

Investing in Youth: Latvia

Table of contents

Acronyms and abbreviations	11
Executive summary	13
Assessment and policy options	17
Chapter 1. Youth labour market outcomes in Latvia	23
Introduction	24
1. The importance of demographics and migration	24
2. The labour market situation of youth.....	26
3. The NEET challenge	31
Notes	35
References	36
Chapter 2. Characteristics of youth not in employment, education or training (NEETs) in Latvia	37
Introduction	38
1. Who are those not in employment, education or training (NEET)?	38
2. The dynamics of NEET status	49
Notes	54
References	57
Annex 2.A1. NEET rates by educational degree	58
Chapter 3. Benefits receipt and youth poverty in Latvia.....	59
Introduction	60
1. The Latvian income-support system.....	60
2. Benefit receipt among youth.....	66
3. Youth poverty	75
Notes	80
References	83
Annex 3.A1. Income-support programmes for youth without employment record	85
Chapter 4. Raising school completion rates and providing high-quality professional training in Latvia	89
Introduction	90
1. General architecture and governance.....	90

2. Pathways to improving school attendance.....	101
3. Promotion of quality vocational training and apprenticeships	111
4. Support for at-risk students and their families.....	120
Notes	122
References	127
Chapter 5. Guaranteeing employment or training options for NEETs in Latvia	133
Introduction	134
1. The architecture of the employment and social service provision for NEETs.....	136
2. Reaching out to NEETs	148
3. Strategies to re-engage youth in education, employment or training	153
Notes	164
References	168
Figures	
Figure 1.1. The youth population in Latvia is shrinking rapidly.....	25
Figure 1.2. Young people have been hit hard during the crisis.....	27
Figure 1.3. Unemployment rates can be a misleading measure of labour market performance for youth.....	28
Figure 1.4. The rise in the employment rate after 2010 was primarily due to demographic effects.....	30
Figure 1.5. The share of youth combining education and employment in Latvia is relatively low.....	31
Figure 1.6. Half of all NEETs in Latvia are inactive, but this share has been declining	32
Figure 1.7. There are strong regional differences in NEET rates.....	33
Figure 2.1. NEET status is strongly related to low education, but most NEETs in Latvia have a secondary degree	39
Figure 2.2. Nearly half of all NEETs in Latvia are above 25 years	41
Figure 2.3. Inactive NEETs often suffer from physical or mental health problems	42
Figure 2.4. NEET rates are higher for non-ethnic Latvians	44
Figure 2.5. Inactive NEETs are much less likely than other youth to still live with their parents.....	46
Figure 2.6. NEETs' parents are on average less educated than the parents of non-NEET youth.....	47
Figure 2.7. NEETs are more likely than other youth to live with workless parents	48

Figure 2.8. The majority of youth in Latvia experienced a spell of NEET status between 2009 and 2012	51
Figure 2.9. Long-term NEET status is particularly frequent in Latvia among women and youth with low education and bad health.....	52
Figure 2.A1.1. NEET rates are similar for youth with general and vocational education.....	58
Figure 3.1. Minimum employment/contribution period and benefit duration of unemployment insurance benefits.....	61
Figure 3.2. Unemployment insurance benefit generosity.....	62
Figure 3.3. Social assistance benefit generosity.....	63
Figure 3.4. Upper age limits payable to the young person living with their parents, 2012/13	64
Figure 3.5. UB receipt is back to pre-crisis levels, but DB receipt is trending upwards.....	67
Figure 3.6. SA and HB receipt rates have strongly risen since 2007, but the opposite is true for FA	69
Figure 3.7. Benefit coverage is better for inactive than for unemployed NEETs	71
Figure 3.8. Poverty in Latvia is lower for youth than for the working-age population.....	76
Figure 3.9. Poverty rates are much higher among NEETs and have increased strongly during the crisis	77
Figure 3.10. Youth poverty rates in Latvia are relatively low both before and after taxes and transfers	78
Figure 3.11. Youth poverty strongly differs by region, and is much more severe in rural parts and among non-Latvian citizens	79
Figure 3.A2.1. Changes in the hazard rate from registered unemployment among youth	86
Figure 3.A2.2. Changes in the hazard rates from UB and DB among youth	88
Figure 3.A2.3. Changes in the hazard rate from GMI.....	88
Figure 4.1. Declining cohort sizes have induced a reduction in the number of schools.....	92
Figure 4.2. The Latvian education system	93
Figure 4.3. The decline in general secondary enrolment has been strong, while school numbers remained stable.....	94
Figure 4.4. The decline in enrolment has been more modest for vocational education	97
Figure 4.5. Early-school leaving in Latvia has declined and is around the OECD average.....	103

Figure 4.6. VET in Latvia is often not perceived to provide high-quality learning and has an average image overall	112
Figure 5.1. Change in registered youth unemployment	134
Figure 5.2. Breakdown of NEETs by registration status and reason for inactivity	135
Figure 5.3. Activation measures by public employment services, 2012	137
Figure 5.4. Participants in activation measures by public employment services, 2003-12	138
Figure 5.5. Spending on active labour market measures in Latvia	139
Figure 5.6. Composition in spending on active labour market measures	140
Figure 5.7. Average enrolment rate of children under 3-years of age in formal childcare	160

Tables

Table 3.1. Unemployment spell durations among youth	73
Table 3.2. Unemployment and disability benefit spell durations among youth	74
Table 3.3. GMI spell durations	74
Table 3.A1.1. Only in few countries, UB are available to youth without an employment record	85
Table 4.1. A growing share of special-needs students attends mainstream schools	99
Table 4.2. The majority of young people in Latvia participate in extracurricular “interest education”	100
Table 5.1. Breakdown of the NGOs participating in ALMP measure “Development of skills at the non-governmental sector” in 2013, by field	155
Table 5.2. Participation of 15-29 year-olds in various SEA active programmes	156