

POLICING POST-COMMUNIST SOCIETIES

**Police-Public Violence, Democratic Policing
and Human Rights**

NIELS UILDRIKS & PIET VAN REENEN



intersentia



OPEN SOCIETY INSTITUTE

Antwerp – Oxford – New York

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE	vii
INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER 1 POLICING THROUGH TRANSITION	7
1. Introduction	7
2. Soviet Policing and Political Control	9
2.1 The System of Control	9
2.2 Repressive Control and the Secret Police	11
2.2.1 Functions of the KGB	12
2.2.2 Modus Operandi	12
2.3 The Police	14
2.3.1 Duties and Style	14
2.3.2 Organization	16
2.4 Differences Between States	17
3. Transition	18
3.1 Problems of Transition in the Soviet Union	18
3.2 The Role of the Militia During Transition	20
3.3 The Institutional Rupture	20
3.3.1 Political De-Institutionalization	21
3.3.2 Crisis Policing	22
3.3.3 Towards Stability	23
3.4 The Directions of Transition	24
3.4.1 Democracy and Market Economy	24
3.4.2 Communist and Authoritarian Rule	25
3.4.3 Continued Instability	26
3.4.4 A Side Effect of Transition: Growth of Crime	26
3.5 Initial Police Reactions: Resistance, Adaptation, and Continuity	28
3.5.1 Forceful Support of the New Regime	28
3.5.2 Violent Resistance to Change	28
3.5.3 Negotiated Revolution	29
3.5.4 Adaptation	30
3.5.5 Continuity	30
4. Directions of Police Development	31
4.1 Crisis Policing	31
4.2 Authoritarian Policing	32

4.3	Democratic Policing	33
4.4	Human Rights-Oriented Policing	35

CHAPTER 2 DEVELOPMENT, REFORM, AND POLICING IN POST-COMMUNIST SOCIETIES

		39
1.	Introduction	39
2.	Sources of Soviet Policing	40
2.1	Lithuanian Policing Under Russian and Soviet Occupation	41
3.	Police and Criminal Justice Reform in Russia and Lithuania	43
3.1	Russian Criminal Justice Reforms	43
3.1.1	Remaining Practices: No-Acquittal Doctrine and Crime Policies	45
3.2	Russian Police Reform	49
3.3	Lithuanian Police Reform	50
4.	Police Legitimacy and Obstacles	52
4.1	Public Trust and Police Legitimacy	53
4.1.1	Public Trust and Police Legitimacy in Lithuania	53
4.1.2	Public Trust and Police Legitimacy in Perm	55
5.	Organizational Issues	59
5.1	Organizational Problems in Lithuania	59
5.1.1	Recruitment and Police Training	61
5.1.2	Police Performance: Organizational Requi- rements and Impediments	62
5.2	Organizational Problems in Perm	63
5.2.1	Recruitment and Police Training	66
5.2.2	Police Performance: Organizational Demands, Requirements, and Impediments	67
5.3	Some Policing Experiences	69
6.	The Russian Criminal Procedure Code (2002)	71

CHAPTER 3 POLICE-PUBLIC VIOLENCE IN COMPARATIVE PERSPECTIVE

		75
1.	Introduction	75
2.	Police Use of Force in Different Situations in Lithuania and Perm	76
2.1	Differences Between Patrol Service and Traffic Police	78
2.2	Police Violence in Police Stations	79
2.2.1	Torture	82

3.	Methods of Police Use of Force in Lithuania and Perm	83
3.1	Police Use of Firearms in Lithuania	85
3.2	Police Use of Firearms in Perm	88
4.	Justifications and Legality of Lithuanian and Perm Police Use of Force	90
4.1	Legal Principles	90
4.2	Police Assessment of Own Use of Force	91
4.3	Legality of Police Use of Force and Justifications Offered	92
5.	Violence and Aggression Against the Police in Lithuania and Perm	96
5.1	Aggression and Threats	97
5.2	Violence Against Perm and Lithuanian Police	98
5.3	Life-Threatening Situations	99
5.4	Some Situational Dynamics and Comparisons	101
5.4.1	Traffic Controls	101
5.4.2	Conflict Interventions	102
5.4.3	Arrests, Transports, and Police Stations	103
5.5	Police Perception of Risk	104
5.6	Violence and Non-Violence Related Injuries	105
6.	Comparing Levels of Police-public Violence	106
6.1	Situational Comparisons of the Direction of Police-Public Violence	106
6.2	Some Comparisons with Bulgaria, Romania, and Poland	107

CHAPTER 4 POLICE CONTROL AND ACCOUNTABILITY IN LITHUANIA AND RUSSIA 113

1.	Introduction	113
2.	Human Rights Law and Operational Criteria	114
3.	Accountability and Control in Lithuania	117
3.1	Lithuanian National Law	117
3.2	Governmental and Institutional Control of the Police	119
3.2.1	Institutional Changes Since Lithuanian Independence	119
3.2.2	The General Inspectorate: New Style	120
3.2.3	Current Trends	121
3.3	The Lithuanian Complaint System	121
3.3.1	Filing Complaints	122
3.3.2	Police Views and Experiences of the Lithuanian Proceedings	123

3.4	Assessing the Lithuanian System of Accountability	126
3.4.1	Coping Strategies	127
4.	Accountability and Control in Russia	128
4.1	Russian National Law	128
4.2	Governmental and Institutional Control of the Police . .	130
4.2.1	Control of the Police: Torture	132
4.2.2	Medical Access and the Role of the Judiciary	133
4.2.3	The Role of the Judiciary Under the New CPC . .	134
4.2.4	Medical Access Under the New CPC	135
4.3	The Russian Complaint System	136
4.3.1	Russia's Criminal Investigation Procedure	137
4.3.2	Criminal Investigation of Complaints in Perm . .	141
4.3.3	Internal Police Proceedings	143
4.3.4	Disciplinary Proceedings	144
4.3.5	Possibilities for Appeal	145
4.4	Perm Police Views and Experiences in Comparative Perspective	146
4.5	Assessing the Russian System of Accountability	148

**CHAPTER 5 A COMPARISON OF POLICE CONTROL
AND ACCOUNTABILITY**

		151
1.	Introduction	151
2.	Accountability and Control in Romania	151
2.1	Romanian National Law	151
2.2	The Romanian Complaint System	153
2.2.1	Filing Complaints	153
2.2.3	Disciplinary and Criminal Proceedings	154
2.2.4	Impediments in Criminal Investigations: Medical Evidence	156
2.3	Assessing the Romanian System of Accountability	157
3.	Accountability and Control in Bulgaria	159
3.1	Bulgarian National Law	159
3.2	The Bulgarian Complaint System	161
3.2.1	Filing Complaints	161
3.2.2	Disciplinary and Criminal Proceedings	163
3.2.3	Impediments to Criminal Investigations	165
3.2.4	Medical Examination and Forensic Medical Reports	166
3.3	Assessing the Bulgarian System of Accountability	166
4.	Accountability and Control in Poland	168
4.1	Polish National law	168

4.2	The Polish Complaint System	171
4.2.1	Filing Complaints	171
4.2.2	Disciplinary and Criminal Proceedings	173
4.3	Assessing the Polish System of Accountability	174
5.	Accountability and Control in Mongolia	176
5.1	Mongolian National Law	176
5.2	The Mongolian Complaint System	178
5.2.1	Filing Complaints	178
5.2.2	Disciplinary and Criminal Proceedings	180
5.3	Assessing the Mongolian System of Accountability	181
6.	Conclusions on Country Comparisons	182
6.1	Accessibility	183
6.2	Effectiveness and Independence	184
6.2.1	Effectiveness: Conditions for Successful Investigations	185
6.2.2	Effectiveness: Criminal Convictions and Impunity	186
6.2.3	Independence: A Complex Nexus with Effectiveness	188
7.	Internal Control	189
7.1	Internal Insight	190
7.2	Internal Relations and Internal Control	191
CHAPTER 6 POLICING TOWARDS STABILITY		193
1.	The Legitimacy Gap: A Long-term Problem	193
1.1	Political Primacy	193
1.2	Democratic Policing	194
1.2.1	Trust and Cooperation	195
1.2.2	Legal Reform	198
1.3	Scope, Nature, and Trajectory of the Problem	199
2.	Developing Democratic and Human Rights-oriented Policing	202
3.	Police-public Violence: the Struggle for Legitimacy and Professionalism	206
3.1	Current Levels of Police-Public Violence	206
3.2	Some Violence-Enhancing Organizational Characteristics	208
3.3	Control of Police-Public Violence	209
4.	The Direction and Potential of Accountability and Control	210
4.1	Assessment of Post-Communist Accountability Systems	211
4.2	Adequately Dealing with Police Human Rights Violations	212

APPENDIX 1 RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE PROJECT PARTICIPANTS	217
APPENDIX 2 METHODOLOGICAL CONSIDERATIONS	219
1. The Lithuanian and Perm Studies	219
1.1 Choice of Location	219
1.2 Choice of Police	220
1.3 The Field Work	221
1.4 The OMCT Research Data	221
2. Methodological Concerns	221
2.1 Lithuanian Group Interviews	222
2.2 Perm Group Interviews	223
2.3 Lithuanian Survey Data	223
2.4 Perm Survey Data	224
2.5 Validity of the Survey Data	224
2.6 Country Comparisons: Accountability and Control	225
APPENDIX 3 PROTOCOL	226
APPENDIX 4 SURVEY RESULTS LITHUANIAN POLICE AND PERM POLICE	229
APPENDIX 5	239
BIBLIOGRAFIE	243