

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN
**Lehrstuhl für Betriebswirtschaftslehre – Dienstleistungs-
und Technologiemarketing**

**Targeted Advertising
and Consumer Privacy Concerns
Experimental Studies in an Internet Context**

Nicole Gröne

Vollständiger Abdruck der von der Fakultät für Wirtschaftswissenschaften der Technischen Universität München zur Erlangung des Grades eines **Doktors der Wirtschaftswissenschaften (Dr. rer. pol.)** genehmigten Dissertation.

Vorsitzende: Univ.-Prof. Dr. Claudia Peus

Prüfer der Dissertation:

1. Univ.-Prof. Dr. Florian von Wangenheim
2. Univ.-Prof. Dr. Isabell M. Welp

Die Dissertation wurde am 02.08.2011 bei der Technischen Universität München eingereicht und durch die Fakultät für Wirtschaftswissenschaften am 14.12.2011 angenommen.

Table of Contents

Preface	III
Short Table of Contents	V
Table of Contents	VII
List of Figures	XII
List of Tables	XIII
List of Abbreviations	XV
List of Symbols	XVIII
Summary	XIX
1. Introduction	1
1.1 Targeting as a Controversial Means to Increase Advertising Revenues	1
1.2 Research Scope	4
1.3 Proceedings of the Dissertation	8
2. The Targeting of Online Advertising	10
2.1 Introduction to Targeting in the Context of Online Advertising	10
2.1.1 Online Advertising as a Revenue Source	11
2.1.1.1 Categories of Online Advertising	12
2.1.1.2 Pricing Models of Online Advertising	14
2.1.2 Online Targeting as a Means to Reduce Waste	17
2.1.2.1 Technological Foundations of Online Targeting	18
2.1.2.2 Online Targeting Methods	23
2.1.3 Legal Limitations on Targeted Online Advertising	28
2.1.3.1 Privacy Regulations in Germany	30
2.1.3.2 Privacy Regulations in the U.S.	34
2.2 Targeting as a Means to Increase Advertising Effectiveness	40
2.2.1 Targeting and Advertising Effectiveness Models	41
2.2.2 Studies on Targeting Effectiveness	48
2.3 Intrusiveness as a Risk to Targeting Effectiveness	49
2.3.1 Attitude toward an Advertisement as a Mediator of Advertising Effectiveness	50
2.3.2 Intrusiveness as an Antecedent of Attitude toward an Advertisement	52
2.3.3 The Need for a Better Understanding of Intrusiveness in the Context of Targeted Online Advertising	54
3. Consumer Privacy Concerns Online	55

3.1 Foundations of Consumer Privacy Concerns	55
3.1.1 The Construct of Consumer Privacy Concerns	56
3.1.2 Theories in Consumer Privacy Research	59
3.1.2.1 Social Exchange Theory	59
3.1.2.2 Psychological Justice Theories	61
3.1.3 Perceived Sensitivity of Different Types of Information	64
3.1.4 Consumer Privacy Concerns and the Internet	67
3.2 Findings on Consumer Privacy Concerns Online	68
3.2.1 Antecedents of Consumer Privacy Concerns Online	69
3.2.1.1 External Factors	70
3.2.1.2 Situational Factors	71
3.2.1.3 Individual Specific Factors	72
3.2.2 Consequences of Consumer Privacy Concerns Online	73
3.2.2.1 Behavioral Protection	75
3.2.2.2 Technological Protection	76
3.2.2.3 Public and Private Action	77
3.2.2.4 Response to Advertisements	77
3.2.3 Trust as Moderator of Privacy Concerns	78
3.3 Factors Influencing the Provision of Information	79
3.3.1 Factors Reducing Privacy Risks	81
3.3.1.1 Information Requested	81
3.3.1.2 Privacy Statements	81
3.3.1.3 Other Trust-enhancing Factors	85
3.3.2 Factors Increasing Benefits	85
3.3.2.1 Financial Rewards	85
3.3.2.2 Personalization	85
3.3.2.3 Reduction of Advertisements	86
3.4 The Need for an Investigation of Factors Increasing the Acceptance of Targeted Online Advertising	87
4. Targeted Advertising as a Social Contract	89
4.1 Social Contract Theory as Appropriate Normative Foundation for Targeted Advertising	89
4.1.1 The Roots of Social Contract Theory	90
4.1.2 Justice, Morality and Norms within Social Contract Theory	93
4.1.3 Integrative Social Contracts Theory as Guidance for Business Ethics	95

4.2 Applying Integrative Social Contracts Theory to Targeted Advertising	98
4.2.1 The Role of Procedural Justice and Fair Information Practices	101
4.2.2 The Role of Distributive Justice, Equity, and Reciprocity	102
4.2.2.1 Equity as a Referent Standard for Distributive Justice	103
4.2.2.2 Reciprocity as a Universal Norm	105
5. Research Model and Hypotheses	108
5.1 Integrative Social Contracts Theory and Social Exchange Theory as a Research Frame	108
5.2 Acceptance of Targeting and Perceived Intrusiveness as Relevant Target Variables	110
5.3 The Effect of Knowledge about Targeting Practices on Intrusiveness	111
5.4 Mechanisms Derived from Procedural Justice	112
5.4.1 Research Model related to Providing a High Level of Control	114
5.4.2 Perceived Procedural Justice	115
5.4.2.1 Risk Beliefs	116
5.4.2.2 Trusting Beliefs	118
5.4.3 Overall Effect of Providing a High Level of Control	120
5.5 Mechanisms Derived from Distributive Justice	121
5.5.1 Research Model related to Emphasizing Advertising Relevance	121
5.5.1.1 Relevance Anticipation	122
5.5.1.2 Overall Effect of Emphasizing Advertising Relevance	124
5.5.2 Research Model related to Appealing to Reciprocity	125
5.5.2.1 Normative Reciprocity	126
5.5.2.2 Perceived Distributive Justice	128
5.5.2.3 Utilitarian Reciprocity	129
5.5.2.4 Overall Effect of an Appeal to Reciprocity	130
5.5.3 Interaction of Relevance and Reciprocity	131
5.6 Influence of Non-Situational Factors	132
5.6.1 General Concern for Privacy	132
5.6.2 Other Non-Situational Factors	133
6. Empirical Research	134

6.1	Research Design	134
6.1.1	Methodological Considerations	134
6.1.1.1	Experiments as Research Design.....	134
6.1.1.2	Validity of Results	137
6.1.1.3	Priming Methods	141
6.1.2	Design of Experiment 1	145
6.1.2.1	Target Variables	145
6.1.2.2	Experimental Conditions	145
6.1.2.3	Control of Extraneous Factors and Cognitive Processes.....	149
6.1.2.4	Data Collection and Sample	149
6.1.3	Design of Experiment 2	153
6.1.3.1	Target Variables	153
6.1.3.2	Experimental Conditions	154
6.1.3.3	Control of Extraneous Factors and Cognitive Processes.....	157
6.1.3.4	Data Collection and Sample	158
6.2	Validation of Scales.....	159
6.3	SR-Level Hypotheses Testing	168
6.3.1	Results of Experiment 1	169
6.3.1.1	Selection of MANCOVA Procedures	171
6.3.1.2	Test of Requirements and Cell Equalization	172
6.3.1.3	Results of MANCOVA Hypotheses Tests	173
6.3.1.4	Additional Analyses Regarding Cognitive Processes	178
6.3.2	Results of Experiment 2.....	179
6.3.2.1	Selection of Chi-square Testing Procedures.....	180
6.3.2.2	Test of Requirements.....	181
6.3.2.3	Results of Chi-square Hypotheses Tests	181
6.3.2.4	Additional Analyses Regarding Cognitive Processes	184
6.4	SOR-Level Hypotheses Testing	187
6.4.1	Selection of SEM Procedures	187
6.4.2	Test of Requirements and Selection of Estimation Algorithm.....	190
6.4.3	Validation of the Structural Model	192
6.4.4	Results of Model Estimation and Hypotheses Tests.....	195
6.4.5	Assessment of Common Methods Biases	200
6.4.6	Tests of Full Mediation within the SOR-Model	203

6.5 Further Exploratory Analyses	210
6.5.1 Acceptance of Advertising as Online Currency.....	210
6.5.2 Demographics, Privacy Concerns, and the Provision of Information	213
6.5.3 Responses to Predictive Targeting Survey in Experiment 2.....	217
6.5.3.1 Interests by Scenario and Website.....	217
6.5.3.2 Internet Usage Pattern	219
7. Discussion of Empirical Results	222
7.1 Theoretical Implications.....	225
7.2 Managerial Implications.....	232
7.3 Limitations and Potential for Future Research.....	235
References.....	239
Appendix.....	277
I. Translation of the Questionnaires	277
II. Documentation of Experiment 1: Screenshots.....	284
III. Mean Comparisons Based on Full Sample in Experiment 1	286
IV. Frequencies of Completed Surveys vs. Aborted Surveys in Experiment 2 by Scenario	288
V. Motivation to Provide Information per Scenario by Website in Experiment 2	289
VI. Overview of Requirements and Characteristics of SEM Estimation Procedures.....	291
VII. Skewness and Kurtosis of Items in Structural Model	292
VIII. Effects of Control Variables on Dependent Variables in SEM Analysis.....	293
IX. Standardized Direct and Indirect Effect of Predictor Variables on the Dependent Variables in Model.....	294
X. Effects of Control Variables on the Dependent Variables when Controlling for a Common Method Factor	296
XI. Mean Values of General Concern for Privacy, Risk Beliefs, Trusting Beliefs and Acceptance of Targeting by Demographic Groups.....	297
XII. Respondents in Experiment 2 by Demographic Groups and Scenario Compared to German Online Population and Newspaper Audience	298
XIII. Respondents' Interests per Scenario and Website in Experiment 2	299
XIV. Respondents' Online Behavior by Scenario and Website	300

List of Figures

Figure 1: Process of Ad Delivery Involving Different Ad Servers20

Figure 2: Examples of Predictive Targeting Survey Teasers27

Figure 3: The Elaboration Likelihood Model of Persuasion43

Figure 4: The Dual Mediation Hypothesis of Advertising Effectiveness51

Figure 5: Perceived Sensitivity of Different Data Categories65

Figure 6: Research Framework of Dissertation Integrating a Descriptive and a Normative Perspective on Targeted Advertising.....109

Figure 7: Research Model Related to Providing a High Level of Control120

Figure 8: Research Model Related to Emphasizing Advertising Relevance.....125

Figure 9: Research Model Related to Appealing to Reciprocity131

Figure 10: The Priming Process.....141

Figure 11: Screenshot of One Experimental Treatment in Experiment 1146

Figure 12: Screenshot of the Tool Providing Customers with a High Level of Control148

Figure 13: Illustration of the Target Variables in Experiment 2.....154

Figure 14: Design of Experiment 2.....157

Figure 15: SR-Model Tested through Experiments 1 and 2168

Figure 16: Full Structural (SOR-) Model Including Experimental Conditions189

Figure 17: Estimated Standardized Path Coefficients in Structural Model196