EUROPEAN UNION INTERNAL MARKET AND LABOUR LAW: FRIENDS OR FOES?

Edited by
Marc De Vos

Contributors
Catherine Barnard
Philippe de Buck
Marc De Vos
Filip Dorssemont
Inge Govaere
John Monks
Armindo Silva
Dirk Sterckx

intersentia
Antwerp - Oxford - Portland

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE ix

CONTRIBUTORS xi

PART I. ANALYSIS

MODERNISATION OF THE INTERNAL MARKET: POTENTIAL CLASHES AND CROSSROADS WITH OTHER POLICIES
INGE GOVAERE 3

1. Introduction 3
2. Modernising the internal market for the 21st century 4
3. In search of the limits to the internal market 6
4. The 'necessity' to apply internal market rules 9
5. 'Higher' policy objectives as derogations from internal market rules 15
6. Conclusion 17

INTERNAL MARKET *V.* LABOUR MARKET: A BRIEF HISTORY
CATHERINE BARNARD 19

1. Introduction 19
2. The Treaty of Rome: Two separate worlds 19
   1.2.1. Free movement 19
3. The worlds collide 22
4. Tools to address the problem 26
   4.1. What problem? 26
   4.2. Outside the scope 28
      4.2.1. Albany 28
      4.2.2. The Services Directive 30
      4.2.3. The Solidarity principle 31
   4.3. Remoteness/no substantial hindrance of market access 34
   4.4. Finding a breach but looking at justifications and proportionality 35

5. *Viking* and *Laval* 36
5.1. Establishing breach 36
5.2. Justifications and proportionality 38
6. Conclusions 42

THE RIGHT TO TAKE COLLECTIVE ACTION *V.* FUNDAMENTAL ECONOMIC FREEDOMS IN THE AFTERMATH OF *LAVAL* AND *VIKING*
Foes are forever!
FILIP DORSSEMONT 45

1. Introduction 45
2. The right to take collective action as a "*droit contraire au droit*" 47
3. The right to take collective action: Founding fathers, Judges and Legislators 52
4. The right to take collective action in EC Law 53
4.1. Europe: transcending or imposing limits on collective action? ... 53
4.2. Primary EC Law 56
4.3. Secondary EC Law 59
4.4. The Court of Justice 61
5. The right to take collective action *v.* fundamental freedoms. From *Spanish Strawberries* to the preliminary references in *Laval* and *Viking* 64
5.1. *Spanish strawberries* and *Schmidberger* 64
5.2. Preliminary references in *Laval* and *Viking* 68
5.2.1. A comparison 68
5.2.2. Viking 68
5.2.3. Laval 70
5.2.4. Distinctions between Laval and Viking 71
6. A hermeneutical framework to cope with *Laval* and *Viking* 74
6.1. Tackling an antinomy 74
6.2. The rejection of the *Albany* avenue 77
6.3. Employers invoking Articles 43 and 49 against trade unions 81
6.4. The issue of restrictions on Free Movement 84
6.5. The recognition of the right to take collective action as a *general principle* 88
6.6. Balancing fundamental freedoms *vis-à-vis* the right to take collective action: proportionality *sui generis* 93
6.7. The *Viking* judgement introduces restrictions on the right to take collective action which are incompatible with international labour standards 100
7. *Curia Luxemburgensis locuta, sed causa non finita est* 101

FLEXICURITY AND THE EUROPEAN GLOBALISATION ADJUSTMENT FUND: PROPAGANDA OR PANACEA?
MARC DE VOS 105

1. Introduction and purpose 105
2. The emergence of "Flexicurity" as a European Social Policy Model 106
   2.1. The market dimension of European Labour Law 106
   2.2. The bleak present and future of EU Labour Law 107
   2.3. The development of the European Employment Strategy (EES): European Employment Policy as an alternative for European Labour Law 109
   2.4. From EES to the Lisbon Agenda and to flexicurity 111
3. EU "Flexicurity" and globalisation 114
4. Flexicurity and Active Labour Market Policies (ALMP) 117
5. The European Globalisation Adjustment Fund: an introduction 120
   5.1. Origin and main purpose 120
   5.2. Scope and target group 122
   5.3. Eligible actions 123
   5.4. Procedure 125
6. The European Globalisation Adjustment Fund: a critique 126
   6.1. A problematic scope 126
   6.2. A problematic target group 128
   6.3. A problematic procedure 132
7. Conclusion: propaganda or panacea? 133
8. Bibliography 135

PART II. DISCUSSION

PANEL DISCUSSION 139