

Acknowledgments

Introduction

A Short Biography of mKhas grub rje

Translation: The Great Digest 21

The Reason Why It Is Correct to Seek Out Reality [The Emptiness Taught in the Tantras]

The Benefits of Trusting in the Profound [Doctrine of Emptiness]

The Vessel, That is, the Listen, to Whom This Doctrine Should be Explained 21

The Actual Doctrine to Be Explained

Identifying Which Scriptures Are of Definitive Meaning (nges don) and Which of Provisional Meaning (drang don)

THE DOCTRINES OF THE YOGACARA SCHOOL

[Yogacara Metaphysics and Hermeneutics] 21

The Reality of the Dependent and the Real, and the Yogacara Critique of the Madhyamaka] 21

The Doctrines of the Madhyamaka School

[The Sources of the Madhyamaka School]

How the Father, the Arya Nagarjuna, and His Son [Aryadeva], Following Such Sutras as the Aksayamatirirdesa, Set Forth the Doctrine of the Definitive and the Provisional

How, Step by Step, the Texts of Nagarjuna and the Commentaries on Their Purport (dgongs pa) Arose

The Explanation of the Way in Which the Scriptures of the Arya Were Written

The Explanation of How the Individual Commentaries on the Purport [of Nagarjuna's Treatises] Arose 21

Setting Forth Emptiness by Following Those [Madhyamaka Scriptures]

Identifying Which Analyzes the Ultimate (don dam dpyod pa'i rtags)

Why It Is Necessary to Identify What Is to Be Refuted

Refuting the Scriptural Exegesis of Those Who [Proceed in the] Refutation without Identifying [the Object to Be Refuted]

Refuting the One Who Overextends (khyab ches ba) Himself or Herself in the Identification of What Is to Be Refuted

Stating What They Believe

Refuting Them

Demonstrating That They Have Refuted the Principle and Special Quality of the Prasangika Madhyamikas

Identifying That Chief Quality

How They Have Refuted That [Special Quality] by Their System [of Interpretation]

Demonstrating Those Reason to Be Faulty

Demonstrating That Their Examination of What It Means for Something to Withstand or Not Withstand Logical Analysis Is Faulty

Demonstrating That Their Analysis into the Four Possibilities, Existence, Nonexistence, and So Forth, Is Faulty [The Law of Excluded Middle and the Question of Whether the Madhyamaka Has a Viewpoint] 21

Demonstrating That Their Analysis of [What It Means for Something] to Be Established or Not Established by a Valid Cognition, and Their Subsequent Refutation, Is Faulty

Demonstrating That [Their] Examination of Whether Arising Can Be Determined to Exist in Any One of the Four Ways, Such as Arising from Self, Is Faulty

Demonstrating That It Is Incorrect to Urge on Us the Absurdity That What We Advocate Goes Against the Four Reliances

How We Refute the One Who Does Not Go Far Enough (khyab cung ba) in the Identification of the Object of Refutation

The Explanation of What Our Own System [Considers] to Be the Extent of What Is to Be Refuted

Explaining in a General Way the Layout of What Is to Be Refuted 21

[THE DOCTRINES OF THE SVATANTRIKA SCHOOL] 21

The Explanation of the Measure of the Svatantrikas' Object of Refutation 21

The Doctrines of the Prasangika School 21

Explaining the Extent (tshad) of the Prasangikas' Object of Refutation (dgag bya) 21

Refuting Misconceptions in Regard to the [Distinction between Svatantrikas and Prasangikas] 21

An Explanation of the Implications of This

An Explanation of (1) the Two Kinds of Selflessness to Be Refuted and (2) the Selflessness That Is the Refutation

A Brief Mention of the Tenets Advocated by Other Systems

Identifying the Self that Is the Perceived Object (dmigs yul) of the Innate View of a Self As Accepted by Both Buddhists and Others

What Faults the Glorious Candrakīrti Finds in These [Views]

How the Other Buddhist Schools Posit the Self That Is the Direct Object of the Two Views of the Self [the Person and Phenomena] and How That Self, Which Is Something to Be Refuted, Is Posited as Nonexistent 21

How the Glorious Candrakīrti's Critique Is to Be Expounded

The Exposition of the System of the Prasangikas as a Distinct [System in Its Own Right] 21

The Explanation of Whether Sravakas and Pratyekabuddhas Understand the Selflessness of Phenomena

How the Glorious Candrakīrti Goes About Explaining This

The Refutation of the Misconception That Believes That [Exposition] to Be Incorrect 21

The Exposition of the Valid Scriptural Evidence Explaining that Sravakas and Pratyekabuddhas Have an Understanding of the Selflessness of Phenomena

The Exposition of the System of the Son of the Conqueror, Santideva

The Explanations of This Point According to the Abhisamayalamkāra, the Uttaratantra, and Their Commentaries 20

An Extensive Explanation of Scripture and Logical Reasoning Proving That It Is Correct [to Claim That] Sravakas and Pratyekabuddhas Have an Understanding of the Selflessness of Phenomena

The Exposition of the Logical Reasoning

Bringing Scriptural Exegesis to Bear [on the Problem] 21

The Explanation of the Two [Kinds of] Obscurations (sgrib pa) and the Paths on Which They Are Abandoned 21

As Regards the Refutation of That [Object of Refutation], the Explanation of the Differences between the Prasangikas and the Svatantrikas

Refuting What Others Believe. [Do the Madhyamikas Have Philosophical Positions]

Setting Forth Our own Position

The Explanation of the Meaning of Svatantra and Prasanga

The Explanation of the Reasons Why the Svatantra Is Not Accepted

Bringing the Prasannapada to Bear on This [Question] and Explaining [Its Meaning] 21

The Explanation of the Reasoning That Refutes the Object of the Refutation

The Actual Explanation of the Reasoning That Refutes the Object of the Refutation

The Reasoning That Refutes the Self of the Person

The Explanation of the Refutation of the Self of Phenomena

(A) The Actual Explanation of the Reasoning That Refutes the Self of Phenomena

(B) The Refutation of Arising from Another

(C) [The Refutation of the Arising from Both Self and Other]

(D) [The Refutation of Arising Causelessly and Conclusion] 21

Explaining Other Facets [of the Prasangika Tenets] That Are Not in Common with the Cittamatrins and Others

The Explanation of the Uncommon Exposition of the Three Times

The Explanation of the Proof of Why the Past and Future Are Entities

The Explanations of [Two Other Factors] Differentiating [the Prasangikas from Other Schools], Namely, the Rejection of the Foundation Consciousness (kun gzhi) and the Acceptance of External Objects (phyi don)

The Explanation of How, Even Though We Do Not Accept the Foundation Consciousness, the Relationship between Karma and Effects Is Still Possible

Refuting the Fact That the Arya [Nagarjuna] and so on Accept [the Foundation Consciousness] 20

The Explanation of How External Objects Are Posited Nominally 21

The Explanation of Why We Do Not Accept Autocognition (rang rig)

The Explanation of How We Refute the Position That Does Accept It 20

The Explanation of How We Posit Our Own System Which Does Not Accept [Autocognition] 21

The Explanation of the Two Truths, Which Is the Basis Set Forth by Reasoning

The Basis for the Division [into Two Truths]

The Meaning of the Words [Ultimate and Conventional]

Considering Whether They Are the Same or Different

The Nature of Each [of the Two Truths] Individually

The Definitions

The Divisions

The Divisions of the Ultimate Truth

The Divisions of the Conventional 21

The Explanation of the Valid Cognition That Ascertains the Two Truths, [That Is, All Phenomena]

The Definition

The Divisions 21

Having Set Forth Emptiness, How to Meditate on It

The Exposition of the Result That Is the Culmination of Meditation 21

The Verses to Rong ston

The Eighteen Great Contradictions

Notes

Glossary

Abbreviations

Bibliography

Western Scholarly and Sanskrit Sources

Tibetan Sources

Indices

*[Brackets] indicate that the title is not originally found in the text, being instead the author's insertion

Table of Contents provided by Blackwell's Book Services and R.R. Bowker. Used with permission.