Acting Together in Crime

A Comparative Analysis of Joint Perpetration of and Assistance to Criminal Offences under French, German, Austrian and Italian Criminal Law in light of Five Dutch Supreme Court Cases

Laura Peters (ed.)

eleven
international publishing

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements 7

1. Introduction 13
Laura Peters

2. Five Remarkable Judgments 17
Laura Peters
2.1 Case I: Strangulation by Carrier Strap 17
2.1.1 Relevant Facts and Circumstances 17
2.1.2 The Judgments of the Lower Courts 17
2.1.3 The Proceedings before the Supreme Court 19
2.2 Case II. Extortion Resulting in Unintended Death 20
2.2.1 The Relevant Facts and Circumstances 20
2.2.2 The Judgments of the Lower Courts 21
2.2.3 The Proceedings before the Supreme Court 21
2.3 Case III. Passive Assistance to a Housemate's Manslaughter 23
2.3.1 The Relevant Facts and Circumstances 23
2.3.2 The Judgments of the Lower Courts 23
2.3.3 The Proceedings before the Supreme Court 24
2.4 Case IV: Stabbing on New Year's Eve 25
2.4.1 The Relevant Facts and Circumstances 25
2.4.2 The Judgments of the Lower Courts 25
2.4.3 The Proceedings before the Supreme Court 26
2.5 Case V. Murder at the Biesbosch 28
2.5.1 The Relevant Facts and Circumstances 28
2.5.2 The Judgments of the Lower Courts 29
2.5.3 The Proceedings before the Supreme Court 31

3. The Netherlands 33
Laura Peters
3.1 Historical Context: the Development of the 1886 Penal Code 33
3.1.1 The Broader Context: Europe's First Criminal Law Codifications 33
3.1.2 The First Penal Code of the Kingdom of Holland 35
3.1.3 Towards a New Criminal Code: the 1886 Penal Code 35
3.1.4 Characteristics of the 1886 Penal Code 37
3.2 Perpetration and Participation in Crime under the 1886 Penal Code 38
3.2.1 The Concept of Perpetration and the Necessity of Rules on Participation in Crime 38
3.2.2 The Adoption of a Differentiated System 39

	3.2.3 Forms of Criminal Participation and their Legal Provisions	40
	3.2.4 General Requirements of Participation in crime	43
	3.2.5 The Distinction between Joint Perpetration and Aiding	45
	3.2.6 Relevant Changes in the Concept of Criminal Perpetration after 1886	47
3.3	Joint Perpetration	50
	3.3.1 The Objective Side of Joint Perpetration: a Close Collaboration	51
	3.3.2 The Subjective Side of Joint Perpetration	57
	3.3.3 The Supreme Court's Judgment of 2 December 2014	64
3.4	Aiding	66
	3.4.1 The Objective Side of Aiding	67
	3.4.2 The Subjective Side of Aiding	70
3.5	Conclusion	74
4.	**France**	**77**
	Tony Marguery	
4.1	Introduction	77
4.2	Historical Background concerning the Concept of Complicity	78
	4.2.1 The New Definition of Instigation	79
	4.2.2 Complicity with regard to Contraventions	80
	4.2.3 Sentencing of Assistance to Crime under the Old System	80
	4.2.4 Co-author and Accomplice: a Difficult Distinction	82
4.3	Sentencing	84
4.4	Joint Perpetration	85
	4.4.1 The Conditions of Joint Perpetration	85
	4.4.2 Case I	87
4.5	Assistance to Crime	88
	4.5.1 The Conditions for Assistance to Crime	89
	4.5.2 Sentencing for Complicity in or Assistance to Crime	94
	4.5.3 Case IV	94
	4.5.4 Case V	96
4.6	Joint Perpetration or Assistance to Crime?	97
	4.6.1 Case II	97
	4.6.2 Case III	100
4.7	Conclusion	101
5.	**Germany**	**103**
	Johanna Rinceanu	
5.1	Introduction	103
5.2	Historical Background	103
5.3	Joint Perpetration	104
	5.3.1 Case I	106
	5.3.2 Case II	107

5.4 Assistance to Crime 108
5.4.1 Case III 109
5.4.2 Case IV 110
5.5 Joint Perpetration or Assistance to crime: Case V 110
5.6 Current Legal Debate 111

6. Austria 113
Christoph Zehetgruber
6.1 Introduction 113
6.2 Historical Background 113
6.3 Joint Perpetration 116
6.3.1 Case I 120
6.3.2 Case II 123
6.4 Assistance to Crime: Cases III and IV 128
6.4.1 Case III 128
6.4.2 Case IV 130
6.5 Joint Perpetration or Assistance to Crime: Case V 132
6.6 Current Legal Debate 134

7. Italy 135
Attilio Nisco
7.1 Introduction 135
7.2 Historical Background 135
7.3 Current Legislation 137
7.3.1 Legal Provisions and Criteria in Relation to Cases I, II, III and V 141
7.4 The borderline case: Case V 148
7.5 Current Legal Debate 149

8. Résumé and Conclusion 155
Laura Peters
8.1 Five Challenging Aspects of the Dutch Regulation on Joint perpetration and Aiding 155
8.2 Foreign Approaches to the Dutch Cases 159
8.2.1 Methodology 159
8.2.2 Differentiated Systems and Unified systems 159
8.2.3 Joint Perpetration; Passivity and Absence at the Crime Scene (Cases I and V) 161
8.2.4 Joint Perpetration; Differences in Criminal Intent (Case II) 165
8.2.5 Aiding by Omission (Case III) 166
8.2.6 Aiding; Differences in Criminal Intent (Case IV) 168
8.2.7 The Distinction between Joint Perpetration and Aiding (Cases II and V) 170
8.3 Closing Remarks 172

About the Authors 175