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Abstract: This report is a comparative study of the current legal situation in relation to the forthcoming implementation of the Floods Directive in selected EU Member States, focusing on the question of whether these states incorporate public participation into the process of flood risk mapping and, if so, in what form. The comparison also considers current administrative practices.
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