

**The Twilight of the BITs?
EU Judicial Proceedings, the Consensual Termination
of Intra-EU BITs
and Why that Matters for International Law**

by

Clemens Wackernagel

Institute of Economic Law
Transnational Economic Law Research Center (TELC)
School of Law
Martin Luther University Halle-Wittenberg

INHALTSVERZEICHNIS

A. Introduction	5
B. Background: Intra-EU BITs and their Discontents	6
C. The Effect of a CJEU Judgment on intra-EU Investment Arbitration	8
I. General Legal Consequences of CJEU Judgments	8
II. The CJEU's Interpretive Authority over EU Law – but not the BIT	9
III. The Legal Consequences of a Conflict between EU Law and a Specific Intra-EU BIT	10
D. Consensual Termination of Intra-EU BITs and the Revocation of Survival Clauses	11
I. Consensual Revocation of Survival Clauses and the Law of Treaties	12
1. The General Principle of Acquired Rights	13
2. Conflict Clauses	14
3. The Principle of Good Faith	15
4. The Protection of Third Party Rights	16
II. Consensual Revocation of Survival Clauses and the Law of State Responsibility	17
III. Arbitral Practice as a Departure from the VCLT and ARSIWA	18
1. Possible Doctrinal Foundations	19
2. General Implications for Investment Arbitration	20
IV. Implications for ongoing Intra-EU investment proceedings	20
E. Beyond Investment Arbitration: Consensual Revocation of Survival Clauses and the State of International Individual Rights	21
I. No Direct Precedent in Human Rights Law	23
II. The Extent of Comparability between Human and Investor Rights	25
1. Implications of Differences between Human Rights and Investor Rights	25
2. Investor Rights beyond Reciprocity	27
a) Non-Reciprocal Phenomena in Investment Arbitration	30
b) The Role of State Interests in BITs and in Human Rights Law	32
3. Conclusion	34
III. Implications of the Comparability between Investor Rights and Human Rights	34
F. Conclusion	36
References	37