

KLUWER LAW INTERNATIONAL

Retaliation in the WTO Dispute Settlement System

Sherzod Shadikhodjaev



Wolters Kluwer

Law & Business

AUSTIN

BOSTON

CHICAGO

NEW YORK

THE NETHERLANDS

Table of Contents

Acknowledgements	xv
List of Abbreviations	xvii
Chapter 1	
Introduction	1
1.1 The Purpose and Scope of the Study	3
1.2 Research Methods	4
Chapter 2	
Introduction to the WTO Dispute Settlement Remedies: An International Law Perspective	7
2.1 Why the Law of State Responsibility Matters in the GATT/WTO Context	8
2.2 Panel and Appellate Body's Recommendations and Rulings	11
2.3 Cessation and Non-repetition	12
2.4 Reparation	13
2.4.1 Restitution	14
2.4.1.1 GATT 1947 Practice	15
2.4.1.2 WTO Practice	16
2.4.2 Compensation	19
2.4.2.1 Peculiarities of GATT/WTO Compensation	20
2.4.2.2 GATT 1947 Practice	21
2.4.2.3 WTO Practice	22
2.4.2.4 Monetary Compensation in the GATT/WTO	22
2.4.3 Satisfaction	25
2.5 Countermeasures	26
2.5.1 The Legal Nature	26

2.5.2	The Status in the Remedies System	27
2.5.3	Purposes	28
2.5.3.1	Inducement of Compliance	28
2.5.3.2	Re-balancing of the Benefits	29
2.5.3.3	Do These Purposes Coexist?	31
2.5.3.4	Compensation	33
2.5.4	The Content	33
2.5.5	'Curbed' Unilateralism	35
2.5.5.1	The Principle of Multilateralism	35
2.5.5.2	Prohibition of Non-authorized Unilateral Retaliation	36
2.5.5.3	Prohibition of the Threat of Retaliation?	38
2.5.5.3.1	Publication of Retaliation Lists	39
2.5.5.3.2	Suspension of Customs Liquidation	40
2.5.6	The Proportionality Principle	42
2.5.6.1	The Proportionality Principle versus Inducement Effect	44
2.5.7	Applicability of the Law of State Responsibility to WTO Retaliation	45
2.6	Concluding Remarks	47
Chapter 3		
Retaliation under GATT 1947 and the DSU		49
3.1	The Evolution of the GATT/WTO Dispute Settlement Mechanism	50
3.1.1	The GATT 1947 Dispute Settlement Mechanism	50
3.1.1.1	GATT 1947 Articles XXII and XXIII	50
3.1.1.2	Other Main Instruments on Dispute Settlement	51
3.1.2	The WTO Dispute Settlement Mechanism	52
3.1.2.1	The DSU	53
3.1.2.2	Institutions and Procedures	53
3.2	Retaliation in the Pre-WTO Dispute Settlement System	55
3.2.1	The Havana Charter	56
3.2.2	GATT 1947	56
3.2.2.1	The 'Serious Enough' Requirement	56
3.2.2.2	The Appropriateness Standard	57
3.2.3	Other Instruments and Practice	58
3.2.3.1	Prima Facie Nullification or Impairment	58
3.2.3.2	The Case of Developing Countries	59
3.2.4	Retaliation Practice	59
3.2.4.1	Case Study: United States Import Restrictions on Dairy Products	60
3.2.4.1.1	Factual Background	60
3.2.4.1.2	Findings	60
3.2.4.1.3	Assessment	61
3.3	Retaliation under the DSU	62
3.3.1	Three-Stage Retaliation	65

3.3.2	Cross-Retaliation	67
3.3.2.1	Whether Suspension Is Not Practicable or Not Effective	68
3.3.2.2	'The Importance of Such Trade'	70
3.3.2.3	'The Broader Economic Elements' and 'The Broader Economic Consequences'	71
3.3.2.4	'The Circumstances are Serious Enough'	71
3.3.2.5	Application of the Requirements in Practice	72
3.3.3	Procedural Issues	74
3.3.3.1	The Mandate of Arbitrators under DSU Article 22	74
3.3.3.1.1	Article 22.6	74
3.3.3.1.2	Article 22.7	75
3.3.3.2	Burden of Proof	76
3.3.3.2.1	General Remarks	76
3.3.3.2.2	DSU Article 22	77
3.3.3.2.3	The Duty to Cooperate	78
3.3.3.3	Third-Party Rights under DSU Article 22	78
3.3.3.4	Sufficiency of a Retaliation Request	79
3.3.3.4.1	The Minimum Requirements	79
3.3.3.4.2	Other Elements of the Request 'Specificity'	80
3.3.3.5	Identification of Concessions/Obligations in a Retaliation Request	81
3.3.3.6	The Scope of Target Products	81
3.3.3.7	Treatment of Confidential Information	82
3.3.3.8	Opening Arbitration Hearings to the Public	83
3.3.4	Substantive Issues	84
3.3.4.1	'Nullification or Impairment'	84
3.3.4.1.1	The Concept of 'Nullification or Impairment'	84
3.3.4.1.2	'Nullification or Impairment' versus 'Violation'	85
3.3.4.1.3	Nullification or Impairment as a Result of Legislation 'as Such'/'as Applied'	85
3.3.4.2	'Chilling Effect' of a Violation	86
3.3.4.3	The Equivalence Standard	87
3.3.4.3.1	General Considerations	87
3.3.4.3.2	Qualitative or Quantitative Equivalence	88
3.3.4.4	Indirect Benefits	89
3.3.4.5	A Point in Time Used for Calculations	89
3.3.4.6	Suspension of TRIPS Agreement Obligations	90
3.4	Concluding Remarks	93

Chapter 4

Retaliation under the SCM Agreement	95
4.1 Introduction to the SCM Agreement	95
4.2 Retaliation against Prohibited Subsidies	96
4.2.1 The Scope of ‘Prohibited Subsidies’	96
4.2.2 Special Procedures and Sui Generis Remedies	97
4.2.3 Procedural Issues	98
4.2.3.1 The Mandate of Arbitrators under Article 4.11 of the SCM Agreement	98
4.2.4 Substantive Issues	98
4.2.4.1 ‘Countermeasures’	98
4.2.4.2 ‘Appropriate Countermeasures’	99
4.2.4.2.1 Restrained Flexibility	100
4.2.4.2.2 Non-disproportionateness	100
4.2.4.2.3 Compliance-Inducing Effect	101
4.2.4.3 Legal Justification of the ‘Violation Value’ Approach	102
4.2.4.3.1 Comparison with Article 22 of the DSU	102
4.2.4.3.2 Comparison with Articles 7 and 9 of the SCM Agreement	103
4.2.4.3.3 The Erga Omnes Partes Obligation	103
4.2.4.4 The ‘Qualitative’ Element	105
4.2.4.4.1 ‘The Gravity of the Internationally Wrongful Act’	105
4.2.4.4.2 ‘The Rights in Question’	106
4.2.4.5 Punitive Countermeasures?	107
4.3 Retaliation against Actionable Subsidies	108
4.3.1 The Scope of ‘Actionable Subsidies’	108
4.3.2 Special Procedures and Sui Generis Remedies	109
4.3.3 The Commensurateness Standard	110
4.4 Concluding Remarks	112

Chapter 5

Calculation of the Level of Retaliation	113
5.1 Statistical Overview of WTO Retaliation Practice	114
5.2 Retaliation under DSU Article 22: The ‘Economic/Trade Effects’ Approach	116
5.2.1 The ‘Actual/Counterfactual’ Method	117
5.2.1.1 <i>EC – Bananas III</i> (US/Ecuador) (Article 22.6 – EC)	118
5.2.1.1.1 Factual Background	118
5.2.1.1.2 Calculation of the Level of Retaliation: US Request	119
5.2.1.1.3 Calculation of the Level of Retaliation: Ecuador’s Request	120
5.2.1.1.4 Assessment	121

5.2.1.1.5	Implementation of Authorized Retaliation	122
5.2.1.2	<i>EC – Hormones (Canada/US) (Article 22.6 – EC)</i>	122
5.2.1.2.1	Factual Background	122
5.2.1.2.2	Calculation of the Level of Retaliation	123
5.2.1.2.3	Assessment	124
5.2.1.2.4	Implementation of Authorized Retaliation	125
5.2.1.3	<i>US – Gambling (Article 22.6 – US)</i>	126
5.2.1.3.1	Factual Background	126
5.2.1.3.2	Calculation of the Level of Retaliation	127
5.2.1.3.3	Assessment	130
5.2.1.3.4	Implementation of Authorized Retaliation	131
5.2.2	The ‘Future Factors’ Method	131
5.2.2.1	<i>US – 1916 Act (EC) (Article 22.6 – US)</i>	132
5.2.2.1.1	Factual Background	132
5.2.2.1.2	Calculation of the Level of Retaliation	132
5.2.2.1.3	Assessment	134
5.2.2.1.4	Implementation of Authorized Retaliation	135
5.2.3	The ‘Economic Modelling’ Method	135
5.2.3.1	<i>US – Offset Act (Byrd Amendment) (Article 22.6 – US)</i>	135
5.2.3.1.1	Factual Background	135
5.2.3.1.2	Calculation of the Level of Retaliation	136
5.2.3.1.3	Assessment	138
5.2.3.1.4	Implementation of Authorized Retaliation	139
5.3	Retaliation under SCM Agreement Article 4.10: The ‘Violation Value’ Approach	139
5.3.1	The ‘Financial-Contribution-Based’ Method	140
5.3.1.1	<i>US – FSC (Article 22.6 – US)</i>	140
5.3.1.1.1	Factual Background	140
5.3.1.1.2	Calculation of the Level of Retaliation	141
5.3.1.1.3	Assessment	142
5.3.1.1.4	Implementation of Authorized Retaliation	143
5.3.2	The ‘Benefit-Based’ Method	143
5.3.2.1	<i>Brazil – Aircraft (Article 22.6 – Brazil)</i>	144
5.3.2.1.1	Factual Background	144
5.3.2.1.2	Calculation of the Level of Retaliation	145
5.3.2.1.3	Assessment	146
5.3.2.1.4	Implementation of Authorized Retaliation	146
5.3.2.2	<i>Canada – Aircraft Credits and Guarantees (Article 22.6 – Canada)</i>	146
5.3.2.2.1	Factual Background	146

5.3.2.2.2	Calculation of the Level of Retaliation	147
5.3.2.2.3	Assessment	148
5.3.2.2.4	Implementation of Authorized Retaliation	149
5.4	Concluding Remarks	149

Chapter 6

The WTO Retaliation System: Problems and Solutions		153
6.1	The DSU Review	154
6.2	Procedural Problems	155
6.2.1	The Pre-retaliation Stage	155
6.2.1.1	The 'Sequencing' Issue	155
6.2.1.1.1	WTO Case Law	156
6.2.1.1.2	Ad Hoc Agreements by the Disputing Parties	157
6.2.1.1.3	Proposals for a Permanent Solution	158
6.2.2	The Post-retaliation Stage	160
6.2.2.1	'Carousel' Retaliation	160
6.2.2.1.1	WTO Case Law	160
6.2.2.1.2	Is 'Carousel' Retaliation Legal?	162
6.2.2.1.3	Proposals on 'Carousel' Retaliation	163
6.2.2.2	Termination of Retaliation	164
6.2.3	The Transparency Issue	165
6.3	The Matter of Efficacy	167
6.3.1	Early Determination and Application of Nullification or Impairment	168
6.3.2	Retroactive Retaliation	169
6.3.3	Collective Retaliation	171
6.3.4	Negotiable Retaliation	173
6.3.5	Increasing the Level of Retaliation over Time	175
6.3.6	Unconditional Cross-Retaliation	176
6.3.7	More Extensive Use of Compensation	177
6.3.7.1	Preauthorized Compensation: Contingent Liberalization Commitments	177
6.3.7.2	Monetary Compensation	178
6.4	Concluding Remarks	180

Chapter 7

Conclusion	181
-------------------	------------

Appendix 1

The Concept of Retaliation in the WTO Dispute Settlement System	185
--	------------