

PREFACE	vii
CONTENTS	xi
LIST OF FIGURES	xix
LIST OF TABLES	xxvii
NOMENCLATURE	xxxii
CHAPTER 1 GENERAL INTRODUCTION	1
<i>Introduction</i>	1
1.1 INTRODUCTION TO THE ISSUE OF ACIDIFICATION	1
<i>Dutch Priority Programme on Acidification</i>	3
1.2 HISTORY OF ATMOSPHERIC DEPOSITION RESEARCH	8
1.2.1 NITROGEN COMPOUNDS	9
<i>Wet deposition</i>	9
<i>Dry deposition</i>	11
1.2.2 SULPHUR COMPOUNDS	12
<i>Wet deposition</i>	12
<i>Dry deposition</i>	14
1.2.3 THROUGHFALL MEASUREMENTS	15
1.2.4 LONG-RANGE TRANSPORT	15
1.2.5 SYNTHESIS	17

1.3 ATMOSPHERIC DEPOSITION RESEARCH IN THE NETHERLANDS	18
1.4 OBJECTIVES AND OUTLINE OF THE BOOK.....	20
CHAPTER 2 EMISSION, TRANSFORMATION AND TRANSPORT.....	23
<i>Introduction</i>	23
2.1 EMISSION OF NITROGEN AND SULPHUR COMPOUNDS	23
2.1.1 ATMOSPHERIC SULPHUR AND NITROGEN COMPOUNDS	24
2.1.2 EMISSIONS	24
2.1.3 EVOLVEMENT OF ANTHROPOGENIC EMISSIONS SINCE 1900.....	26
<i>Emissions between 1900 and 1990</i>	26
<i>Emissions in the Netherlands and Europe during recent years.....</i>	28
2.2 ATMOSPHERIC CHEMISTRY	30
<i>SO₂</i>	32
<i>NO_x</i>	32
<i>NH₃</i>	33
2.3 FACTORS AFFECTING TRANSPORT	34
2.4 LONG-RANGE TRANSPORT MODELLING	39
2.5 SPATIAL VARIATION IN CONCENTRATION	41
2.5.1 THE NETHERLANDS.....	41
2.5.2 EUROPE.....	43
CHAPTER 3 DEPOSITION PROCESSES AND MEASUREMENT.....	49
<i>Introduction</i>	49
3.1 WET DEPOSITION.....	49
3.1.1 PROCESS DESCRIPTION	49
3.1.2 MEASURING METHODS	51
3.2 DRY DEPOSITION.....	55
3.2.1 PROCESS DESCRIPTION	55
3.2.2 FRAMEWORKS FOR THE DESCRIPTION OF ATMOSPHERE - SURFACE EXCHANGE	57
<i>Resistance analogy for trace gases.....</i>	57
<i>Particles</i>	60

3.2.3 MEASURING METHODS FOR DRY DEPOSITION	62
<i>Micrometeorological methods for estimating dry deposition</i>	62
<i>Surface wash methods to estimate dry deposition</i>	72
<i>Watershed mass balance method</i>	74
<i>Inferential technique</i>	74
<i>Chamber methods</i>	74
3.3 CLOUD AND FOG DEPOSITION AND DEW	76
3.3.1 PROCESS DESCRIPTION	76
3.3.2 MEASURING METHODS	76
3.4 EVALUATION AND COMPARISON OF DIFFERENT METHODS FOR ESTIMATING DEPOSITION	78
3.4.1 WET DEPOSITION	78
3.4.2 DRY DEPOSITION.....	78
<i>Sulphur</i>	79
<i>Nitrogen</i>	80
<i>Base cations</i>	81
3.4.3 CLOUD AND FOG DEPOSITION.....	82
3.4.3 SYNTHESIS.....	83
<i>Process-oriented studies</i>	83
<i>Evaluation of models</i>	83
<i>Detection of trends</i>	84
CHAPTER 4 MEASUREMENT RESULTS AND DRY DEPOSITION	85
<i>Introduction</i>	85
4.1 MEASUREMENT RESULTS	85
4.1.1 SO ₂	85
<i>Physiological Control</i>	86
<i>Physico-chemical control</i>	86
<i>Deposition to soil and litter</i>	88
4.1.2 NO _x	89
4.1.3 HNO _x	90
4.1.4 PAN	91
4.1.5 NH ₃	91
4.1.6 HCl.....	93
4.1.7 PARTICLES	93
4.1.8 METEORITES.....	94

4.2 SURFACE RESISTANCE PARAMETRISATIONS.....	98
<i>Introduction</i>	98
4.2.1 SURFACE RESISTANCE PARAMETERISATION FOR GASES.....	98
<i>Stomatal and mesophyll resistance</i>	99
<i>External leaf uptake</i>	100
<i>In-canopy transport</i>	104
<i>Deposition to soil and water surfaces</i>	105
4.2.2 PARTICLES	107
4.2.3 SYNTHESIS	109
<i>Uncertainties</i>	111
CHAPTER 5 GENERALISATION OF DEPOSITION.....	113
<i>Introduction</i>	113
5.1 LOCAL-SCALE DEPOSITION MAPS, WHAT'S THE USE?.....	114
5.2 DEPOSITION MODELLING IN THE NETHERLANDS.....	116
5.2.1 DEADM.....	116
5.2.2 WET DEPOSITION	118
<i>Dry deposition in bulk samplers</i>	118
<i>Neutral salts</i>	118
<i>Calculation procedure</i>	119
<i>Wet deposition estimates</i>	120
5.2.3 DRY DEPOSITION.....	122
<i>Roughness length maps</i>	122
<i>Dry deposition of acidifying components</i>	128
<i>Dry base cation deposition</i>	132
5.2.4 TOTAL DEPOSITION	136
<i>Acidifying components</i>	136
<i>Total base cation deposition</i>	141
5.3 DEPOSITION MODELLING IN EUROPE.....	146
5.3.1 EDACS	146
5.3.2 WET DEPOSITION	147
<i>Data collection and data quality</i>	148
<i>Interpolation</i>	149
<i>Description of spatial patterns</i>	150
5.3.3 DRY DEPOSITION.....	157
<i>Acidifying components</i>	157
<i>Dry base cation deposition</i>	161
5.3.4 TOTAL DEPOSITION	164

<i>Acidifying components</i>	164
<i>Base cations</i>	172
5.4 VARIATION IN DEPOSITION OVER SEVERAL YEARS	176
5.4.1 DEPOSITION AS A RESULT OF NATURAL SOURCES	176
5.4.2 HISTORICAL MEASUREMENTS OF WET DEPOSITION	177
<i>Nitrogen compounds</i>	177
<i>Sulphur</i>	181
<i>Base cations</i>	182
<i>The Netherlands</i>	183
5.4.3 NON-LINEARITIES IN TEMPORAL VARIATIONS	188
5.5 SYNTHESIS	193
CHAPTER 6 EVALUATION OF DEPOSITION ESTIMATES	195
<i>Introduction</i>	195
6.1 EVALUATION OF SURFACE EXCHANGE PARAMETERS FOR SO₂	196
6.1.2 EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE	196
<i>Deciduous forest</i>	196
<i>Coniferous forest</i>	196
<i>Grassland</i>	197
<i>Heathland</i>	197
6.1.3 MODELLED V_D COMPARED WITH MEASUREMENTS	198
6.1.4 DISCUSSION	204
<i>Uncertainties</i>	205
<i>Comparison with other parameterisations</i>	208
<i>Representativeness of parameterisation for European pollution climates</i>	208
6.2.5 SYNTHESIS	209
6.2 RELATION BETWEEN ATMOSPHERIC DEPOSITION AND SOIL LOADS	211
<i>Introduction</i>	211
6.2.1 THEORETICAL CONSIDERATIONS REGARDING CANOPY EXCHANGE	212
6.2.2 RESEARCH RESULTS FROM THE SPEULDER FOREST SITE	213
<i>Throughfall fluxes at the Speulder forest</i>	213
<i>Atmospheric deposition at the Speulder forest</i>	213
<i>Comparison of throughfall fluxes with atmospheric deposition estimates</i>	216
<i>Canopy exchange processes in the Speulder forest</i>	216
<i>Synthesis</i>	219
6.2.3 THROUGHFALL FLUXES COMPARED TO DEADM DEPOSITION ESTIMATES	220

<i>Acidifying components</i>	220
<i>Base cations</i>	222
6.3 UNCERTAINTY IN DEADM RESULTS	225
6.3.1 DRY DEPOSITION MEASUREMENTS	225
6.3.2 COMPARISON WITH OTHER MODEL RESULTS	228
6.3.3 ESTIMATION OF UNCERTAINTY RANGES	230
<i>Wet deposition</i>	231
<i>Dry deposition</i>	233
<i>Total deposition</i>	236
6.4 UNCERTAINTY IN THE EDACS RESULTS	238
6.4.2 WET DEPOSITION	238
<i>Total uncertainty in the wet deposition maps</i>	243
6.4.2 DRY DEPOSITION	244
6.4.3 TOTAL DEPOSITION	245
6.5 GENERAL SYNTHESIS	248
CHAPTER 7 THREE CASE STUDIES	253
<i>Introduction</i>	253
7.1 THE ELSPEETSCH VELD EXPERIMENT ON SURFACE EXCHANGE OF TRACE GASES	254
7.1.1 INTRODUCTION	254
7.1.2 STUDY AREA AND METHODS	254
<i>Study area</i>	254
<i>Measurement methods and approach</i>	255
7.1.3 CALCULATION OF DEPOSITION PARAMETERS	256
<i>SO₂</i>	256
<i>NO₂</i>	259
<i>NH₃</i>	259
<i>Throughfall and stemflow</i>	262
<i>Co-deposition of SO₂ and NH₃</i>	264
7.1.4 CONCLUSIONS	265
7.2 THE UTRECHTSE HEUVELRUG EXPERIMENT ON THE IMPACT OF CANOPY STRUCTURE AND FOREST EDGE EFFECTS ON DEPOSITION	267
7.2.1 INTRODUCTION	267
7.2.2 METHODS	269
<i>Study area</i>	269

<i>Throughfall and bulk precipitation sampling procedure</i>	272
<i>Canopy and edge structure measurements</i>	274
7.2.3 THE IMPACT OF CANOPY STRUCTURE: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION ..	280
<i>Evaluation of canopy structure parametrisation</i>	280
<i>Relationships between dry deposition and canopy structure</i>	283
<i>Predicting net throughfall using simple regression models with canopy structure and information on pollution climate</i>	288
7.2.4 THE IMPACT OF FOREST EDGES: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	290
<i>Evaluation of canopy structure parametrisation</i>	290
<i>Dry deposition gradients in the forest edges</i>	292
<i>Impact of canopy/edge structure and edge aspect</i>	298
<i>The impact of edge effects on dry deposition amounts to forests in the Netherlands</i>	303
7.2.5 CONCLUSIONS	307
<i>The impact of forest canopy structure on deposition amounts</i>	307
<i>The impact of forest edge effects on deposition amounts</i>	308
7.3 THE SPEULDER FOREST EXPERIMENTS TO DETERMINE THE INPUT AND RELATED IMPACTS TO DOUGLAS FIR	310
7.3.1 INTRODUCTION	310
<i>Deposition research</i>	310
<i>Assessment of relations between loads/levels and effects</i>	311
7.3.2 SITE DESCRIPTION	312
7.3.3 RESEARCH PROJECTS	313
7.3.4 DEPOSITION MONITORING OF GASEOUS COMPONENTS	314
<i>Introduction</i>	314
<i>Experimental procedure</i>	314
<i>Theory and interpretation</i>	315
<i>Dry deposition parameters for the Speulder forest</i>	316
7.3.5 THE AEROSOL PROJECT	325
<i>Introduction</i>	325
<i>Experimental set-up</i>	326
<i>Experimental determination of the acidifying aerosol and base cation input onto Speulder forest</i>	329
<i>Modelling particle deposition</i>	331
7.3.6 ANNUAL AVERAGE GAS AND PARTICLE DEPOSITION AND CHANGES WITH TIME	338
7.3.7 ASSESSMENT OF THE EFFECTS OF ACIDIFICATION, EUTROPHICATION AND OZONE	341
<i>Critical levels and loads at the Speulder forest site</i>	341
<i>Effect parameters and observed effects</i>	344
<i>Synthesis</i>	345

7.3.8 CONCLUSIONS..... 350
 Gaseous deposition 350
 Deposition of particles..... 351
 Assessment of the relation between loads/levels and effects 353
7.3.9 EVALUATION..... 355

REFERENCES 357

INDEX 397

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 1.1 Pattern of rainfall acidity in Europe based on EMEP measurements (EMEP, 1988).....	2
FIGURE 1.2 Intensity of defoliation for all species, or conifers only in 1988. Percentage of trees in classes 1-4 (>10% defoliation).....	4
FIGURE 1.3 Cause and effect chain studied in the DPA (Heij and Schneider, 1991).....	5
FIGURE 1.4 Schematic representation of deposition.....	6
FIGURE 2.1 Emissions of sulphur dioxide, nitrogen oxides and ammonia in Europe from 1900 to 1990 (mton a ⁻¹) compiled by De Leeuw (1994).....	27
FIGURE 2.2 Emissions of sulphur dioxide, nitrogen oxides and ammonia in USA from 1900 to 1990 (mton a ⁻¹) (NAPAP, 1992).....	27
FIGURE 2.3 Annual average total SO ₂ , NO _x and NH ₃ emissions in the Netherlands	29
FIGURE 2.4 Atmospheric chemistry for trace gases in the troposphere.....	31
FIGURE 2.5 Schematic representation of the main circulation types above western and central Europe. The location of the high pressure area is indicated by dots. The arrows give the tracking direction of depressions as well as the mean direction of the wind in the higher air layers. Generally, the characters represent the main direction of the circulation (e.g. SE = southeast); Ww = bending west circulation, BM = high pressure area above Atlantic ocean - central Europe, HM = high pressure area above central Europe, TM = low pressure area above central Europe (after Van der Ham, 1977).	37
FIGURE 2.6 Daily variation of the boundary-layer development (Stull, 1988).....	38
FIGURE 2.7 Distribution of annual median SO ₂ (A) and NO ₂ (B) concentrations in the Netherlands in 1993 (µg m ⁻³).....	42
FIGURE 2.8 Distribution of annual average NH ₃ concentrations in the Netherlands in 1993 (µg m ⁻³).....	43
FIGURE 2.9 Annual country average of 50-percentile SO ₂ , NO ₂ , NO _x and O ₃ concentrations, and annual average NH ₃ (µg m ⁻³) in 1977 - 1993 based on LML measurements (RIVM, 1994) and TREND model calculations (Van Jaarsveld, 1995).....	44

FIGURE 2.10 Annual mean concentration of SO ₂ (above) and SO ₄ ²⁻ aerosol (below) in 1993 in Europe as calculated by Tuovinen <i>et al.</i> (1994) using the EMEP-LTRAP model	45
FIGURE 2.10 (continued) Annual mean concentration of NO _x (above) and HNO ₃ (below) in 1993 in Europe as calculated by Tuovinen <i>et al.</i> (1994) using the EMEP-LTRAP model	46
FIGURE 2.10 (continued) Annual mean concentration of NO ₃ ⁻ aerosol (above) and NH ₃ (below) in 1993 in Europe as calculated by Tuovinen <i>et al.</i> (1994) using the EMEP-LTRAP model	47
FIGURE 2.10 (continued) Annual mean concentration of NH ₄ ⁺ aerosol in 1993 in Europe as calculated by Tuovinen <i>et al.</i> (1994) using the EMEP-LTRAP model	48
FIGURE 3.1 Wet deposition processes.	50
FIGURE 3.2 The dry deposition process.	55
FIGURE 3.3 Schematic representation of four transport mechanisms for particles through the quasi-laminar boundary layer (after Davidson and Wu, 1990). Shaded areas indicate the laminar boundary layer.	61
FIGURE 3.4 Relationship between the deposition velocity of particles and their mass median diameter for an Eucalyptus forest canopy (after Slinn, 1982). The dashed line indicates the sedimentation velocity (i.e. where the deposition rate is a linear function of the fall velocity of the particles). Canopy height = 27.4 m; friction velocity = 75 cm s ⁻¹ ; roughness length = 1.86 m; zero plain displacement height = 21.6 m; particle density = 1 g cm ⁻³	62
FIGURE 3.5 Illustration of instrumentation used for flux gradient measurements.....	70
FIGURE 3.5 (continued) Illustration of instrumentation used for flux gradient measurements.....	71
FIGURE 5.1 Frequency distribution of deposition on a small scale (5 x 5 km) within an EMEP grid (150 x 150 km) for nitrogen compounds. Using EMEP model results together with the appropriate filtering factor, we estimate total nitrogen deposition to this grid at 1650 mol ha ⁻¹ a ⁻¹	115
FIGURE 5.2 Distribution of the acidification areas over the Netherlands.	117
FIGURE 5.3 The spatial distribution of the dry deposition of SO ₄ ²⁻ , NO ₃ ⁻ , NH ₄ ⁺ and total potential acid in 1993 (mol ha ⁻¹ a ⁻¹).	121
FIGURE 5.4 Values for z ₀ as a function of wind direction sector (30 ⁰) and season for the Steeg station (103).	124
FIGURE 5.5 Values for z ₀ as a function of wind direction sector (30 ⁰) for the Cabauw station (620) estimated by Beljaars (1988) and in this study for summer (A) and winter (B) months.....	125
FIGURE 5.6 1 x 1 km grid average z ₀ values in the Netherlands: A: 0-60 cm, B: >50 cm. .	127
FIGURE 5.7 The spatial distribution of the dry deposition of SO _x , NO _y , NH _x and total potential acid in 1993 (mol ha ⁻¹ a ⁻¹).	129
FIGURE 5.8 Distribution of dry deposition SO _x to forest, grassland and water in 1993 in the Netherlands.	130

FIGURE 5.8 (<i>continued</i>) Distribution of dry deposition of NO _y and NH _x to forest, grassland and water in 1993 in the Netherlands.	131
FIGURE 5.9 Maps of the dry deposition of Na ⁺ (A), K ⁺ (B), Mg ²⁺ (C) and Ca ²⁺ (D) in the Netherlands (mol ha ⁻¹ a ⁻¹).	133
FIGURE 5.10 Dry deposition distribution of Na ⁺ , K ⁺ , Mg ²⁺ and Ca ²⁺ for forest, grassland and water.	134
FIGURE 5.10 (<i>continued</i>) Distribution of dry deposition Mg ²⁺ and Ca ²⁺ to forest, grassland and water.	135
FIGURE 5.11 Variation in total SO _x , NO _y , NH _x and potential acid deposition between 1980 and 1993 (mol ha ⁻¹ a ⁻¹).	136
FIGURE 5.12 The spatial distribution of the total deposition of SO _x , NO _y , NH _x and total potential acid in 1980 (mol ha ⁻¹ a ⁻¹).	137
FIGURE 5.13 The spatial distribution of the total deposition of SO _x , NO _y , NH _x and total potential acid in 1993 (mol ha ⁻¹ a ⁻¹).	138
FIGURE 5.14 Contribution of different countries to the deposition in the Netherlands (A) 1980 and (B) 1993.	142
FIGURE 5.15 Source category contribution to the deposition in the Netherlands (A) 1980 and (B) 1990.	143
FIGURE 5.16 The spatial distribution of the deposition of Na, K, Mg ²⁺ and Ca in 1993 (mol ha ⁻¹ a ⁻¹).	145
FIGURE 5.17 Outline of method to estimate local scale deposition fluxes.	147
FIGURE 5.18 Location of wet deposition monitoring sites in Europe.	148
FIGURE 5.19 Wet deposition of SO ₄ ²⁻ in Europe on a 50 x 50 km basis in 1989 in mol ha ⁻¹ a ⁻¹ (Van Leeuwen <i>et al.</i> , 1995).	151
FIGURE 5.20 Wet deposition of NO ₃ ⁻ in Europe on a 50 x 50 km basis in 1989 in mol ha ⁻¹ a ⁻¹ (Van Leeuwen <i>et al.</i> , 1995).	151
FIGURE 5.21 Wet deposition of NH ₄ ⁺ in Europe on a 50 x 50 km basis in 1989 in mol ha ⁻¹ a ⁻¹ (Van Leeuwen <i>et al.</i> , 1995).	152
FIGURE 5.22 Wet deposition of H ⁺ in Europe on a 50 x 50 km basis in 1989 in mol ha ⁻¹ a ⁻¹ (Van Leeuwen <i>et al.</i> , 1995).	152
FIGURE 5.23 Wet deposition of total base cations (Ca ²⁺ , Mg ²⁺ and K ⁺) in Europe on a 50 x 50 km basis in 1989 in mol ha ⁻¹ a ⁻¹ (Van Leeuwen <i>et al.</i> , 1995).	153
FIGURE 5.24 Concentration of Na ⁺ in Europe on a 50 x 50 km basis in 1989 in μmol l ⁻¹ (Van Leeuwen <i>et al.</i> , 1995).	154
FIGURE 5.25 Concentration of Cl ⁻ in Europe on a 50 x 50 km basis in 1989 in μmol l ⁻¹ (Van Leeuwen <i>et al.</i> , 1995).	155
FIGURE 5.26 Concentration of Mg ²⁺ in Europe on a 50 x 50 km basis in 1989 in μmol l ⁻¹ (Van Leeuwen <i>et al.</i> , 1995).	155
FIGURE 5.27 Concentration of Ca ²⁺ in Europe on a 50 x 50 km basis in 1989 in μmol l ⁻¹ (Van Leeuwen <i>et al.</i> , 1995).	156

FIGURE 5.28 Concentration of K^+ in Europe on a 50 x 50 km basis in 1989 in $\mu\text{mol l}^{-1}$ (Van Leeuwen <i>et al.</i> , 1995).....	156
FIGURE 5.29 Land-use map on a $1/6^0$ x $1/6^0$ grid of Europe (Van Velde, 1994).....	157
FIGURE 5.30 Roughness length map (cm) for the summer season (A) and winter season (B) on a $1/6^0$ x $1/6^0$ grid of Europe (Van Pul <i>et al.</i> , 1995).	158
FIGURE 5.31 Dry deposition of SOx in Europe on a $1/6^0$ x $1/6^0$ scale in $\text{mol ha}^{-1} \text{a}^{-1}$ (Van Pul <i>et al.</i> , 1995).....	159
FIGURE 5.32 Dry deposition of NOy in Europe on a $1/6^0$ x $1/6^0$ scale in $\text{mol ha}^{-1} \text{a}^{-1}$ (Van Pul <i>et al.</i> , 1995).....	160
FIGURE 5.33 Dry deposition of NHx in Europe on a $1/60$ x $1/60$ scale in $\text{mol ha}^{-1} \text{a}^{-1}$ (Van Pul <i>et al.</i> , 1995).....	160
FIGURE 5.34 Dry deposition of Na+ in Europe on a $1/6$ x $1/60$ scale in $\text{mol ha}^{-1} \text{a}^{-1}$	161
FIGURE 5.35 Dry deposition of Ca^{2+} in Europe on a $1/6$ x $1/60$ scale in $\text{mol ha}^{-1} \text{a}^{-1}$	162
FIGURE 5.36 Dry deposition of Mg^{2+} in Europe on a $1/6$ x $1/60$ scale in $\text{mol ha}^{-1} \text{a}^{-1}$	162
FIGURE 5.37 Dry deposition of K^+ in Europe on a $1/6$ x $1/6^0$ scale in $\text{mol ha}^{-1} \text{a}^{-1}$	163
FIGURE 5.38 Dry deposition of K^+ in Europe on a $1/6$ x $1/6^0$ scale in $\text{mol ha}^{-1} \text{a}^{-1}$	163
FIGURE 5.39 Total deposition of SOx in Europe on a $1/6$ x $1/6^0$ scale in $\text{mol ha}^{-1} \text{a}^{-1}$ (Van Pul <i>et al.</i> , 1995).....	164
FIGURE 5.40 Total deposition of NOy in Europe on a $1/6$ x $1/6^0$ scale in $\text{mol ha}^{-1} \text{a}^{-1}$ (Van Pul <i>et al.</i> , 1995).....	165
FIGURE 5.41 Total deposition of NHx in Europe on a $1/6$ x $1/6^0$ scale in $\text{mol ha}^{-1} \text{a}^{-1}$ (Van Pul <i>et al.</i> , 1995).....	165
FIGURE 5.42 Total deposition of potential acid in Europe on a $1/6$ x $1/6^0$ scale in $\text{mol ha}^{-1} \text{a}^{-1}$ (Van Pul <i>et al.</i> , 1995).....	166
FIGURE 5.43 Emission of SOx, NOy and NHx per country or region in Europe in 1993 expressed in $\text{mol H}^+ \text{ha}^{-1} \text{a}^{-1}$ (Tuovinen <i>et al.</i> , 1994).....	168
FIGURE 5.44 Deposition of SOx, NOy and NHx per country or region in Europe in 1993 expressed in $\text{mol H}^+ \text{ha}^{-1} \text{a}^{-1}$ (Tuovinen <i>et al.</i> , 1994).....	169
FIGURE 5.45 Emission and deposition of potential acid per country or region in Europe in 1993 in $\text{mol H}^+ \text{ha}^{-1} \text{a}^{-1}$ (Tuovinen <i>et al.</i> , 1994).....	170
FIGURE 5.46 Contribution (%) of different source categories to the total potential acid deposition for different countries in Europe.....	171
FIGURE 5.47 Total deposition of Na^+ in Europe on a $1/6$ x $1/6^0$ scale in $\text{mol ha}^{-1} \text{a}^{-1}$	172
FIGURE 5.48 Total deposition of Ca^{2+} in Europe on a $1/6$ x $1/6^0$ scale in $\text{mol ha}^{-1} \text{a}^{-1}$	173
FIGURE 5.49 Total deposition of Mg^{2+} in Europe on a $1/6$ x $1/6^0$ scale in $\text{mol ha}^{-1} \text{a}^{-1}$	173
FIGURE 5.50 Total deposition of K^+ in Europe on a $1/6$ x $1/6^0$ scale in $\text{mol ha}^{-1} \text{a}^{-1}$	174
FIGURE 5.51 Total deposition of base cations ($\text{Ca}^{2+} + \text{Mg}^{2+} + \text{K}^+$) in Europe on a $1/6$ x $1/6^0$ scale in $\text{mol ha}^{-1} \text{a}^{-1}$	174
FIGURE 5.52 Wet deposition of ammonium at Rothamsted (UK) and Montsouris (France) (in $\text{kg N ha}^{-1} \text{a}^{-1}$).....	178

FIGURE 5.52 (<i>continued</i>) Wet deposition of nitrate at Rothamsted (UK) and Montsouris (France) (in $\text{kg N ha}^{-1} \text{a}^{-1}$).....	179
FIGURE 5.53 Precipitation concentrations of sulphur measured over several years ($\text{mg SO}_3 \text{l}^{-1}$).....	181
FIGURE 5.54 Changes in Na^+ , Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} concentrations in precipitation (mg l^{-1}) measured at Leiduin near the Dutch coast.....	183
FIGURE 5.55 Horizontal gradient of wet deposition from the coast land inward as reported by Leeftang (1938). Data are averaged over 5 years (1932 - 1937).....	184
FIGURE 5.56 Time series of SO_4^{2-} concentration measurements in precipitation made at several sites in the Netherlands (mg l^{-1}).....	185
FIGURE 5.56 (<i>continued</i>) Time series of NO_3^- concentration measurements in precipitation made at several sites in the Netherlands (mg l^{-1}).....	186
FIGURE 5.57 Time series of wet deposition measurements made at Witteveen: (A) SO_4^{2-} , (B) NO_3^- and (C) NH_4^+ ($\text{mol ha}^{-1} \text{a}^{-1}$).....	187
FIGURE 5.57 Time series of wet deposition measurements made at Witteveen: (A) SO_4^{2-} , (B) NO_3^- and (C) NH_4^+ ($\text{mol ha}^{-1} \text{a}^{-1}$).....	188
FIGURE 5.58 Relative change between 1955 and 1990 in measured concentrations of sulphur in air, aerosol and precipitation, and in estimated sulphur emissions in the Netherlands and in Europe, and in ammonia emissions in the Netherlands.....	190
FIGURE 5.59 Temporal variation in wet and dry deposition of sulphur in the Netherlands ($\text{mol ha}^{-1} \text{a}^{-1}$).....	191
FIGURE 5.60 Ratio of NH_4^+ over (SO_4^{2-} plus NO_3^-) in precipitation in the Netherlands.....	192
FIGURE 6.1 Comparison of modelled V_d (m s^{-1}) with V_d obtained from measurements: A. Deciduous forest; B. Coniferous forest; C. Grassland and D. Heathland. Solid dots represent average modelled values for class-average measured values. The line represents the 1:1 ratio. Small overbars represent measured class averages \pm SD, while large overbars represent modelled averages \pm SD.....	199
FIGURE 6.2. Examples of time series of modelled and measured V_d : A. Deciduous forest; B. Coniferous forest.....	203
FIGURE 6.2 (<i>continued</i>). Examples of time series of modelled and measured V_d : C. Grassland and D. Heathland.....	204
FIGURE 6.3 Histograms of modelled (open columns) and measured (dark columns) V_d (m s^{-1}): A. Deciduous forest; B. Coniferous forest.....	206
FIGURE 6.3 (<i>continued</i>) Histograms of modelled (open columns) and measured (dark columns) V_d (m s^{-1}): C. Grassland and D. Heathland.....	207
FIGURE 6.4 Throughfall estimates compared to DEADM results for SO_x (A), NO_y (B), NH_x (C) and total potential acid (D) ($\text{mol ha}^{-1} \text{a}^{-1}$) for 21 sites. The 1:1 line is also shown.....	221
FIGURE 6.5 Throughfall estimates compared to DEADM results for SO_x (A), NO_y (B), NH_x (C) and total potential acid (D) ($\text{mol ha}^{-1} \text{a}^{-1}$) for 30 sites. The 1:1 line is also shown.....	222

FIGURE 6.6 Net throughfall estimates compared to DEADM dry deposition estimates for 30 sites for Na^+ (A), Mg^{2+} (B), Ca^{2+} (C) and K^+ (D) ($\text{mol ha}^{-1} \text{a}^{-1}$). The 1:1 line is also shown.....	223
FIGURE 6.7 Comparison of measured concentrations in Speulder forest (30 m) and those obtained from the model's calculations (50 m).....	227
FIGURE 6.8 Comparison of monthly V_d values calculated with DEADM (50-m height) and measured in Speulder forest (36-m height) (m s^{-1}).....	227
FIGURE 6.9 Comparison of TREND model results with estimates from this study ($\text{mol ha}^{-1} \text{a}^{-1}$). The 1:1 line is shown for comparison.....	229
FIGURE 6.10 Comparison of the country average calculated dry deposition of sulphur using EMEP and EDACS ($\text{mol ha}^{-1} \text{a}^{-1}$).....	245
FIGURE 6.11 Distribution of the numbered (pollution) regions in Europe in Table 6.13....	246
FIGURE 7.1 Time series of parameterized and measured R_c for SO_2 at Elspeetsche Veld.	258
FIGURE 7.2 Averaged R_c for NO_2 over heathland (Elspeetsche Veld) in autumn 1989 (Duyzer <i>et al.</i> , 1991).....	260
FIGURE 7.3 Annual average diurnal variations of F and V_d for NH_3	261
FIGURE 7.4 Surface resistance for SO_2 and NH_3 , relative humidity and surface wetness indicator for the Elspeetsche Veld site, 14-15 September 1991 (Erisman and Wyers, 1993).....	262
FIGURE 7.5 Location of the study area with the 30 throughfall measurement sites and five bulk precipitation measurement sites used to study the impact of canopy structure on dry deposition amounts.....	270
FIGURE 7.6 Location of the study area with the eight forest edge measurement sites and four bulk precipitation measurement sites used to study the impact of edge effects on dry deposition amounts.....	271
FIGURE 7.7 An example of a measurement site showing the area (10 x 10 m) around the throughfall gutter in which tree and stand structure characteristics were determined. The throughfall gutter, indicated as a black bar, is located in the centre of the plot. Grey areas mark the vertical crown projection of individual trees, whereas stem bases are indicated as black circles. The thick line indicates the boundary of the 100 m^2 plot.....	275
FIGURE 7.8 Relationship between winter net throughfall fluxes of SO_4^{2-} and the aerodynamic roughness length of the canopy. Douglas fir is indicated by squares, Scots pine by plus signs and oak by dots. The following relationship is valid: $[\text{NTF}-\text{SO}_4^{2-}] = 344.9*[z_o] + 201.3$ ($R=0.84$; $p<0.001$).....	286
FIGURE 7.9 Relationship between winter net throughfall fluxes of NO_3^- and the aerodynamic roughness length of the canopy. Douglas fir is indicated by squares, Scots pine by plus signs and oak by dots. The following relationship is valid: $[\text{NTF}-\text{NO}_3^-] = 59.6*[z_o] + 21.5$ ($R=0.84$; $p<0.001$).....	286
FIGURE 7.10 Relationship between winter net throughfall fluxes of NH_4^+ and the aerodynamic roughness length of the canopy. Douglas fir is indicated by squares, Scots	

pine by plus signs and oak by dots. The following relationship is valid: $[NTF-NH_4^+] = 431.0 * [z_{0c}] + 65.5$ ($R=0.88$; $p < 0.001$).....	287
FIGURE 7.11 Winter net throughfall fluxes of SO_4^{2-} predicted from linear regression models with the roughness length of the canopy, compared to measured winter net throughfall fluxes. Douglas fir is indicated by squares, Scots pine by plus signs and oak by dots... 289	289
FIGURE 7.12 Winter net throughfall fluxes of NO_3^- predicted from linear regression models with the roughness length of the canopy, compared to measured winter net throughfall fluxes. Douglas fir is indicated by squares, Scots pine by plus signs and oak by dots... 289	289
FIGURE 7.13 Winter net throughfall fluxes of NH_4^+ predicted from linear regression models with the roughness length of the canopy, compared to measured winter net throughfall fluxes. Douglas fir is indicated by squares, Scots pine by plus signs and oak by dots... 290	290
FIGURE 7.14 Net throughfall flux gradients of SO_4^{2-} , NO_3^- and NH_4^+ for the eight forest edges. Distance to edge divided by edge height is x/h	293
FIGURE 7.14 (continued) Net throughfall flux gradients of Na^+ , Cl^- and Mg^{2+} for the eight forest edges. Distance to edge divided by edge height is x/h	294
FIGURE 7.14 (continued) Net throughfall flux gradients of Ca^{2+} and K^+ , and gradients of the ratio between throughfall volume and precipitation volume for the eight forest edges. Distance to edge divided by edge height is x/h	295
FIGURE 7.15 'Ideal' net throughfall flux gradient in a forest edge.....	296
FIGURE 7.16 The percentage to which a particular wind direction contributed to the total pollutant dose of SO_2 , SO_4^{2-} , NO_2 , NO , NO_3^- , and NH_4^+ during the measurements, respectively	301
FIGURE 7.16 (continued) The percentage to which a particular wind direction contributed to the total pollutant dose of Na^+ , Cl^- , Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} and K^+ , and total air supply during the measurements, respectively	302
FIGURE 7.17 The percentage to which different size classes contribute to the total number (and area) of forest complexes (left), and to the total number (and area) of individual forest stands in the Netherlands (right).....	305
FIGURE 7.18 Frequency distribution of forest stand heights in the Netherlands. The relatively high percentage observed for height class 0-2 m is the result of the relatively high fraction of timber felling areas and non-afforested silvicultural areas in the Netherlands.....	306
FIGURE 7.19 Relationship between the part of the total area of Dutch forests influenced by edge effects and edge width. The thin line represents this relationship when only edges between forested areas and non-forested areas are taken into account.....	307
FIGURE 7.20 Deposition research at the Speulder forest site.	315
FIGURE 7.21 Eddy correlation u^* measurements at 30 m compared to those measured at 36 m	317
FIGURE 7.22 Eddy correlation H measurements at 30 m compared to those measured at 36 m.	318
FIGURE 7.23 Daily variations of SO_2 in R_c for dry and wet surface conditions.....	320

FIGURE 7.24 R_c values for SO_2 and NH_3 from continuous measurements of vertical gradients over the Speulder forest ('Weather' provides information on precipitation: 0=dry; 10=rain).	321
FIGURE 7.25 Diurnal variation of $V_d \text{NO}_2$ and V_d for stomatal uptake for selected measuring periods.....	323
FIGURE 7.26 Parameterised values of V_d compared to V_d derived from NH_3 gradients for selected measuring periods ($R^2=0.25$ for positive values)	324
FIGURE 7.27 Experimentally determined V_d versus u^* . V_d values were estimated at the following heights: fog at 28 m; NO_3^- and SO_4^{2-} (TNO) at 35 m; SO_4^{2-} (ECN) at 22 m and ^{214}Pb at 19 m.	330
FIGURE 7.28 Summary of modelled deposition velocities versus u^* . V_d values were estimated at the following heights: fog at 28 m; NO_3^- and SO_4^{2-} (TNO) at 35 m; SO_4^{2-} (ECN) at 22 m and ^{214}Pb at 19 m.....	336

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 2.1 Total SO ₂ , NO _x and NH ₃ emissions in the world and on European and American scales separated into natural and anthropogenic emissions (Whelpdale, 1987)	25
TABLE 2.2 Source contribution of sulphur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, and ammonia to anthropogenic emissions (Hov <i>et al.</i> , 1987; Asman, 1992).....	25
TABLE 2.3 Emissions of reduced sulphur compounds to the atmosphere in Tg (S) a ⁻¹	26
TABLE 2.4 Dutch total annual emissions 1980 - 1993 expressed in kton SO ₂ , kton NO ₂ for NO _x	28
TABLE 2.5 Overview of the ammonia emission in the Netherlands from 1980 to 1993.....	30
TABLE 2.6 Annual average concentrations of acidifying components measured for the Netherlands in 1993 (µg m ⁻³).....	43
TABLE 3.1 Ratios of wet deposition fluxes obtained by wet-only and bulk samplers according to Ridder <i>et al.</i> (1984) and Van Leeuwen <i>et al.</i> (1995)	53
TABLE 3.2 Precipitation monitoring networks in Europe	54
TABLE 3.3 Schmidt and Prandtl number correction in Eqn. 6 for several gases (Hicks <i>et al.</i> , 1987)	60
TABLE 4.1 The number of meteorites above a certain mass retrieved by the earth's surface as predicted by Hughes (1992).....	95
TABLE 4.2 Internal resistance, R _i , to be used for estimating the stomatal resistance for different seasons and land-use types using Eqn. (4.4), with entities of 9999 indicating no air—surface exchange via that resistance pathway (Adopted from Wesely, 1989).....	100
TABLE 4.3 Average R _c values for SO ₂ and NH ₃ observed under different conditions; negative values denote emissions (Erisman and Wyers, 1993)	102
TABLE 4.4 R _{ext} for NH ₃ (s m ⁻¹) over different vegetation categories in Europe, negative values for R _{ext} denote emission for estimating a net upward flux.....	103
TABLE 4.5 R _c values for soil surfaces, snow-covered surfaces and water surfaces (negative values denote emission)	107
TABLE 4.6 Parametrisations of E values for different components and conditions	108

TABLE 4.7 Surface resistances for different gases during daytime under different pollution climates.....	110
TABLE 5.1 Number of grid cells (10 x 10 km) in the Netherlands with median critical loads, divided into classes, and the average and standard deviation of the acid loads for the grid cells in each class.....	114
TABLE 5.2 Country average dry, wet and total deposition of SO _x , NO _y , NH _x , total nitrogen and potential acid in 1980 - 1993 (mol ha ⁻¹ a ⁻¹).....	122
TABLE 5.3 Individual roughness elements as z_0 values, with h = the average tree height in m.....	127
TABLE 5.4 Average deposition to forests in the Netherlands in 1993 (mol ha ⁻¹ a ⁻¹).....	128
TABLE 5.5 Deposition of SO _x , NO _y , NH _x , total nitrogen and total potential acid deposition for each acidification area in the Netherlands in 1980 (mol ha ⁻¹ a ⁻¹).....	139
TABLE 5.6 Deposition of SO _x , NO _y , NH _x , total nitrogen and total potential acid deposition for each acidification area in the Netherlands in 1993 (mol ha ⁻¹ a ⁻¹).....	140
TABLE 5.7 Dry, wet and total deposition of base cations for each acidification area in the Netherlands in 1993 (mol ha ⁻¹ a ⁻¹).....	144
TABLE 5.8 Background wet, dry and total deposition in the Netherlands (mol H ⁺ ha ⁻¹ a ⁻¹).....	177
TABLE 6.1 Average, minimum and maximum measured parameters, and measured and modelled V_d , F and R_c values, with correlation coefficients between modelled and measured values, as well as SD (in parentheses).....	200
TABLE 6.2 Average dry deposition velocities (m s ⁻¹) and correlation coefficients between modelled and measured V_d for dry/wet and daytime and night-time periods, with SD in parentheses.....	202
TABLE 6.3 Average net throughfall fluxes, and dry and fog deposition estimates for the Speulder forest, along with wet deposition at Speulder Veld (mol ha ⁻¹ a ⁻¹).....	214
TABLE 6.4 Comparison of net throughfall fluxes with atmospheric deposition (% difference calculated as in Table 6.1) for four periods for which complete wash-off of deposited material was expected.....	216
TABLE 6.5 Canopy uptake or leaching in Speulder forest estimated using results of different experiments (+ = uptake; - = leaching; 0 = inert or negligible; x = not estimated).....	218
TABLE 6.6 Throughfall estimates and total deposition estimates averaged over different locations (mol ha ⁻¹ a ⁻¹).....	220
TABLE 6.7 Net throughfall estimates and dry deposition estimates averaged over 30 different locations (mol ha ⁻¹ a ⁻¹).....	224
TABLE 6.8 Flux measurements and estimates for different locations in the Netherlands in mol ha ⁻¹ a ⁻¹ using different methods.....	226
TABLE 6.9 Average dry deposition fluxes) for the Speulder forest (mol ha ⁻¹ a ⁻¹) based on measurements (November 1992 - September 1993) and calculated using DEADM (January 1993 - September 1993).....	228
TABLE 6.10 Total systematic uncertainty (%) in yearly average total deposition flux on different spatial scales for all individual components (1993).....	233

TABLE 6.11 Range in annual average R_c values (s m^{-1}) for forests, heathland and agricultural areas	235
TABLE 6.12 Summary of total uncertainty in wet deposition per average grid cell of 50 x 50 km for different areas in the conservative and the worst cases.....	243
TABLE 6.13 Uncertainty of key factors influencing deposition estimates of S and oxidised and reduced N in different pollution regions in Europe	247
TABLE 7.1 Overview of methods and equipment used during the Elspeetsche Veld experiment.	255
TABLE 7.2 Criteria for acceptance of observations and no. of observations rejected (total no. of measurements: 5298 two hourly average periods).	256
TABLE 7.3 Average SO_2 R_c (4 m) values (\pm uncertainty estimates) for selected daytime and night-time and dry and wet conditions at Elspeetsche Veld.	257
TABLE 7.4 Annual average dry deposition parameters for SO_2 at Elspeetsche Veld (4 m height). For the resistances the harmonic averages (\pm uncertainty estimates) are presented.	259
TABLE 7.5 Annual average SO_4 , NO_3 and NH_4 throughflow fluxes and bulk deposition ($\text{mol ha}^{-1} \text{a}^{-1}$). The standard deviation is given between brackets (Bobbink <i>et al.</i> , 1990).	263
TABLE 7.6 Overview of stand structure characteristics of the 30 forest stands	281
TABLE 7.7 Overview of stand structure characteristics of single tree species	282
TABLE 7.8 Mean dimensions of needles from Douglas fir and Scots pine trees, with <i>SD</i> in parentheses ($n = 150$).....	283
TABLE 7.9 Correlation matrix between net throughfall fluxes and canopy structure characteristics for the 30 forest stands.....	284
TABLE 7.10 Interrelationships between the individual canopy structure characteristics of the 30 forest stands	287
TABLE 7.11 Canopy and edge structure characteristics of the eight forest edges, showing averages of 7 or 8 recordings (structure characteristics were determined around each throughfall gutter) with the exception of porosity and the roughness length of the upwind terrain	291
TABLE 7.12 Whole-edge integrated net throughfall enhancement (<i>WEINTE</i>) factors for the eight forest edges ($x = \text{mean of all edges}$).....	297
TABLE 7.13 Ratio between the net throughfall flux at $x/h = 0.25$ and that at $x/h = 5$ for the eight forest edges	298
TABLE 7.14 Correlation matrix between whole-edge integrated net throughfall enhancement (<i>WEINTE</i>) factors and canopy/edge structure characteristics for the eight forest edges	299
TABLE 7.15 Whole-edge integrated net throughfall enhancement (<i>WEINTE</i>) factors in relation to the edge aspect.....	303
TABLE 7.16 Soil chemical and texture data for the Speulder forest (Van Breemen and Verstraten, 1991)	313
TABLE 7.17 Correction factor for flux profile functions over the Speulder forest (Bosveld, 1991).	316

TABLE 7.18 Selection criteria for gradients measured over the Speulder forest and the percentage of measurements left after selection (total remaining: 2345 hours of continuous SO ₂ measurements, 220 hours NO ₂ measurements and 756 hours continuous NH ₃).....	319
TABLE 7.19 Average deposition parameters for SO ₂ , with standard deviations in parentheses.....	320
TABLE 7.20 Average deposition parameters for NH ₃ , with standard deviations in parentheses.....	325
TABLE 7.21 An overview of experiments performed at the Speulder forest site to quantify particle dry deposition.....	329
TABLE 7.22 Characteristic features of some models describing the dry deposition of particles to vegetation and water surfaces (Ruijgrok <i>et al.</i> , 1994).....	331
TABLE 7.23 Component specific-size distributions (mass median diameter, <i>MMD</i> , and geometrical standard deviation, σ_g) derived from measurements in the Netherlands....	334
TABLE 7.24 Model performance indicators ^a and averages for the different comparison studies.....	335
TABLE 7.25 Average uptake and leaching amounts at the Speulder forest derived from field experiments and modelling (mol ha ⁻¹ a ⁻¹) (Draaijers <i>et al.</i> , 1994).....	337
TABLE 7.26 Average fluxes at Speulder forest in 1987-1993 (mol ha ⁻¹ a ⁻¹).....	339
TABLE 7.27 Estimates of the deposition of acidifying components between 1950 and 1994 for the Speulder forest site (mol ha ⁻¹ a ⁻¹).....	340
TABLE 7.28 Critical levels for forests in Europe.....	342
TABLE 7.29 Critical acid loads for Speulder forest based on different effects (mol ha ⁻¹ a ⁻¹).....	343
TABLE 7.30 Critical N loads for Speulder forest based on different effects (mol ha ⁻¹ a ⁻¹)..	343
TABLE 7.31 Possible effects on forest ecosystems of increased atmospheric N+S loading and exposure to SO ₂ , NO _x , NH ₃ and/or O ₃	345
TABLE 7.32 Critical loads, exceedances and effects observed at Speulder forest	347