

# **Optimisation of Deep Vibratory Compaction as Liquefaction Mitigation Measure**

von

**Sparsha Sinduri Nagula**

Herausgegeben von

**J. Grabe**

Technische Universität Hamburg  
Institut für Geotechnik und Baubetrieb

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>State of Art</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1	Deep Soil Compaction: Deep Vibratory Compaction . . . . .	5
2.1.1	Methodology for Deep Vibratory Compaction . . . . .	6
2.1.2	Suitability of Deep Vibratory Compaction . . . . .	8
2.1.3	Factors affecting Deep Vibratory Compaction . . . . .	11
2.1.4	Extent and Degree of Deep Vibratory Compaction . . . . .	12
2.1.5	Principle behind Deep Vibratory Compaction . . . . .	13
2.1.6	Geotechnical Investigation of Soil . . . . .	15
2.2	Physical Modelling and Field Testing of Deep Vibratory Compaction . . . . .	16
2.3	Analytical and Numerical Models of Deep Vibratory Compaction . . . . .	19
2.4	On-line Compaction Control during Deep Vibratory Compaction . . . . .	26
2.5	Liquefaction Mitigation Potential of Deep Vibratory Compaction . . . . .	29
<b>3</b>	<b>Research Questions and Objectives</b>	<b>35</b>
3.1	Research Gap . . . . .	35
3.2	Research Gap Motivated Objectives . . . . .	36
3.3	Methodology . . . . .	37
3.4	Flow of Thesis . . . . .	38
<b>4</b>	<b>Principles of Experimental and Numerical Methods</b>	<b>41</b>
4.1	1g Physical modelling . . . . .	41
4.1.1	Fabrication of model vibrator . . . . .	41
4.1.2	Experimental Set up . . . . .	42
4.1.3	Granular Material . . . . .	43
4.1.4	Methodology . . . . .	43
4.2	Deep Vibratory Compaction: Field Trials . . . . .	45
4.2.1	Project Description . . . . .	46
4.2.2	Kippen Sand . . . . .	48
4.2.3	On-Field Measurements . . . . .	50
4.3	Numerical Framework . . . . .	51
4.3.1	CEL Deep Vibratory Compaction Model . . . . .	52
4.3.1.1	Model Definition . . . . .	53
4.3.1.2	Simulation Methodology . . . . .	54
4.3.2	FE CPT Model . . . . .	55
4.3.2.1	Model Definition . . . . .	55
4.3.2.2	Simulation Methodology . . . . .	56

4.4	Plane Strain Lagrangian framework for Seismic Analysis . . . . .	57
4.4.1	Model Definition . . . . .	57
4.4.2	Simulation Methodology . . . . .	58
4.5	Constitutive Model for Sand . . . . .	58
4.5.1	Hypoplastic Model with Intergranular Strains . . . . .	59
4.5.2	Determination of Hypoplastic Model Parameters . . . . .	61
4.5.2.1	Hypoplastic Model Parameters . . . . .	65
4.5.3	Determination of Intergranular Strain Parameters . . . . .	65
4.5.3.1	Intergranular Strain Parameters . . . . .	68
4.5.4	Calibration of Parameters . . . . .	71
<b>5</b>	<b>Validation of Numerical Framework for Deep Vibratory Compaction</b>	<b>75</b>
5.1	Comparison of Classical FE and CEL . . . . .	75
5.1.1	Classic Lagrangian (FE) Framework . . . . .	75
5.1.2	CEL Framework . . . . .	77
5.1.3	Comparison of Frameworks . . . . .	77
5.2	1g Model Measurements and Simulations . . . . .	78
5.2.1	1g Model Measurements . . . . .	78
5.2.1.1	Ground Vibration Measurement with a Geophone . . . . .	79
5.2.2	1g Model Test Simulations . . . . .	81
5.2.2.1	CEL Model for 1g Model Test . . . . .	81
5.2.2.2	1g Model Simulation Steps . . . . .	82
5.2.2.3	CPT Correlation for Hamburger sand . . . . .	82
5.2.2.4	Comparison of 1g Model Test and Simulations Results . . . . .	84
5.2.2.5	Spatial and Temporal Insight into 1g Model Test . . . . .	85
5.3	Cottbus Field Measurements and Simulations . . . . .	88
5.3.1	Cottbus Field Measurements . . . . .	89
5.3.1.1	CPT and Machine Parameters . . . . .	89
5.3.2	Cottbus Field Deep Vibratory Compaction Simulations . . . . .	90
5.3.2.1	CEL Model and Simulation Methodology for Field Deep Vibratory Compaction . . . . .	90
5.3.2.2	CPT Correlation for Kippen Sand . . . . .	91
5.3.2.3	Comparison of Cottbus Field Measurements and Simulations Results . . . . .	92
5.3.2.4	Comparison of Machine Data . . . . .	94
5.3.2.5	Spatial and Temporal Insight into Field Deep Vibratory Compaction . . . . .	94
<b>6</b>	<b>Understanding Factors Affecting Deep Vibratory Compaction</b>	<b>99</b>
6.1	Frequency . . . . .	99
6.1.1	1g Model Test Results for Frequency . . . . .	99
6.1.2	Numerical Simulations Results for Frequency . . . . .	101
6.1.2.1	Deep Vibratory Compaction Simulations at Field Scale . .	101

---

6.2	Relative Density and Stress State . . . . .	102
6.2.1	Numerical Simulations Results for Relative Density and Stress State . . . . .	104
6.2.1.1	Effect of Relative Density in Field Scale Simulations . . . . .	104
6.2.1.2	Effect of Stress State in Field Scale Simulations . . . . .	105
6.3	Granular Material . . . . .	107
6.3.1	Numerical Simulations Results for Granular Material . . . . .	107
6.3.1.1	1g Model Test Simulation Results . . . . .	110
6.3.1.2	Field Scale Simulation Results . . . . .	111
6.4	Shape of Vibrator Probe and Friction . . . . .	111
6.4.1	Numerical Simulations Results for Vibrator Shape and Friction . . . . .	112
6.4.1.1	Effect of Different Vibrator Probes in Field Scale Simulations . . . . .	114
6.4.1.2	Influence of Friction in Field Scale Simulations . . . . .	114
6.5	Compaction Process . . . . .	116
6.5.1	Numerical Simulation Results for Compaction Process . . . . .	116
6.5.1.1	Effect of Compaction Process in Field Scale Simulations . . . . .	117
6.6	Spacing and Compaction Grid . . . . .	118
6.6.1	Numerical Simulation Results for Spacing and Compaction Grid . . . . .	119
6.6.1.1	Effect of Spacing in Field Scale Simulations . . . . .	119
6.6.1.2	Effect of Compaction Grid in Field Scale Simulations . . . . .	120
6.7	Soil Saturation . . . . .	122
6.7.1	Performance of Coupled Hypoplastic Model to Model Saturated Kippen Sand . . . . .	124
6.7.2	Numerical Simulation Results with Saturated Soil . . . . .	127
6.7.3	Development of Void Ratio and Pore Pressure in Field Scale Simulations . . . . .	127
6.7.4	Influence of Permeability in Field Scale Simulations . . . . .	131
6.7.5	Variation of Void Ratio with Consolidation in Field Scale Simulations	134
<b>7</b>	<b>Optimisation of Deep Vibratory Compaction: Compaction Control</b>	<b>137</b>
7.1	1g Model Tests . . . . .	137
7.1.1	Measurement of Amplitude and Phase Angle . . . . .	138
7.1.2	Identification of Control Parameter: Variation of Amplitude and Phase Angle with Compaction . . . . .	140
7.1.3	Calculation of the reaction stiffness according to Nagy (2019) . . . . .	142
7.1.4	Compaction with and without Control . . . . .	144
7.2	Numerical Simulations . . . . .	144
7.2.1	Code to Control Simulations . . . . .	146
7.2.1.1	Simulation Steps . . . . .	147
7.2.2	Validation of Numerical Framework based on Amplitude Controlled Model Tests . . . . .	149
7.2.3	Compaction Simulation with and without Control . . . . .	149
7.3	Extension to Field: Conceptualization . . . . .	151

---

<b>8 Liquefaction Mitigation of Deep Vibratory Compaction</b>	<b>153</b>
8.1 Plane Strain Lagrangian framework . . . . .	153
8.1.1 Numerical Model and Methodology . . . . .	153
8.1.2 Validation of Plane Strain Model . . . . .	154
8.1.2.1 Seismic Centrifuge Test . . . . .	154
8.1.2.2 Comparison of Centrifuge and Numerical Results . . . . .	159
8.2 Mitigation Potential of Deep Vibratory Compaction . . . . .	163
8.2.1 Before Deep Vibratory Compaction . . . . .	163
8.2.2 After Deep Vibratory Compaction . . . . .	165
<b>9 Inference and Perspective</b>	<b>173</b>
9.1 Key Research Answers . . . . .	173
9.2 Future Scope . . . . .	177
<b>References</b>	<b>179</b>
<b>Appendix A Design of Model Vibrator</b>	<b>193</b>
<b>Appendix B Results of Experimental Tests</b>	<b>205</b>
B.1 Grain size distribution of Hamburger sand . . . . .	205
B.2 Grain density of Hamburger sand . . . . .	207
B.3 Maximum and minimum void ratio of Hamburger sand as per DIN 18126 . . . . .	209
B.4 Critical friction angle of Hamburger sand . . . . .	211
B.5 CRS oedometer test of loose Hamburger sand . . . . .	213
B.6 CRS oedometer test of dense Hamburger sand . . . . .	215
B.7 Static traxial test of loose Hamburger sand . . . . .	217
B.8 Static traxial test of dense Hamburger sand . . . . .	219
B.9 Stress path controlled triaxial test of Hamburger sand for intergranular strain parameters . . . . .	221
B.10 Grain size distribution of Kippen sand . . . . .	223
B.11 Water content of Kippen sand . . . . .	225
B.12 Organic content of Kippen sand . . . . .	227
B.13 Maximum and minimum void ratio of Kippen sand as per DIN 18126 . . . . .	229
B.14 Critical friction angle of Kippen sand . . . . .	231
B.15 CRS oedometer test of Kippen sand . . . . .	233
B.16 Static traxial test of loose Kippen sand . . . . .	235
B.17 Static traxial test of dense Kippen sand . . . . .	237
B.18 Stress path controlled triaxial test of Kippen sand for intergranular strain parameters . . . . .	239
<b>Appendix C Details of field V48 vibrator</b>	<b>241</b>
<b>Appendix D VUAMP: Amplitude controlled CEL simulations</b>	<b>243</b>