

Anne L.C. Runehov

Sacred or Neural?

The Potential of Neuroscience
to Explain Religious Experience

Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht

Table of Contents

List of Figures	8
Acknowledgements	9
1 Introduction	13
1.1 The Aim and Key Questions	16
1.2 Material and Method	17
1.2 Interdisciplinarity	18
1.2.1 Philosophy and Philosophy of Religion	18
1.2.2 Theology, Religious Studies and Religion	19
1.2.3 Science and Theology	20
1.2.4 Neuroscience	21
1.3.5 Neurotheology	22
1.3 Preview of the Chapters	23
2 Experiencing and Explaining	27
2.1 Human Experiences	27
2.1.1 Ways of Experiencing	30
2.2 Religious and Non-Religious Experiences	33
2.2.1 Ways of Religious Experiencing	39
2.2.2 Types of Religious Experience	41
2.2.3 Non-Religious and Religious Experiences	46
2.3 Psychological Experiences	48
2.4 Explaining Things and Events	50
2.4.1 General Explanations	50
2.4.2 Scientific Explanations	51
2.4.3 Explanations in Theology and Religious Studies	55
2.4.4 Explaining Experiences of Others	59
2.5 Summary and Conclusions	61

3	Analysing Persinger's Research	67
3.1	God Beliefs and God Experiences	69
3.1.1	God Beliefs	69
3.1.2	Parental Care and God Belief	73
3.2	Neuroscientific Research	74
3.2.1	Transcendental Meditation and Kindling	75
3.2.2	Right-handedness and Religious Experiences	81
3.2.3	Vectorial Hemisphericity	87
3.3	Persinger's Conclusions	92
3.4	Summary and Conclusions	95
4	Evaluating Persinger's Research	99
4.1	The Scientific Validity of the Studies	99
4.1.1	Religious Experiences and Epilepsy	100
4.1.2	Gender and Religious Experiences	103
4.1.3	Vectorial Hemisphericity	106
4.2	Religious Experiences and Epilepsy	108
4.3	Comparing Religious and Epileptic Experiences	111
4.4	Right-Handedness of Men and Women	117
4.5	Hemisphericity and Religious Experiences	121
4.6	God Experiences and Personal Crises	123
4.7	Experiencing and Types of Experience	131
4.8	Summary and Conclusions	132
5	Analysing Newberg and d'Aquili's Research	137
5.1	Neurological Models	140
5.1.1	The Via Negativa	143
5.1.2	The Via Positiva	147
5.2	Experimental Research	152
5.3	Newberg and d'Aquili's Conclusions	157
5.3.1	The Invariant Element	157
5.3.2	All Experiences are Equal	159
5.3.3	Absolute Unitary Being or God is Real	160
5.3.4	Mind and Brain Relationship	162
5.4	Summary and Conclusions	168

6	Evaluating Newberg and d'Aquili's Research	173
6.1	The Scientific Validity of the Study	173
6.2	Comparing Experiment and Models	177
6.3	Invariant Element across the Religions?	178
6.4	Are All Experiences Equal?	186
6.5	Does Absolute Unitary Being Exist?	188
6.6	Different Thalamic Values	192
6.7	Experiencing and Types of Experience	195
6.8	Summary and Conclusions	198
7	Neuroscientific Explanations of Religious Experience	201
7.1	Reductionism	204
7.2	Accurately Reducing	206
7.3	Erroneously Reducing	212
7.4	Combining Explanations	216
7.5	Explanatory Models	219
7.6	Developing Newberg and d'Aquili's Model	220
7.7	Summary and Conclusions	224
8	Final Conclusions	227
8.1	Some Suggestions to Neuroscientists	231
	Bibliography	233