PRINCIPLES OF EVIDENCE IN INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL JUSTICE

Edited by

Karim A. A. Khan
Caroline Buisman
Christopher Gosnell

OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS
# INTRODUCTION

I ANTECEDENTS AND CONTEXT OF INTERNATIONAL CRIMINAL TRIALS

1. Principles of Civil Law
   1. Introduction
   2. Historical Background of Civil Law Criminal Justice Systems
   3. Objectives of Criminal Justice
      3.1 Truth-finding
      3.2 Fair trial
   4. Fact-finders
   5. Collection of Evidence
      5.1 Basic principles
      5.2 Prosecutor
      5.3 Investigative judge
      5.4 Dossier
      5.5 Control of the court over the collection of evidence
   6. Presentation of Evidence
      6.1 General principles
      6.2 Control over questioning
   7. Participation of Civil Parties
   8. Admissibility of Evidence
   9. Evaluation of the Evidence
      9.1 Standard of proof
      9.2 Burden of proof
   10. Appeal
      10.1 Cassation
   11. The Netherlands
### Contents

#### 3. Incorporation of Civil Law Elements into the Rules
- 3.1 Some general features of the civil law system
- 3.2 Reasons for bringing some civil law elements into the proceedings
- 3.3 Judges' control over the proceedings

#### 4. Plea Agreements

#### 5. 'Proofing' Witnesses

#### 6. Motions for Judgment of Acquittal

#### 7. Referral of the Indictment to Another Court

#### 8. Conclusion

#### 4. Interpretative Methodologies and the Use of Precedent in Cases Before International Criminal Courts
- 1. Introduction
- 2. Sources of Law and Hierarchy of Norms
- 3. The Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties
- 4. Resort to Municipal Law Principles of Interpretation
- 5. Judgments of the International Court of Justice
- 6. Judgments of International Human Rights Courts and Bodies
- 7. Judgments of Post World War II Courts and Tribunals
- 7.1 References to national law and decisions of national courts
- 8. Principles of Precedent
- 9. Conclusion

#### 5. The Changing Context of Evidential Rules
- 1. Introduction
- 2. No Contemporaneous Judicial Oversight of Investigations
- 3. The Rising Tide of Information in International Criminal Courts
- 4. The Trend Towards More Robust Rules of Evidence
- 5. Conclusion

#### II BEFORE THE TRIAL BEGINS

#### 6. Collection of Evidence
- 1. Introduction
- 2. Relationship between Rules on Collection of Evidence and Exclusion of Evidence
- 2.1 Rules on collection of evidence
- 2.2 Rules on exclusion of evidence
- 3. Powers of an International Prosecutor to Collect Evidence
3.1 Division of power between international prosecutors and states in collecting evidence 242
3.2 International prosecutor’s power to conduct their own investigation on the territory of states 246
3.3 International prosecutor’s power to collect testimonial evidence 249
3.4 International prosecutor’s power to collect physical evidence 253
3.5 Overview of power-based rules on collection of evidence 259
3.6 Effect of violations of power-based rules on admissibility of evidence 261

4. Rules on Collecting Testimonial Evidence: Witness and Suspect Interviews 261
4.1 Rights-based rules 262
4.2 Procedural rules 275
4.3 Violations of rules governing the collection of testimonial evidence 279

5. Rules on Collecting Physical Evidence: Searches, Covert Measures, Biometric Data, and Documents 284
5.1 Rights-based rules 284
5.2 Procedural rules 294
5.3 Violations of rules on collecting physical evidence 296

6. Conclusion 299

7. Disclosure of Evidence 306
1. Introduction 306
2. Disclosure in National Systems 307
3. Disclosure at the International Tribunals and the Special Court 312
4. Practical Aspects of Disclosure at the International Tribunals and Special Court 313
5. Prosecution Disclosure under Rule 66 315
5.1 Pre-trial disclosure pursuant to Rule 66(A) 315
5.2 Remedies for violations of Rule 66(A) 320
5.3 Prosecution disclosure pursuant to Rule 66(B) 322
6. Disclosure of Exculpatory Material under Rule 68 326
6.1 Initial determination by the prosecution 328
6.2 Identification of exculpatory material 330
6.3 The scope of ‘exculpatory material’ 331
6.4 Duty to disclose materials in the ‘actual knowledge’ of the prosecutor 334
6.5 Remedies for violation of Rule 68 335
7. Defence Disclosure 338
7.1 Witness statements 340
##Contents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7.2 Witness particulars</td>
<td>342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.3 Disclosure of other evidence</td>
<td>343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Disclosure of Documents to be used in Cross-Examination</td>
<td>344</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Rule 98 and Orders to Parties to Obtain Evidence</td>
<td>345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Exceptions to Disclosure Obligations</td>
<td>348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Disclosure at the International Criminal Court</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.1 Prosecution disclosure at the ICC</td>
<td>351</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.2 Disclosure and victims at the ICC</td>
<td>356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.3 Defence disclosure at the ICC</td>
<td>358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Approach to Disclosure at the ECCC, IHT, and Special Tribunal for Lebanon</td>
<td>359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.1 The Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia (ECCC)</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.2 The Iraqi High Tribunal (IHT)</td>
<td>363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.3 The Special Tribunal for Lebanon (STL)</td>
<td>365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13. Conclusion</td>
<td>370</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

###III THE TRIAL

8. Admissibility of Evidence

1. Introduction

2. The Common Statutory Framework of the International Criminal Tribunals

3. Probative Value
   3.1 Introduction
   3.2 Discretion and probative value
   3.3 Hearsay is not a characteristic precluding admissibility
   3.4 Witness statements or affidavits as a sub-category of hearsay of particular concern
   3.5 Codification: Rule 92bis and ICTY 92quater
   3.6 The ambiguous scope of Rule 92bis and a formal divergence between the ICTY and ICTR
   3.7 Convergent application of Rule 92bis at the ICTY and ICTR, and the distinction between testimonial and documentary evidence
   3.8 Borderline categories: media and police reports
   3.9 The admissibility of witness statements under the ICC Statute and Rules, and the potential relevance of ICTY and ICTR jurisprudence
   3.10 The admissibility of suspect interviews
   3.11 Probative value and proportionality

4. Prejudice

420
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.1 Introduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2 Prejudice, rights, and rules</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3 Prejudice arising from the sequence of presentation of evidence as a basis of inadmissibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4 Pattern of conduct, context, and character evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Relevance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1 Introduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2 Relevance and the relationship between documentary and testimonial evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3 The relevance of alleged wrongdoing by the other side in the conflict</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Conclusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Documentary Evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Introduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Definition of Documentary Evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Admissibility of Documentary Evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1 Principles of admissibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2 Variation between the different courts and tribunals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3 The basic Rules governing admissibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4 Standard of proof to establish relevance and probative value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5 Reliability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6 Admission by judicial notice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Recorded Testimonial Evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1 The introduction of Rule 92bis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2 Statements NOT on the acts and conduct of the accused</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3 Statements concerning the acts and conduct of the accused</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4 Admission of statements at the ICC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Exclusion of Documentary Evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1 The 'fair trial' criterion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2 The 'integrity of the proceedings' criterion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Modalities and Timing of Introducing Documentary Evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1 Introducing documentary evidence with or without witnesses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.2 Timing of introducing documentary evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Use of Documentary Evidence: Purpose for Admission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.1 Contemporaneous documentary evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.2 Judicial documents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.3 Analytical books and reports, and expert reports about facts at issue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.4 Statements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5 Impeachment documents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8. Assessing Weight and Probative Value of Documentary Evidence
   8.1 Assessing credibility of documentary evidence
   8.2 Assessing authenticity of documentary evidence
   8.3 The significance of corroboration of documentary evidence
   8.4 The significance of inconsistencies in documentary evidence
9. Advantages and Disadvantages of Documentary Evidence

10. Rules Governing the Presentation of Testimonial Evidence
    1. Introduction
    2. Disclosure of Evidence to Be Used at Trial
    3. The Order of Examination of Viva Voce Witnesses
       3.1 Direct examination
       3.2 Cross-examination
       3.3 Rebuttal and rejoinder examination
       3.4 Procedures for examination and cross-examination in multi-accused cases
       3.5 Use of written witness statements in lieu of viva voce testimony
    4. Rules Related to the Status of a Witness
       4.1 Testimony from the accused
       4.2 Testimony from witnesses who are suspects or who may incriminate themselves
       4.3 Expert witnesses
       4.4 Video-link testimony
       4.5 Rule 70 at the ICTY and ICTR, and Rule 82 at the ICC
       4.6 Protected witnesses
       4.7 Witnesses unable to take or understand the solemn declaration to tell the truth
       4.8 Mentally impaired or unstable witnesses
    5. Participation of Victims in Trial Proceedings
    6. Examination of Witnesses by the Trial Chamber
    7. Conclusion

11. Evidentiary Privileges
    1. Introduction
    2. Privilege Defined
       2.1 Sources of privilege
    3. Journalist Privilege
       3.1 Journalist privilege at the ad hoc tribunals
       3.2 Journalist privilege at the International Criminal Court
    4. Privilege of International Organizations and Their Staff
### Contents

5. State Secrets Privilege 578  
5.1 State secrets privilege defined 579  
5.2 State secrets privilege and the *ad hoc* tribunals 580  
5.3 The International Criminal Court and Article 72 583  
5.4 Future considerations regarding national security privilege 584  

6. Lawyer–Client Privilege 585  
6.1 Lawyer–client privilege defined 585  
6.2 Lawyer–client privilege at the *ad hoc* tribunals 587  
6.3 Lawyer–client privilege at the International Criminal Court 592  

7. Communications between Medical Professionals and their Patients 592  

8. Communications with Members of a Religious Clergy 595  

9. Privilege as Shield 596  

10. Conclusion 597  

12. Expert Evidence 599  
1. Introduction 600  
2. International Tribunal Rules 602  
3. *Voir Dire* or *In Limine* Motion: The Gatekeeping Function 607  
   3.1 Judicially driven experts at the ICC 607  
   3.2 *Ad hoc* approach through 89(C) relevance and reliability determinations 609  
4. Qualification of An Expert 613  
5. Outside the Scope of Expertise 615  
6. On Ultimate Issues of Law or Fact 616  
7. Bias 619  
8. Reliability as a Preliminary Contention 622  
9. Fact or Expert Witnesses 623  
10. Need an Expert Testify? 624  
11. Reliability 625  
12. Access to Sources of Opinion 626  
13. Expert’s Methodology 634  
14. A Note on Social Scientists as Expert Witnesses 635  
15. A Comparative Exercise 638  
   15.1 Civil law 638  
   15.2 Common law 641  
16. Conclusion 647  

13. Reasonable Doubt Standard of Proof in International Criminal Trials 650
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Introduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. How is Reasonable Doubt Defined in the International Tribunals?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. What Must be Proved Beyond a Reasonable Doubt Before a Conviction can be Returned?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. The Principle of <em>In Dubio Pro Reo</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. The Reasonable Doubt Standard as it Relates to Evaluation of Affirmative Defence Evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. The Effect of the Trial Standard of Proof Beyond a Reasonable Doubt on Appellate Standards of Review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. The Propriety of Prosecution Appeals from Acquittals Based Solely on Factual Findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Conclusion</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14. The Standard of Proof in Pre-Trial Proceedings | 671 |
| 1. Introduction | 671 |
| 2. Charging Documents, Warrants of Arrest, and the Confirmation of Charges | 673 |
| 2.1 ICTY and ICTR: *a prima facie* case | 673 |
| 2.2 ICC: warrant of arrest under Article 58: 'reasonable grounds to believe' | 676 |
| 2.3 Confirmation of charges under Article 61: 'substantial grounds to believe' | 681 |
| 3. Motion for Acquittal at Close of Prosecution Case: ICTY, Rule 98bis | 686 |
| 4. Conclusion | 692 |

IV PROOF OF FACTS OTHER THAN THROUGH EVIDENCE AT TRIAL

15. Judicial Notice | 695 |
| 1. Introduction | 695 |
| 2. Comparison of Rules | 697 |
| 2.1 Judicial notice at four international courts | 697 |
| 2.2 Judicial notice distinguished | 697 |
| 3. Facts of Common Knowledge | 699 |
| 4. Adjudicated Facts | 700 |
| 5. Documentary Evidence | 701 |
| 6. Mandatory or Discretionary Nature of Judicial Notice | 703 |
| 7. Legal Effect of Judicial Notice | 704 |
## Contents

8. Protection of the Rights of the Accused 707

9. Developments in Practice 710
   9.1 ICTR 710
   9.2 ICTY 715
   9.3 Special Court for Sierra Leone 717
   9.4 ICC 719

10. Conclusion 720

   1. Additional Evidence on Appeal 723
      1.1 Introduction 723
      1.2 Overview and purpose of Rule 115 724
      1.3 Admissibility of evidence under Rule 115 726
      1.4 Circumstances when evidence admitted on appeal 735
      1.5 Rebuttal and rejoinder material 747
      1.6 Funding investigations at the appeal stage 749
      1.7 Conclusion 750
   2. Review 750
      2.1 Introduction 750
      2.2 General standard of review 753
      2.3 The types of decisions subject to review 754
      2.4 Proper forum 756
      2.5 Procedure 758
      2.6 Distinction between Rule 115 and Rule 89(C) 760
      2.7 Determination of the ‘new fact’ 762
      2.8 Interaction with disclosure obligations 766
      2.9 Assistance of counsel 769
   3. Reconsideration 771
      3.1 Introduction 771
      3.2 Čelebić holding 771
      3.3 Žigić holding 772
      3.4 Differing voices from the Appeals Chamber 775
      3.5 Conclusion 778

Index 781