

Christian Hagist

Demography and Social Health Insurance

An International Comparison Using Generational
Accounting



Nomos

Contents

List of Tables	15
List of Figures	17
List of Abbreviations	19
1 Introduction	21
2 Methods and General Assumptions	24
2.1 Generational Accounting – Methodology	24
2.2 Generational Accounting – Limitations	30
2.3 <i>Generational Accounting – Empiricism</i>	33
2.4 Measuring benefit level growth in social health insurance	37
2.5 Constant age-specific profiles – A reasonable assumption?	40
2.6 Summary and Conclusion	42
3 Austria – Smaller country, smaller problems?	43
3.1 The demographic development of Austria	43
3.2 Austria’s social health insurance scheme	46
3.3 Analyzing the growth of public health expenditure in Austria	48
3.4 Sustainability Analysis	49
3.4.1 Data and Assumptions	49
3.4.2 Generational Accounts and Sustainability Gaps	53
3.4.3 Sustainability Indicators	56
3.4.4 Sensitivity Analysis	58
3.5 Summary and Conclusion for Austria	60
4 France – Deficits despite high fertility rates	61
4.1 The demographic development of France	61
4.2 France’s social health insurance scheme	65
4.3 Analyzing the growth of public health expenditure in France	66
4.4 Sustainability Analysis	67
4.4.1 Data and Assumptions	68
4.4.2 Generational Accounts and Sustainability Gaps	69
4.4.3 Sustainability Indicators	73
4.4.4 Sensitivity Analysis	75
4.5 Summary and Conclusion for France	77
5 Germany – How sustainable is the old man of Europe?	79
5.1 The demographic development of Germany	79
5.2 Germany’s social health insurance scheme	82
5.3 Analyzing the growth of public health expenditure in Germany	85
5.4 Sustainability Analysis	86
5.4.1 Data and Assumptions	86
5.4.2 Generational Accounts and Sustainability Gaps	89
5.4.3 Sustainability Indicators	92
5.4.4 Sensitivity Analysis	94
5.5 Summary and Conclusion for Germany	96
6 Switzerland – A role model for health care financing?	97
6.1 The demographic development of Switzerland	97
6.2 Switzerland’s social health insurance scheme	100

6.3	Analyzing the growth of public health expenditure in Switzerland	103
6.4	Sustainability Analysis	104
6.4.1	Data and Assumptions	104
6.4.2	Generational Accounts and Sustainability Gaps	106
6.4.3	Sustainability Indicators	110
6.4.4	Sensitivity Analysis	112
6.5	Summary and Conclusion for Switzerland	114
7	United Kingdom – A case study for Beveridge type systems	116
7.1	The demographic development of the United Kingdom	116
7.2	The National Health Service of the United Kingdom	119
7.3	Analyzing the growth of public health expenditure in the UK	121
7.4	Sustainability Analysis	122
7.4.1	Data and Assumptions	122
7.4.2	Generational Accounts and Sustainability Gaps	124
7.4.3	Sustainability Indicators	128
7.4.4	Sensitivity Analysis	130
7.5	Summary and Conclusion for the UK	132
8	United States of America – private equals sustainable?	133
8.1	The demographic development of the United States of America	133
8.2	Social health insurance in the US	136
8.3	Analyzing the growth of public health expenditure in the US	138
8.4	Sustainability Analysis	141
8.4.1	Data and Assumptions	141
8.4.2	Generational Accounts and Sustainability Gaps	143
8.4.3	Sustainability Indicators	147
8.4.4	Sensitivity Analysis	149
8.5	Summary and Conclusion for the US	151
9	Who's going broke? – An international comparison	152
9.1	Comparison of the demographic developments	152
9.1.1	Central Demographic Parameters	152
9.1.2	Demographic Developments in Comparison	156
9.2	Comparison of sustainability indicators in the SQ scenario	158
9.2.1	The fiscal situation in the short-run	158
9.2.2	The fiscal situation in the long-run	160
9.2.3	Accounting for disproportionate growth of public health expenditure	164
9.3	Summary and Conclusion	167
10	Conclusion – Demography and Social Health Insurance	169
	References	174
	Appendix	184
A-3	Austria	184
A-4	France	193
A-5	Germany	203
A-6	Switzerland	214
A-7	United Kingdom	224
A-8	United States of America	233
A-9	An international comparison	243