

Addressing Corruption Allegations in International Arbitration	p. 1
Abstract	p. 1
Keywords	p. 1
Introduction	p. 2
Despite Longstanding Efforts to Combat Corruption, It Remains Endemic in Much of the World	p. 3
The Requirements for Establishing Corruption	p. 9
The Burden and Standard of Proof for Allegations of Corruption	p. 15
The Burden of Proof Is on the Party Alleging Corruption	p. 15
Most Courts and Tribunals Require More Compelling Evidence to Prove Corruption and Fraud	p. 20
The Standard of Proof Applied by National Courts	p. 20
The Standard of Proof Applied by International Courts and Tribunals	p. 24
Corruption May Be Proved through Circumstantial (Rather than Direct) Evidence	p. 31
Tribunals Have the Power to Draw Adverse Inferences against Either Party for Failing to Produce Evidence	p. 34
From Theory to Practice: Proving Corruption in Investment Arbitration	p. 38
The Consequences of Corruption in International Arbitration	p. 50
Retaliating against and Causing Damage to an Investor for Corrupt Reasons Would Violate the State's Obligation to Accord Fair and Equitable Treatment	p. 50
Claims Arising out of Contracts Procured through Corruption or Concluded for Purposes of Paying Bribes Would Be Dismissed	p. 56
Investments Made through Corruption Would Not Be Protected under Any Treaty and Would Not Give Rise to Valid Claims	p. 63
Post-investment Corruption May Have Consequences for the Merits or Quantum of the Claims	p. 72
Objections Based on Attribution and Estoppel Where the State Does Not Prosecute the Alleged Corruption	p. 75
Conclusion	p. 84
Bibliography	p. 84
Table of Contents provided by Blackwell's Book Services and R.R. Bowker. Used with permission.	