

# Teachers for the 21st Century

USING EVALUATION TO IMPROVE TEACHING



# Table of Contents

|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| INTRODUCTION .....  | 9         |
| <b>CHAPTER 1 STANDARDS AND GOVERNANCE OF TEACHER APPRAISAL</b> .....                    | <b>15</b> |
| • Policy frameworks for teacher appraisal .....   | 16        |
| Governance and appraisal .....  | 17        |
| Balancing improvement and accountability functions .....                                | 17        |
| Building frameworks for teacher appraisal .....   | 18        |
| • Reference standards .....   | 21        |
| Developing a shared understanding of high-quality teaching .....                        | 21        |
| Involving teachers in the development of professional standards .....                   | 26        |
| • Responsibility for teacher appraisal .....  | 26        |
| References .....  | 29        |
| <b>CHAPTER 2 PROCEDURES FOR TEACHER APPRAISAL</b> .....                                 | <b>31</b> |
| • Aspects appraised .....   | 32        |
| • Instruments and information sources .....   | 33        |
| Classroom observation .....   | 33        |
| Objective setting and individual interviews .....                                       | 33        |
| Teacher self-appraisal .....  | 34        |
| Teacher's portfolio .....   | 34        |
| Teacher testing .....   | 34        |
| Student results .....   | 34        |
| Surveys of students and parents .....   | 36        |
| Other indicators of teacher performance .....   | 36        |
| Improving teaching and learning through teacher appraisal .....                         | 38        |
| Using multiple sources of evidence for teacher appraisal .....                          | 39        |
| Using stakeholder surveys formatively .....   | 40        |
| Notes .....   | 42        |
| References .....  | 42        |
| <b>CHAPTER 3 CAPACITY FOR TEACHER APPRAISAL</b> .....                                   | <b>45</b> |
| • The role of education authorities .....   | 46        |
| The role of inspectorates .....   | 47        |
| The role of professional teacher organisations .....                                    | 47        |
| The role of schools and school leadership .....   | 47        |
| • Conducting the evaluation process .....   | 50        |
| Central education authorities .....   | 50        |
| External inspectors .....   | 50        |
| School leaders .....  | 50        |
| Teacher peers .....   | 50        |
| Other evaluators .....  | 53        |
| Using multiple evaluators .....   | 53        |
| • Developing skills for teacher appraisal .....   | 53        |
| Enhancing the capacity of teachers to benefit from their appraisals .....               | 53        |
| Strengthening the capacity of school leaders to conduct regular teacher appraisal ..... | 54        |
| Building the skills of peer evaluators .....  | 54        |
| Developing central expertise for teacher appraisal .....                                | 56        |
| Notes .....   | 57        |
| References .....  | 57        |



|  |    |
|--|----|
| <b>CHAPTER 4 USING THE RESULTS FROM TEACHER APPRAISAL</b> .....  | 59 |
| • Formative use of results.....  | 62 |
| • Summative use of results.....  | 63 |
| Career decisions.....  | 63 |
| Salary increases and performance rewards.....  | 65 |
| Sanctions for underperformance.....  | 66 |
| • Ensuring that teacher appraisal feeds into appropriate professional development for teachers.....  | 67 |
| • Establishing a teacher-career structure and linking appraisal to career progression.....   | 68 |
| • Using teacher appraisal results to shape incentives for teachers.....  | 70 |
| • Ensuring that underperformance is detected and addressed.....  | 71 |
| • The influence on teacher self-efficacy.....  | 71 |
| <i>References</i> .....  | 73 |
| <b>CHAPTER 5 TOWARDS A COHERENT APPROACH TO EVALUATION AND ACCOUNTABILITY</b> .....  | 75 |
| • Design a coherent framework for evaluation and accountability with the student at the centre.....  | 76 |
| • Include a balance of components, such as measures of student outcomes, system-level indicators with basic demographic, administrative and contextual information, and research and analysis to inform planning, intervention and policy development..... | 76 |
| • Promote national consistency while allowing for local diversity.....   | 76 |
| • Engage the non-public sector.....  | 76 |
| • Fill the gaps to achieve a balanced framework for evaluation and accountability.....   | 77 |
| • Establish connections between different components of the framework.....   | 77 |
| • Give a role to independent evaluation agencies.....  | 77 |
| • Prioritise and sustain efforts to improve the capacity for evaluation.....   | 77 |
| • Engage and respect the professionalism of stakeholders.....  | 77 |
| • Emphasise the improvement function of evaluation and assessment and links to the classroom.....  | 77 |
| • Maintain sound knowledge management.....   | 78 |
| • Clearly communicate the purpose and results of the evaluation.....   | 78 |
| <b>EFFECTIVE TEACHER EVALUATION: TRANSFORMING A GAME INTO A GAME-CHANGER</b> .....   | 81 |
| <b>ANNEX A SELECTED COMPARATIVE DATA ON EDUCATION FROM OECD SOURCES</b> .....  | 87 |

**BOXES**

|          |   |    |
|----------|---|----|
| Box 0.1  | Approaches to teacher appraisal .....   | 11 |
| Box 0.2  | The OECD Review on Evaluation and Assessment Frameworks for Improving School Outcomes .....         | 12 |
| <hr/>    |   |    |
| Box 1.1  | Probation: Research and experiences .....   | 18 |
| Box 1.2  | Korea: Using appraisal results for professional development .....                                   | 19 |
| Box 1.3  | Appraisal systems for registration and certification in Australia and the United States .....       | 20 |
| Box 1.4  | Scotland: System-wide reform of the teaching profession .....                                       | 24 |
| Box 1.5  | Australia and England: Establishing national professional standards for teachers .....              | 24 |
| Box 1.6  | Finland and Sweden: Working without a nationally-regulated framework for teacher evaluation .....   | 25 |
| Box 1.7  | Ontario, Canada: Teacher Performance Appraisal for experienced teachers .....                       | 25 |
| Box 1.8  | The Good Teaching Framework in Chile .....  | 26 |
| Box 1.9  | Involving teachers in developing professional standards .....                                       | 27 |
| <hr/>    |   |    |
| Box 2.1  | Delaware, United States: Incorporating measures of student learning into teacher evaluations .....  | 36 |
| Box 2.2  | Italy: The "Valorizza" (valorisation) experiment to identify and reward teachers .....              | 37 |
| Box 2.3  | United States: Measures of Effective Teaching Project .....   | 39 |
| Box 2.4  | China: Using multiple measures to evaluate performance .....  | 40 |
| Box 2.5  | Using student feedback to help teachers improve their teaching .....                                | 41 |
| <hr/>    |   |    |
| Box 3.1  | Teacher appraisal in the United States: A time of experimentation .....                             | 46 |
| Box 3.2  | Supporting school leaders in developing skills for teacher appraisal .....                          | 55 |
| Box 3.3  | Building capacity for peer appraisal .....  | 55 |
| Box 3.4  | Developing central expertise for teacher appraisal in Chile .....                                   | 56 |
| <hr/>    |   |    |
| Box 4.1  | How teacher appraisal influences teaching and learning: A brief overview of research evidence ..... | 60 |
| Box 4.2  | Germany: Looking for untapped potential through teacher appraisal .....                             | 62 |
| Box 4.3  | Denmark: Collaborative evaluation .....   | 62 |
| Box 4.4  | Netherlands: Peer review .....  | 63 |
| Box 4.5  | The Czech Republic: Encouraging co-operation and exchange among teachers .....                      | 63 |
| Box 4.6  | Northern Ireland and Finland: Using appraisal to guide professional development .....               | 67 |
| Box 4.7  | Ontario, Canada: Using evaluation for teacher-directed professional-development plans .....         | 68 |
| Box 4.8  | Singapore: Developing teachers' careers through evaluation .....                                    | 69 |
| Box 4.9  | Australia: Advanced Skills Teaching positions .....   | 69 |
| Box 4.10 | Lessons on teacher appraisal .....  | 71 |
| <hr/>    |   |    |
| Box 5.1  | Lessons on student assessment .....   | 78 |
| Box 5.2  | Policy directions for school evaluation .....   | 78 |
| Box 5.3  | Lessons on school-leader appraisal .....  | 79 |

**FIGURES**

|            |   |    |
|------------|---|----|
| Figure 0.1 | Teachers' impressions of the appraisal and/or feedback they received (2007-08) .....      | 10 |
| Figure 0.2 | Teachers missing out on appraisal and feedback opportunities (2007-08) .....              | 10 |
| <hr/>      |   |    |
| Figure 1.1 | Types of policy frameworks for teacher appraisal across countries (2011-12) .....         | 16 |
| <hr/>      |   |    |
| Figure 2.1 | Student test results as an aspect of teacher appraisal (2007-08) .....                    | 35 |
| Figure 2.2 | Direct evaluation of classroom teaching as an aspect of teacher appraisal (2007-08) ..... | 38 |
| Figure 2.3 | Student and parent feedback as an aspect of teacher appraisal (2007-08) .....             | 40 |



TABLES

|           |  |     |
|-----------|--|-----|
| Table 1.1 | Reference standards used for different types of teacher appraisal (2011-12)..... | 22  |
| Table 1.2 | Evaluators involved in teacher-appraisal processes (2011-12).....                | 28  |
| Table 3.1 | Responsibilities for determining procedures for teacher appraisal (2011-12)..... | 48  |
| Table 3.2 | Evaluators involved in teacher-appraisal processes (2011-12).....                | 51  |
| <hr/>     |  |     |
| Table 4.1 | Influence of teacher appraisal on professional development (2011-12).....        | 64  |
| Table 4.2 | Influence of teacher appraisal on career decisions (2011-12).....                | 64  |
| Table 4.3 | Rewards connected to teacher appraisal (2011-12).....                            | 65  |
| Table 4.4 | Responses to teacher underperformance (2011-12).....                             | 66  |
| <hr/>     |  |     |
| Table A.1 | Teacher appraisal for performance management (2012).....                         | 88  |
| Table A.2 | Teacher appraisal for completion of probation (2012).....                        | 114 |
| Table A.3 | Teacher appraisal for rewards (2012).....  | 120 |
| Table A.4 | Employment status and career development of teachers (2012).....                 | 122 |