

Excusing Crime

Jeremy Horder

OXFORD
UNIVERSITY PRESS

Contents

<i>Table of Cases</i>	xv
<i>Table of Legislation</i>	xix
Introduction	1
1 The Domain of Excuses: A Theoretical Overview	7
1.1 The Necessary Conditions of Excuse	7
1.2 The Sufficient Conditions for an Excuse	15
1.3 Over-broad Conceptions of Excuse: H. L. A. Hart's Account	20
1.4 Over-broad Conceptions of Excuse: Alan Norrie's Account	21
1.5 Strategic Considerations and 'Objectivism' in Excuses	28
1.6 Over-narrow Conceptions of Excuse: Beyond 'Choice' Theory	30
1.7 Whose Responsibility is it to Develop Excuses?	37
2 An Anatomy of Excuses	43
2.1 Motivational and Judgmental Elements in Excuses	43
2.2 The First Dimension to Excuses	45
2.3 The Second Dimension to Excuses	48
2.4 The Third Dimension to Excuses	52
2.5 The Third Dimension to Excusing: How Duress Cases Fit In	58
2.6 Working with the Three Dimensions to Excuse	63
2.7 Operating and Auxiliary Reasons, in Excusing Conditions	68
2.8 Angry or Fearful Action as the Rational Course of Action	73
2.9 Are We Morally 'Passive', Respecting Beliefs and Emotions?	76
2.10 Losing Deliberative Control: Reason, the 'Non-interfering Gatekeeper'	84
2.11 Losing Deliberative Control: Passion and Adopted Reasons for Action	89
2.12 Duress and Provocation as Excuses: Some Conclusions	92
2.13 Mixed Motives: When Fear Tempers Anger, as a Response to Provocation	96
3 Which Theory of Excuses?	99
3.1 The 'Serial' View of Defences	99
3.2 The Serial View of Defences and the Range of Excuses	103

3.3	Rival Theories of Excuses: the 'Normative Expectations' View	108
3.4	A Critique of the 'Role' Basis to Normative Expectations	114
3.5	Rival Theories of Excuses: the 'Character' Theory	118
3.6	Rival Theories of Excuses: the 'Capacity' Theory	125
3.7	How, and When, Each Capacity Theory does its Work	128
3.8	Liberalism, Enforcing Morals and the Capacity Theory	133
4	Liberalism, Partial Excuses and Short-comers	139
4.1	From the Classical to the Liberal View of Excuses	139
4.2	Partial Excuses as Second-degree Offences	143
4.3	Sentencing in Second-degree Offence (Partial Excuse) Cases	146
4.4	The Case for Mixing Oil and Water: Excusatory Diminished Responsibility	152
4.5	Excuse and Mental Deficiency: the Case Against Mixing Oil and Water	161
4.6	Excusatory Diminished Responsibility: Provocation and Mental Deficiency	165
4.7	The Case for Separating Evidence of Provocation and of Mental Deficiency	169
4.8	Up the Garden Path: Being Generous through the Provocation Defence	172
4.9	Diminished Capacity: Mercy Killing Cases, and Killing Violent Abusers	178
4.10	Diminished Capacity: Excessive Defence and Duress Cases	182
4.11	Diminished Capacity and Deprivation	185
5	Liberalism and the Demands-of-conscience Excuse	191
5.1	Liberalism, and the Scope of Excuses	191
5.2	The Case for a 'Demands-of-conscience' Excuse	198
5.3	Theorizing the Demands-of-conscience Excuse (1)	204
5.4	Theorizing the Demands-of-conscience Excuse (2)	211
5.5	The Authority of Law to Coerce Conscience: A Brief Overview	218
5.6	The Authority of Law to Coerce Conscience: Civil Disobedience	221
5.7	Legal Authority and Strategic Concerns in Example 1	225
5.8	Raz's Argument against Conscience-based Defences	230
5.9	The Demands-of-conscience Excuse and Individual Rights	232

6	Excusing Strict Liability Crime: A Liberal Account beyond the Common Law Agenda	237
6.1	The Narrowness of the Common Law's Perspective	237
6.2	Approaches to Regulatory Crime: Legislative Interest in 'Fault'	239
6.3	Approaches to Regulatory Crime: the Courts' Capitulation	243
6.4	A 'Plural' Approach to Regulatory Crimes	252
6.5	Excuses, Stigma, and Synchronic Order in a Legal System	258
6.6	'Due Diligence', Liberalism, Intrinsic Value, Individual Activity	262
6.7	Excusing Reasonable Ignorance of the Law	270
	<i>Bibliography</i>	277
	<i>Index of Authors</i>	289
	<i>General Index</i>	291