

Cornelius Grebe

Reconciliation Policy in Germany 1998–2008

Construing the 'Problem'
of the Incompatibility of Paid
Employment and Care Work

Contents

Acknowledgements	5
1 Introduction	11
1.1 Genesis of the study	11
1.2 Research Questions	12
1.3 Reconciliation policy	19
1.4 Problem representations	19
1.5 Policy as discourse	21
1.6 Structure of the study	22
2 Theoretical positioning and literature review	27
2.1 Social Constructionism and Social Policy	27
2.1.1 'Classical' social problems theory	28
2.1.2 Social Constructionism	31
2.1.3 Social constructionist social policy analysis	42
2.2 Feminist social policy analysis	46
2.2.1 Critiques of mainstream policy analysis	47
2.2.2 Feminist critiques of social policy	49
2.2.3 Feminist critiques of gender equality policy	55
2.2.4 Social constructionist critique of gender equality policy	59
2.2.5 Feminist critiques of 'reconciliation' policy	63
2.3 Conclusion	69
3 Methodology	71
3.1 Introduction	71
3.2 Paradigm of inquiry, ontology and epistemology	72
3.3 Rationale for qualitative inquiry	76
3.4 The process of conducting the study	80
3.5 Historical research design	84

3.6	Documentary data	85
3.6.1	Status of documentary data	85
3.6.2	Data collection	85
3.6.3	Data analysis	86
4	A brief history of the incompatibility ‘problem’	89
4.1	Introduction	89
4.2	The ‘problems’ of mothers’ employment and of women’s inequality . . .	89
4.3	The emergence of the incompatibility ‘problem’	92
4.4	A look at the German Democratic Republic	94
5	Anti-discrimination policy	99
5.1	What is anti-discrimination policy represented to be about?	99
5.2	What was anti-discrimination policy represented to be about 1998–2005?	106
5.3	What is the cause of incompatibility represented to be?	112
5.4	What are the effects of problem representations?	116
5.4.1	Constitution of subjects and subjectivities	116
5.4.2	What cannot be thought about within anti-discrimination discourse?	122
5.4.3	‘Lived effects’	125
5.5	Omissions and blind spots	128
5.6	Alternative problem representations	130
5.7	Summary	133
6	Childcare policy	135
6.1	What is childcare policy represented to be about?	135
6.2	What was childcare policy represented to be about 1998–2005?	139
6.3	What is the cause of incompatibility represented to be?	143
6.4	What are the effects of problem representations?	147
6.4.1	Constitution of subjects and subjectivities	147
6.4.2	What cannot be thought about within childcare discourse?	151
6.4.3	‘Lived effects’	153
6.5	Omissions and blind spots	156
6.6	Alternative problem representations	158
6.7	Summary	161

7	Parental Leave policy	163
7.1	What is parental leave policy represented to be about?	163
7.2	What was parental leave policy represented to be about 1998–2005? ...	170
7.3	What is the cause of incompatibility represented to be?	175
7.4	What are the effects of problem representations?	180
7.4.1	Constitution of subjects and subjectivities	180
7.4.2	What cannot be thought about within parental leave discourse?	186
7.4.3	‘Lived effects’	188
7.5	Omissions and blind spots	190
7.6	Alternative problem representations	193
7.7	Summary	196
8	Working time policy	199
8.1	What is part-time employment policy represented to be about?	199
8.2	What was part-time employment policy represented to be about 1998–2005?	201
8.3	What is the cause of incompatibility represented to be?	204
8.4	What are the effects of problem representations?	206
8.4.1	Constitution of subjects and subjectivities	206
8.4.2	What cannot be thought about within working time discourse?	209
8.4.3	‘Lived effects’	211
8.5	Omissions and blind spots	213
8.6	Alternative problem representations	215
8.7	Summary	216
9	Conclusion	219
9.1	Introduction	219
9.2	Contributions of this study	221
9.3	Findings of this study	222
9.4	Future Research	226
	References	229