International Economic Law in the 21st Century

Constitutional Pluralism and Multilevel Governance of Interdependent Public Goods

Ernst-Ulrich Petersmann
**Table of Contents**

*Abbreviations* xi

*Tables Summarizing ‘Normative Premises’ and Case Law Examples* xv

*Table of Cases* xvii

*Table of Legal Instruments* xxiii

**Introduction and Overview: The Crisis of International Economic Law**

- The Lack of a Theory of Justice for IEL 4
- The Legitimacy Crisis of IEL 7
- Methodology and Normative Focus on the Dual Nature of Legal Systems 12
- Human Rights and ‘Constitutional Justice’ Require Stronger Protection in IEL of Equal Rights of Citizens and Transnational Rule of Law 18
- IEL must remain Justifiable in terms of Justice and ‘Public Reason’ (Rawls) 22
- The Need for Rights-based Regulation limiting the ‘Collective Action Problems’ in IEL 29
- The Need for Coordinating Legal Regimes on the basis of ‘Multilevel Constitutional Pluralism’ 30
- The Structure of this Book 32
- Multilevel Constitutionalism and Cosmopolitan Conceptions of IEL depend on ‘Struggles for Rights’ 35
- ‘Public Justice’ (Kant) in IEL will always Remain Contested 37

**I How Should International Economic Law be Designed in Order to Protect ‘Interdependent Public Goods’ More Effectively?**

- Overview of Chapter I 44
- 1 Trials and Errors in International Economic Regulation: How should IEL be Evaluated? 45
- 2 The ‘Two Paradoxes of Freedom’ Require a ‘Paradigm Change’ in IEL: From ‘Westphalian’ towards ‘Cosmopolitan IEL’? 61
- 3 The Need for Integrating the Five Competing Conceptions of IEL 78

**II The Emergence of Cosmopolitan IEL Based on Respect for ‘Constitutional Pluralism’**

- Overview of Chapter II 114
- 1 From ‘International Law among States’ to ‘Global Legal Pluralism’: The Need for Comparative Constitutional and Institutional Analyses 115
- 2 The Emergence of Multilevel ‘Constitutional Law’, ‘Global Administrative Law’ and ‘Legal Constructivism’ in IEL 121
# Table of Contents

3 Defining ‘Constitutions’, ‘Constitutionalism’ and ‘Constitutionalization’ of IEL 140

4 Why Cosmopolitan Legal Orders are More Effective and More Legitimate 145

5 IEL must be Interpreted and Developed in conformity with Human Rights and ‘Constitutional Pluralism’ 154

III ‘Civilizing’ and ‘Constitutionalizing’ IEL Requires Cosmopolitan Restraints of Public and Private Power 160

Overview of Chapter III 161

1 Kantian ‘Multilevel Constitutionalism’ as Justification for ‘Economic Constitutionalism’ in European Economic Law and IEL 161


3 The Need for Reconciling Economic Liberties with all other Constitutional Rights: From ‘Negative’ to ‘Positive Liberties’ Protecting ‘Human Capabilities’ 183

4 Limitation of ‘Protection Biases’ by Interpreting IEL in conformity with Human Rights and ‘Cosmopolitan Constitutionalism’. 191

IV Legal and Political Strategies for Making Multilevel Economic Regulation Consistent with Human Rights 210

Overview of Chapter IV 211

1 ‘Disorder among Fragmented Legal Orders’: Westphalian versus Cosmopolitan Conceptions of the External Relations Law of the EU 211

2 Globalizing ‘Embedded Liberalism’ through UN Human Rights Law? The Limits of the ‘UN Global Compact’ for ‘Corporate Social Responsibility’ 224

3 ‘Human Rights Coherence’ of European Economic Law and Reasonable Disagreement on the ‘Human Rights Coherence’ of IEL beyond Europe 235

4 The Derivative Nature of Multilevel Regulation of Human Rights: Legal Protection of Access to Essential Medicines, Water and Private Property 254


V Regulating the ‘Tragedy of the Commons’ and ‘Interdependent Public Goods’ Requires Transnational Rule of Law 272

Overview of Chapter V 274

1 The Diverse Conceptions of IEL Risk Undermining Transnational ‘Rule of Law’ 274

2 Lessons from Multilevel Judicial Protection of Transnational Rule of Law in Commercial and Investment Law? 288

3 ‘Network Governance’, ‘Compensatory Constitutionalization’ and the Emerging ‘Common Law of Adjudication’ can Limit Legal Fragmentation 298


5 Constitutional Pluralism Requires Multilevel Judicial Cooperation in Protecting Transnational Rule of Law and ‘Human Rights Coherence’ 318
### Table of Contents

#### VI Transnational Rule of Law Must be Justified by an ‘Overlapping Consensus’ on Principles of Justice

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overview of Chapter VI</td>
<td>332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Justice as Constitutional Objective of National and International Law</td>
<td>334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 The Legitimate Diversity of Theories of Justice Reinforces the Argument for a Human Right to Justification</td>
<td>341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Justice Requires Liberal and Rights-based IEL: Struggles for ‘Constitutional’ and ‘Corrective Justice’</td>
<td>346</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### VII The Need for Constitutional Reforms of the Law of International Organizations: The Example of the World Trading System

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overview of Chapter VII</td>
<td>372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 From Instrumental to Constitutional Conceptions of Multilevel Economic Regulation: Development as Freedom and Protection of Human Rights</td>
<td>373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Cosmopolitan Constitutionalism versus ‘Statist’ and Communitarian Conceptions of the Law of International Trade Organizations</td>
<td>385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 The ‘Human Rights Approach’ to IEL advocated by the UNHCHR, the ILO and FAO: Food Security and ‘Freedom from Hunger’</td>
<td>391</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### VIII From ‘Constitutional Nationalism’ to Multilevel Judicial Protection of Cosmopolitan Rights in IEL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overview of Chapter VIII</td>
<td>436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Limitation of State Sovereignty by Constitutional, Popular and ‘Individual Sovereignty’ in IEL Requires Protection of Cosmopolitan Rights</td>
<td>437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 The Need for Compensating the ‘Constitutional Deficit’ in Multilevel Economic Governance by Judicial Protection of Cosmopolitan Rights</td>
<td>438</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 ‘Proportionality Balancing’ as ‘Rationality’ and ‘Reasonableness Tests’: The Example of Rights-based Adjudication in European Economic Law</td>
<td>444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 ‘Proportionality Balancing’ in Trade and Investment Adjudication beyond Europe</td>
<td>456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Multilevel Judicial Governance as Guardian of the Constitutional Unity of IEL Based on ‘Cosmopolitan Reason’</td>
<td>464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Cosmopolitan IEL depends on Democratic ‘Struggles for Human Rights’</td>
<td>475</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusions and Research Agenda for IEL in the Twenty-First Century

### Bibliography of Repeatedly Quoted Books

Index