

# Contents

<b>Introduction</b>	I
<b>PART I. CAN THERE BE A NON-PASCALIAN CONCEPT OF PROBABILITY?</b>	
<b>1. The Problem for a Polycriterial Theory of Probability</b>	5
§1. One criterion, or many?	5
§2. The mathematicist theory	9
§3. The family-resemblance theory	10
<b>2. Probability as the Gradation of Provability</b>	13
§4. The degree-of-provability theory	13
§5. Proof-criteria that are general, necessary and extensional	17
§6. Proof-criteria that are general, contingent, and extensional	19
§7. Proof-criteria that are general, contingent, and non-extensional	21
§8. Proof-criteria that are singular and either necessary or contingent	24
§9. Probability-statements as evaluations of inferential soundness	27
<b>3. The Completeness Issue</b>	33
§10. The connection between deductive completeness and mathematical probability	33
§11. The grading of probabilities by evidential weight	36
§12. Mathematical and inductive probability	39
§13. The example of judicial proof	43
<b>PART II. SIX DIFFICULTIES FOR A PASCALIAN ACCOUNT OF JUDICIAL PROBABILITY</b>	
<b>4. What are the Standards of Proof in Courts of Law?</b>	49

§14.	The difference between the criminal and civil standards	49
§15.	Theories about judicial probability	51
§16.	The nature of the argument against a mathematicist theory	56
<b>5.</b>	<b>The Difficulty about Conjunction</b>	<b>58</b>
§17.	The constraint on complexity in civil cases	58
§18.	The independence issue	61
§19.	Does the balance of probability lie between the plaintiff's and the defendant's contentions?	62
§20.	Does the balance of probability consist in the difference between prior and posterior probabilities?	63
§21.	Does the plaintiff's contention need evaluation as a whole?	65
<b>6.</b>	<b>The Difficulty about Inference upon Inference</b>	<b>68</b>
§22.	Permissiveness in regard to two- or many-stage proofs	68
§23.	Is the constraint on inference upon inference a purely legal matter?	71
<b>7.</b>	<b>The Difficulty about Negation</b>	<b>74</b>
§24.	The paradox of the gate-crasher	74
§25.	What is the threshold of proof in civil cases?	76
§26.	When are statistical probabilities admissible evidence?	78
<b>8.</b>	<b>The Difficulty about Proof beyond Reasonable Doubt</b>	<b>82</b>
§27.	Reasons for doubting guilt	82
§28.	The role of statistical probabilities in judicial proof	83
<b>9.</b>	<b>The Difficulty about a Criterion</b>	<b>87</b>
§29.	The inapplicability of Carnapian criteria	87
§30.	The inapplicability of betting odds	89
§31.	The hiatus to be filled	91
<b>10.</b>	<b>The Difficulty about Corroboration and Convergence</b>	<b>93</b>
§32.	The common structure of testimonial corroboration and circumstantial convergence	93

§33.	The traditional, Bernoullian analysis	95
§34.	The need to take prior probabilities into account	97
§35.	A demonstrably adequate analysis of corroboration and convergence in terms of mathematical probability	101
§36.	The legal inadmissibility of positive prior probabilities	107
§37.	The method of contraposition	114
<b>11.</b>	<b>The Case against a Mathematicist Account of Judicial Probability</b>	116
§38.	The impact of accumulated anomalies	116
§39.	The existing standards of assessment	118

### PART III. THE ELEMENTS OF INDUCTIVE PROBABILITY

<b>12.</b>	<b>The Foundations of Inductive Logic</b>	121
§40.	Inductive probability and inductive support	121
§41.	On what foundations should a theory of inductive support be based?	123
<b>13.</b>	<b>The Grading of Inductive Support</b>	129
§42.	An example of inductive support-assessment in entomology	129
§43.	The importance of evidential replicability	133
§44.	The definition of a series of inductively relevant variables	135
§45.	Ontology versus epistemology in the philosophy of inductive support	140
§46.	The subsumption of Mill's canons under the method of relevant variables	144
§47.	How the method of relevant variables applies to scientific theories	151
§48.	Whewell's consilience, and Lakatos's progressive problem-shift, as inductive criteria	157
§49.	The problem of anomalies	162
<b>14.</b>	<b>The Logical Syntax of Inductive Support-gradings</b>	167
§50.	The conjunction principle for inductive support	167
§51.	The uniformity principle for inductive support	170
§52.	Some consequences of evidential replicability	175

§53.	The negation principle for inductive support	177
§54.	Some consequences of modifying a generalization in order to ensure reliability	182
<b>15.</b>	<b>The Incommensurability of Inductive Support and Mathematical Probability</b>	187
§55.	The argument from the possibility of anomalies	187
§56.	The argument from the conjunction principle: first stage	190
§57.	The argument from the conjunction principle: second stage	196
<b>16.</b>	<b>The Grading of Inductive Probability</b>	199
§58.	The relation between inductive support and inductive probability	199
§59.	The balancing off of favourable and unfavourable circumstances in the assessment of an inductive probability	202
§60.	The asymmetric effects of favourable and unfavourable evidence	207
§61.	Inductive probability as a grading of evidential informativeness	211
§62.	The presumption of total evidence	213
<b>17.</b>	<b>The Logical Syntax of Inductive Probability-gradings</b>	217
§63.	Some logical similarities between inductive and mathematical probability	217
§64.	Some logical differences between inductive and mathematical probability	219
§65.	The incommensurability of inductive and mathematical probability	224
§66.	A formal axiomatization for the logical syntax of inductive probability	229

#### PART IV. SOME APPLICATIONS OF INDUCIVE PROBABILITY

<b>18.</b>	<b>The Assessment of Judicial Proof</b>	245
§67.	Are there any uses for the concept of inductive probability?	245
§68.	Proof beyond reasonable doubt	247

§69.	Proof on the preponderance of evidence	252
§70.	Contextual clues to the disambiguation of probability-sentences	256
<b>19.</b>	<b>An Inductivist Resolution of Six Difficulties for a Pascalian Account of Judicial Proof</b>	265
§71.	The difficulty about conjunction	265
§72.	The difficulty about inference upon inference	267
§73.	The difficulty about negation	270
§74.	The difficulty about proof beyond reasonable doubt	272
§75.	The difficulty about a criterion	273
§76.	The difficulty about corroboration and convergence	277
<b>20.</b>	<b>Criteria of Merit for Explanations of Individual Events</b>	282
§77.	Hempel's theory of explanation for individual events	282
§78.	Covering laws for both proofs and explanations	284
§79.	The grading of explanatory completeness	285
§80.	The merit of the explanation tends to vary inversely with the generality of the covering law	291
<b>21.</b>	<b>Statistical Explanation</b>	295
§81.	Hempel's account of statistical explanation	295
§82.	The need to maximize inductive probability for the appositeness of the reference-class	297
§83.	The need for favourable relevance rather than a high mathematical probability	300
§84.	Salmon's rejection of the relevance requirement	303
§85.	The need for a propensity interpretation	306
<b>22.</b>	<b>Criteria of Rational Belief</b>	310
§86.	The problem of the detachment conditions for dyadic judgements of probability	310
§87.	Difficulties for the formulation of an acceptance-rule in terms of mathematical probability	312
§88.	Four unsatisfactory proposals for resolving the difficulties	314
§89.	The advantages of formulating an acceptance-rule in terms of inductive probability	318

<b>23. Dispositions</b>	325
§90. Some difficulties in current truth-functional analyses of dispositions	325
§91. The inductive probability implicit in a dispositional statement	327
§92. Nominalism versus realism in the philosophy of inductive support	331
§93. Can one be a nominalist on some issues but not on others?	336
§94. The nominalist interpretation is epistemologically, not ontologically, superior	339
<b>24. An Epistemological Corollary</b>	345
§95. The prevailing scepticism in the philosophy of science	345
§96. If inductive knowledge of comparative reliability is possible, so is knowledge of complete reliability	348
§97. Inductive knowledge does not imply knowing that one knows	350
§98. The prevalence of scepticism is due largely to an inadequate understanding of inductive logic	351
<b>Index</b>	357