on a priest who participated in the attempted ordination of a woman	195
2.6	Analysis of some effects of obedience to the Apostolic See	196
2.6.1	Exhortations found in two directories	196
2.6.2	Respect for the law	197
2.6.3	Respect for those subject to the law	198

2.6.4	Prevention of confusion among the faithful.....	199
2.6.5	Maintaining of the proper authority of the Apostolic Sec.....	199
2.6.6	Good example to the faithful	199
3.	Cases involving disobedience to one's ordinary	199
3.1	A decision of the Holy Father to dismiss a priest for <i>graviora delicta</i> and a subsequent decision of the CDF concerning the dismissed priest's persistent disobedience to penal precepts.....	200
3.2	A decision of the Holy Father to dismiss a priest for <i>graviora delicta</i> and concubinage	203
3.3	A decision of an abbot to dismiss a priest from his religious institute for unlawful absence.....	204
3.4	A decision of the Apostolic Signatura concerning a priest's persistent disobedience to a decree of his bishop of incardination ...	208
3.5	A decision of two dicasteries of the Apostolic See to uphold a decree of a diocesan bishop prohibiting a laywoman from worshiping at a particular parish	212
3.5.1	Archdiocesan level.....	212
3.5.2	Recourse presented to the Apostolic See	213
3.5.3	Recourse to the Apostolic Signatura.....	213
3.5.4	Observations and commentary.....	215
3.6	Decision of a bishop not to grant excommunication to a priest.....	216
3.6.1	Recourse presented to the CEP and the STAS.....	216
3.6.2	Further dialogue and additional warnings.....	217
3.6.3	Second recourse to the CEP and the STAS.....	218
3.6.4	Observations and commentary.....	219
3.7	Cooperation between the bishop, priest and faithful.....	220
3.8	Analysis of some effects of obedience to one's ordinary	220
3.9	Benefits of obedience to one's ordinary based upon the cases examined in section 3	221
3.9.1	Protection of the common good, the offender and the faithful ...	221
3.9.2	Decreased likelihood of scandal	221
3.9.3	Promotion of harmony	222
4.	Cases illustrating how the intervention of competent authority can be a pastoral instrument for the salvation of souls	222
4.1	A decision of a diocesan bishop to impose progressive measures upon a priest due to persistent disobedience.....	222
4.2	A declaration of a diocesan bishop that those who participate in the sacraments or sacramentals at an unauthorized shrine incur automatic excommunication	226
4.3	A decision of a diocesan bishop to impose a penal precept upon two priests.....	228
4.4	A decision of a diocesan bishop to suppress a public association of the faithful	230

4.5	A decision of an ecclesiastical tribunal to dismiss a priest from the clerical state for <i>graviora delicta</i>	232
4.6	Analysis of intervention on the part of competent authority in view of the <i>salus animarum</i>	233
4.6.1	Prevention of eventual more grave offenses	233
4.6.2	Protection of the faithful in general	234
4.6.3	Protection of the Faith.....	234
5.	Cases illustrating possible negligence on the part of competent authority and the consequent delictual nature of this negligence	235
5.1	A decision of the CDF to impose penalties upon a priest for persistent disobedience.....	236
5.2	A decision of the CDF to impose loss of the clerical state upon a priest for <i>graviora delicta</i>	238
5.3	A decision of the CDF concerning a priest's violations of the Sixth Commandment of the Decalogue	240
5.4	A decision of a diocesan bishop not to investigate complaints presented against a priest incardinated in his diocese.....	241
5.5	Analysis of the possible delictual nature of negligence on the part of competent authority	242
5.5.1	Urgent creation of particular law (US <i>Essential Norms</i>)	243
5.5.2	Danger of the loss of the salvation of the offender's soul.....	244
5.5.3	Deprivation of spiritual goods to the faithful when a cleric commits a graver crime that might have been prevented with proper supervision.....	244
6.	Cases illustrating the preference of employing conciliatory methods when useful and possible.....	244
6.1	General comment on praxis of the Congregation for the Clergy	245
6.2	Unsuccessful attempts made by the Congregation for the Clergy to resolve in a conciliatory way a priest's recourse against a decree of his bishop removing his ministerial faculties	246
6.3	Successful attempts made by the Congregation for the Clergy to resolve in a conciliatory way a priest's recourse against a decree of his diocesan bishop prohibiting his public exercise of sacred ministry... ..	248
6.4	Successful attempts made by the Congregation for the Clergy to resolve a priest's recourse against a decree of his diocesan bishop to close the parish at which the recurrent was pastor.....	249
6.5	Analysis and reflection concerning the use of conciliatory methods ..	251
7.	Universal conclusions regarding obedience and proper governance	251
7.1	Avoidance and reparation of scandal	252
7.2	Upholding of justice.....	252
7.3	Protection/promotion of the common good	253
7.4	Protection of the faithful	253
7.5	Protection of the person himself	254
7.6	<i>Salus animarum</i>	254

CHAPTER V: <i>A contemporary reading of canon 1371, 2^o</i>	255
1. Conciliation: the preferred method	255
1.1 Canon 1446 CIC	256
1.2 The spirit of conciliation elsewhere in the CIC	257
1.3 Canons concerning «methods of avoiding trials»	257
1.4 Alternative Dispute Resolution	258
1.4.1 Mediation	258
1.4.2 Arbitration	259
1.4.3 The ecclesiastical realm	260
1.5 Ecclesiastical realm being informed by the secular realm	261
2. The proper use of precepts	261
2.1 Singular decrees and precepts	261
2.2 Attributes/qualities of precepts	262
2.2.1 The precept is to be legitimate	262
2.2.2 The precept should be specific (example).....	263
2.2.3 The precept should be specific (example 2).....	264
2.2.4 The precept should be detailed enough	265
2.2.5 Other considerations	266
2.3 How to impose canonical warnings	266
2.4 Non-canonical resources	268
2.5 Other considerations	268
3. A checklist to ensure proper implementation of the canon	269
3.1 Clarity from the beginning	269
3.2 Is the person to be considered persistently disobedient?	269
3.3 Following the requirements of the law	270
3.4 Just penalties	271
3.5 Creating particular law	272
3.6 Other considerations	273
4. Questions open to further study	273
4.1 Obedience to authority not specified in can. 1371, 2 ^o	274
4.2 Unmotivated priests	275
4.3 Dealing with tough cases	275
4.4 Call for greater accountability	276
4.5 To whom are bishops accountable?	278
4.6 <i>Lacuna?</i> Punishment for bishops who refuse to implement a decision of the Apostolic See.....	279
5. Brief summary	280
Conclusion	281
1. General findings	281
2. Why is can. 1371, 2 ^o important?	287
2.1 <i>Salus animarum</i>	287
2.2 Good order of the Church	288
2.3 Protection against scandal and more grave disobedience in the future..	288

2.4 Protection of the Faith.....	289
2.5 Spiritual benefits.....	289
3. Reflection on opportuneness and <i>aequitas canonica</i>	289
4. Final thoughts.....	291
ABBREVIATIONS.....	293
BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	297
INDEX OF AUTHORS CITED.....	323
GENERAL INDEX.....	327