

# Contents

<b>1.</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	11
<b>1.1</b>	<b>Why devise an indicator for calculating the social cost of drugs?</b>	11
1.1.1	Underlying questions	12
1.1.2	Social cost and effectiveness	12
1.1.3	A sound methodology	13
<b>1.2</b>	<b>Remaining difficulties</b>	14
1.2.1	Identifying the various negative consequences of drugs	14
	a. Incidence or prevalence	14
	b. Classification of costs	15
1.2.2	Documenting and quantifying the degree of causality between drugs and their negative consequences	16
	a. Correlation and causes	16
	b. Applying the statistical method	17
<b>1.3</b>	<b>Assigning economic values to the negative consequences of drug abuse</b>	18
1.3.1	General problems raised by calculation of productivity losses	18
1.3.2	Specific problems involved in measuring productivity losses in the European context	18
1.3.3	Specific problems involved in calculating the drug budget in the European context	19
<b>2.</b>	<b>General philosophy for calculating the social cost of drugs</b>	23
<b>2.1</b>	<b>Discounting</b>	29

<b>3.</b>	<b>Typology of costs used to calculate the social cost of illicit drugs</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>3.1</b>	<b>Health-care costs attributable to drug use</b>	<b>33</b>
3.1.1	Result on the “Attributable Risks” to drugs	33
	a. Starting point for “Attributable Risk” (AR) method	34
	b. Calculating Relative Risk (RR)	34
	c. Calculating Attributable Risk (AR)	35
	d. Examples of Attributable Risk: the case of alcohol and tobacco in France	36
3.1.2	Drug-related hospital costs	38
3.1.3	Drug-related non-hospital medical costs	43
3.1.4	Other drug-related health-care costs	46
<b>3.2</b>	<b>Government department expenditure</b>	<b>49</b>
3.2.1	Ministry of Justice	49
	a. Judicial services	50
	b. Prison administration	53
	c. Cost of Judicial Youth Protection services (Protection Judiciaire de la Jeunesse or PJJ in France)	57
3.2.2	General Directorate of Customs and Excise	58
3.2.3	The National Gendarmerie	59
	a. Police work done by the gendarmerie	60
	b. General public security work	61
	c. Other expenditure: units specialised in combating drug abuse	62
3.2.4	The National Police	62
	a. General police work	62
	b. Other expenditure: specialised anti-drugs units	63
3.2.5	The Ministry of Social Affairs, Health and Towns	64
	a. Health Department (DGS – Direction Générale de la Santé)	64
	b. Social Affairs Department (DAS)	65
	c. Inter-ministerial Delegation to the Town (DIV)	65

d.	Staff and overheads of the DDASS and the DRASS	66
3.2.6	Ministry of National Education, Higher Education and Research	66
a.	National education system	66
b.	Research	67
3.2.7	Ministry of Youth and Sport	68
3.2.8	Ministry of Foreign Affairs	68
3.2.9	Ministry of Co-operation	69
3.2.10	Contribution to the European Union budget	69
<b>3.3</b>	<b>State Health Insurance Office (CNAM – Caisse nationale d’assurance maladie)</b>	70
3.3.1	Funding of prevention campaigns by the CNAM	70
3.3.2	The CNAM and the problem of work accidents	70
<b>3.4</b>	<b>Insurance companies</b>	72
<b>3.5</b>	<b>Lost income and lost production attributable to drugs</b>	73
3.4.1	Loss of income of individuals	74
3.5.2	Lost production in the workplace	80
<b>3.6</b>	<b>Losses in compulsory deductions attributable to illicit drugs</b>	91
<b>3.7</b>	<b>Privately funded associations</b>	93
<b>3.8</b>	<b>Other costs borne by private operatives</b>	93
3.8.1	Fines on persons convicted of drug offences	94
3.8.2	Other sanctions linked to drug convictions	94
3.8.3	Legal costs	95

<b>4.</b>	<b>Conclusion: How the social cost of licit drugs (alcohol and tobacco) and illicit drugs is calculated in France</b>	<b>99</b>
<b>4.1</b>	<b>Principles for calculating the social cost of drugs</b>	<b>99</b>
<b>4.2</b>	<b>Nature of costs considered</b>	<b>101</b>
<b>4.3</b>	<b>Limitation</b>	<b>103</b>
<b>4.4</b>	<b>Principal findings</b>	<b>104</b>