An adaptive local-slope SVD filtering approach to enhance events on seismic sections
Milton J. Porsani*, CPGC/ICEO/UFBA and INCT-GP/CNPQ; Michelangelo G. Silva, CPGC/UFBA and BRASPRO; Paulo E. M. Melo, CPGC/UFBA and BRASPRO; Bjorn Ursin, NTNU

Analysis of surface waves in shallow water environment of the Persian Gulf using S and t-f-k transform
Zhao Zhang*, and Yuefeng Sun, Texas A&M; Karl Berleussen, The Petroleum Institute, United Arab Emirates
OBS Nodes: The Emergence and Future of Novel Acquisition Methods

SS 3.1 (3728-3733)
Origin and advances of the planted 4-C seismic node technology
Eivind Berg*, Claude Vuillermoz, Gunnar Ekman and Geir Woje, Seafield Exploration

SS 3.2 (3734-3739)
Imaging the invisible: BP’s path to OBS nodes
Gerard Beaudoin*, BP

SS 3.3 (3740-3745)
FairfieldNodal’s excellent nodal adventure
Steve Mitchell*, Cliff Ray, Etienne Marc, David Hays and Ken Craft, FairfieldNodal

SS 3.4 (3746-3750)
Experiences with OBS node technology in the Greater Mars Basin
Frans Smit*, Shell International Exploration and Production

SS 3.5 (3751-3755)
Ocean bottom nodes processing: Reconciliation of streamer and OBN data sets for time-lapse seismic monitoring: The Angolan Deep Offshore experience
Loïc Bovet*, Enrico Ceragioli, Sergio Tchikanha, Jérôme Guilbot, and Sylvain Toint, Total E&P Angola

SS 3.6 (3756-3758)
OBN acquisition for reservoir management and surveillance at Agbami Field, Nigeria
T. George Chou, Chevron Energy Technology Co.; Doug Hicks, Chevron Nigeria Ltd.; Larry Sydora, Chevron Energy Technology Co; Sunkanmi Iyiola, Nche Nworah, and Isaac Arowolo, Chevron Nigeria Ltd.

SS 3.7 (3759-3763)
Ocean-bottom node seismic: Present challenges and future solutions
Mark Thompson*, Lasse Amundsen, and Harald Westerdal, Statoil Research Centre

Geohazards and Public Safety

SS 4.1 (3764-3768)
Some applications of near-surface geophysics to earthquake geohazards investigations: Examples from Eastern Ontario, Canada
James Hunter*, Heather Crow, and Andre Pugin, Geological Survey of Canada; Darioush Motazedian, Carleton U

SS 4.2 (3769-3773)
Seismic investigations of subsidence hazards
Richard D. Miller*, Julian hanov, Jiånghai Xia, and Shelby L. Peterie, Kansas Geological Survey; Steven L. Sloan, U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center

SS 4.3 (3774-3778)
Automated multifield ground-penetrating radar data collection for monitoring lab-scale infiltration experiments
Adam R. Mangel*, Stephen M. J. Moysey, Jamie C. Ryan, and Joshua A. Tarbutton, Clemson U

SS 4.4 (3779-3783)
Locating abandoned coal mines to assess subsidence risk using self-potential and dc resistivity
Karoline Bohlen* and André Revil, Colorado School of Mines; Nancy House, EnCana Oil & Gas (USA)

SS 4.5 (3784-3788)
Archaeological investigations using geophysics at Chimney Rock Great House, Colorado

Seismic Acquisition/Are We Spending Too Much?

SS 5.1 (3789-3795)
Spatial sampling requirements for seismic recording: A field experiment in Sirte Basin, Libya
O. Yilmaz*, Anatolian Geophysical; N. Shibani, NAGECO; M. Zenati, Waha Oil; A. Oktar, NAGECO; Y. Sakallieglu, Waha Oil

SS 5.2 (3796-3801)
3D symmetric sampling of sparse acquisition geometries
giz J. O. Vermeer, 3DSymSam–Geophysical Advice

SS 5.3 (3802-3806)
Reducing infill requirements using Fresnel zone binning and steerable streamers
David J. Monk*, Apache

SS 5.4 (3807-3810)
Faster, cheaper, better seismic acquisition: Now you can have all three
Craig J. Beasley, Emmanuel Saragoussi, Steve Whidden, and Franck Le Diagon

SS 5.5 (3811-3824)
Higher resolution seismic imaging using holography
Enders A. Robinson, Columbia U; Norman S. Neidell, Consulting Geophysicist; Renzo Cividin, Consulting Geophysicist

SS 5.6 (3825-3828)
Reconstruction of wide-azimuth seismic data: Assumptions, methodology, and field examples
Mauricio D. Sacchi, U Alberta; Daniel Trad, CGGVeritas

SS 5.7 (3829-3833)
Rank-reduction–based trace interpolation
Stewart Trickett*, Lynn Burroughs, Andrew Milton, Larry Walton, and Rob Dack, Kelman Technologies

SS 5.8 (3834-3837)
Wireless seismic systems
Jack Caldwell, OYO Geospace
Humanitarian and Environmental Applications of Geophysics at the Community Level

**SS 6.2 (3838-3841)**
Hydrogeophysical investigation for groundwater at the Dayspring Children's Village, South Africa
Susan J. Weibo*, David Ngobeni, Michael Jones, and Tamini Ablibe, U Witwatersrand; Madeline Lee, McMaster U; Nirocca Devkaran and Rachel Goba, U Witwatersrand; Darren Burrows, Fugro Airborne; Louise Pellerin, Green Geophysics

**SS 6.3 (3842-3843)**
The importance of education and outreach in geophysics
Ruel Snieder, Colorado School of Mines

**SS 6.4 (3844-3847)**
Locating groundwater resources for aboriginal communities in remote and arid parts of South Australia
Graham Heinson, Kent Inverarity*, and David Pedler-Jones, U Adelaide; Adrian Costar, Dept of Water, Land, and Biodiversity Conservation, South Australia; Simon Wurst and Grant McLean, South Australian Water Corporation; Craig Simmons, Flinders U South Australia

**SS 6.5 (3848-3852)**
Student-based archaeological geophysics in northern Thailand
Emily A. Hinz*, Lee M. Liberty, and Spencer H. Wood, Boise State U; Fongsaward Singharajawarapan and Sewomen Udphuay, Chiang Mai U; Apichart Paiyaram, Department of Mineral Resources; Jeffrey Shragge, U Western Australia

**SS 6.6 (3853-3857)**
Southeast Asia applied geophysics workshop: Geoscientists without borders
Lee M. Liberty*, Spencer H. Wood, Emily A. Hinz, and Dylan Mikesell, Boise State U; Fongsaward Singharajawarapan and Sewomen Udphuay, Chiang Mai U; Jeffrey Shragge, U Western Australia

**SS 6.7 (3858-3862)**
The importance of stakeholders in community-based geophysics programs
Stephen Moysey*, Clemson U

**SS 6.8 (3863-3867)**
An integrated groundwater study for Chasniguà, Honduras: Accomplishments and challenges
David Munoz and Catherine Stokoe, Colorado School of Mines

**SS 6.9 (3868-3871)**
Assessing tectonics and geohazards near Kingston, Jamaica: An initial report
Matthew J. Hornbach*, Paul Mann and Katie Delbecq, U Texas at Austin; Charles DeMet and Bryn Benford, U Wisconsin; Lyndon Brown, U West Indies

State of the art in Multidimensional Electromagnetics: In Honor of Gerald W. Hohmann

**SS 7.1 (3872-3877)**
3D controlled-source electromagnetic edge-based finite element modeling of conductive and permeable structures
Souvik Mukherjee,* and Mark E. Everett, Dept of Geology and Geophysics, Texas A&M U

**SS 7.2 (3878-3881)**
A framework for 3D joint inversion of MT, gravity, and seismic refraction data
Max Montagou*, Bjorn Heincke, and Marion Jegen, IFM-GEOMAR; Alan W. Roberts and Richard W. Hobbs, Durham U

**SS 7.3 (3882-3887)**
Scalable nonlinear inverse uncertainty estimation using model reduction, constraint mapping, and sparse geometric sampling
Michael J. Tompkins*, Schlumberger-EMI; Juan L. Fernández-Martínez and Tapan Mukerji, Stanford U; David L. Alumbaugh, Schlumberger

**SS 7.4 (3888-3892)**
Inversion of multisource TEM data with applications to Mt. Milligan
Douglas W. Oldenburg*, Dikun Yang, and Eldad Haber, U British Columbia

**SS 7.5 (3893-3897)**
Comparison of sensitivity and resolution with two marine CSEM exploration methods
David Alumbaugh*, Nestor H. Cuevas, Jiuping Chen, Guozhong Gao, and James Brady, Schlumberger

**SS 7.6 (3898-3902)**
Electromagnetic scattering by thin resistive bodies
Andrei Swidinsky* and Nigel Edwards, U Toronto

**SS 7.7 (3903-3907)**
A parallel goal-oriented adaptive finite element method for 2D marine EM
Kerry Key*, Scripps Institution of Oceanography; Jeff Ovall, U Kentucky

**SS 7.8 (3908-3913)**
Extensional tectonism, magmatic-hydrothermal connections and geothermal systems of the southwestern United States as revealed through magnetotelluric surveying
Philip E. Wannamaker*, Virginia Maris, and Derrick P. Haslerok, U Utah; William M. Doerner, SourceOne Geophysical

**SS 7.9 (3914-3918)**
The effects of rough terrain on land-based controlled-source electromagnetic exploration using a long horizontal dipole source
Mark S. Hickey* and Mark E. Everett, Dept of Geology & Geophysics, Texas A&M U; Stefan L. Helwig and Vladimir S. Mogilatov, EMTEK
Hydrogeophysics

**SS 8.1** (3919-3924)

Inversion of multiple intersecting high-resolution crosshole GPR profiles for hydrological characterization

Baptiste Dafflon* and Warren Banash, Boise State U; James Irving, U Guelph

**SS 8.2** (3925-3929)

Estimation of vadose zone hydraulic properties from geophysical data using a Bayesian framework: Effects of a correlated prior on posterior uncertainties

Marie Scholer, U Lausanne; James Irving, U Guelph; Andrew Binley, Lancaster U; Klaus Holliger*, U Lausanne

**SS 8.3** (3930-3934)

Long-term time-lapse surface and borehole electrical resistivity monitoring of natural recharge-induced contaminant plume behavior

Erika Gasperikova*, Michael B. Kowalsky, Susan S. Hubbard, and John E. Peterson, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory; Gregory S. Baker and Megann Smith, U Tennessee; David B. Watson, Oak Ridge National Laboratory

**SS 8.4** (3935-3939)

Frequency-domain surface nuclear magnetic resonance forward modeling on an adaptive octree mesh

Trevor Irons*, Colorado School of Mines and USGS; Yaoguo Li, Colorado School of Mines; Jason R. McKenna, U.S. Army Engineer Research & Development Center

**SS 8.5** (3940-3945)

Using a real surface conductivity component to estimate hydraulic conductivity

Mohamed Ahmed Khalil* and Fernando Monteiro Santos, U de Lisboa

**SS 8.6** (3946-3950)

The effect of inhomogeneous surface relaxivity on nuclear magnetic resonance relaxation rates

Kristina Keating*, Rutgers U

**SS 8.7** (3951-3955)

Conditions leading to nonexponential decay of the surface-NMR signal and implications for water content estimation

Elliot Grunewald* and Rosemary Knight, Stanford U

Waveform Inversion: In Honor of Albert Tarantola

**SS 9.1** (3956-3957)

Echoes of the real world

Klaus Mosegaard, Technical U Denmark

**SS 9.2** (3958-3959)

On “Wavelengths of earth structures that can be resolved from seismic reflection data”

Antonio Pica, CGGVeritas

**SS 9.3** (3960-3961)

Imaging and inversion based upon adjoint methods

Jeroen Tromp, Princeton U

**SS 9.4** (3962-3963)

Optimal target-oriented survey designs

Hugues Djikpesse, Mohamed Khodja, and Michael Prange, Schlumberger-Doll Research

**SS 9.5** (3964-3965)

Albert Tarantola and the scope of inverse problems

John A. Scales, Colorado School of Mines

**SS 9.6** (3966-3967)

Nonlinear inversion of seismic waveforms: A North Sea offset VSP example

Marwan Charara*, Schlumberger; Christophe Barnes, U de Cergy-Pontoise

**SS 9.7** (3968-3969)

Waveform inversion: progress and prospects

William Symes, Rice U

**SS 9.8** (3970-3971)

How well do you think you know Albert?

Wafik Beydoun, Total
### Seismic Interferometry

**ST 1.1**
(3972-3978)
Green's function representation for seismic interferometry by deconvolution
Kees Wapenaar*, Elmer Ruigrok, Joost van der Neut, Deyan Draganov, Jurg Hunziker, Evert Slots, Jan Thorbecke, Delft U Technology; Roel Snieder, Colorado School of Mines

**ST 1.2**
(3979-3985)
Controlled-source elastic interferometric imaging by multidimensional deconvolution with downhole receivers below a complex overburden
Joost van der Neut*, Delft U Technology; Kurang Mehta, Shell International; Jan Thorbecke and Kees Wapenaar, Delft U Technology

**ST 1.3**
(3986-3990)
Using SVD for improved interferometric Green's function recovery
Gabriela Melo* and Alison Malcolm, MIT; Dylan Mikessell and Kasper van Wijk, Boise State U

**ST 1.4**
(3991-3995)
Redatuming reflections by source-receiver wavefield interferometry
Oleg V. Poliannikov*, MIT

**ST 1.5**
(3996-4000)
Surface wave isolation with the interferometric Green tensor
Kasper van Wijk*, Dylan Mikessell, Thomas Blum, and Matt Hancy, Boise State U; Alex Calvert, Ion Geophysical

**ST 1.6**
(4001-4006)
Underestimation of body waves in Green's function retrieval and its implications
Farnoush Forghani-Arani and Roel Snieder, Colorado School of Mines

**ST 1.7**
(4007-4012)
The far-field approximation in seismic interferometry
Yingcai Zheng* and Yaofeng He, U California

**ST 1.8**
(4013-4018)
Finite-difference modeling experiments for seismic interferometry
Jan Thorbecke* and Deyan Draganov, Delft U Technology

### Frequency and Interferometry

**ST P1.1**
(4019-4023)
Frequency domain, waveform inversion of laboratory crosswell radar data

**ST P1.2**
(4024-4028)
Extracting frequency-dependent velocities from full waveform sonic data
Winnie Pun* and Bernd Milkereit, U Toronto; Brett Harris, Curtin U Technology

**ST P1.3**
(4029-4033)
Generalized PP + PS = SS from seismic interferometry
David Halliday*, Schlumberger Cambridge Research; Andrew Curtis, U Edinburgh; Kees Wapenaar, Delft U Technology

### Velocity Analysis

**SVIP 1.1**
(4041-4046)
Low-frequency passive seismic interferometry for land data
Sjoerd de Riddler and Biondo Biondi, Stanford U

**SVIP 1.2**
(4047-4051)
Application of amplitude and spectral ratio methods for estimation of attenuation from VSP
Gennady Goloshubin*, U Houston; Irina Bayuk, Russian Academy of Sciences

**SVIP 1.3**
(4052-4056)
Interferometric correlogram-space analysis
Oleg V. Poliannikov*, MIT; Mark E. Willis, ConocoPhillips

**SVIP 1.4**
(4057-4061)
Overcoming the I/O bottleneck of adjoint state method based migration velocity analysis
Weihong Fei* and Paul Williamson, Total E&P USA

**SVIP 1.5**
(4062-4065)
Modeling overburden heterogeneity in terms of Vp and Tt for PSDM, Williston Basin, U.S.A.
Greg M. Johnson* and Jerald Doney, WesternGeco

**SVIP 1.6**
(4066-4070)
Velocity estimation by image focusing analysis
Biondo Biondi*, Stanford U

**SVIP 1.7**
(4071-4076)
On the gradient artifacts in migration velocity analysis based on differential semblance optimization
Weihong Fei* and Paul Williamson, Total E&P USA
SVIP 1.5 (4077-4081)
Gradients for wave-equation migration velocity analysis
Madhav Vyas*, WesternGeco; Yaxun Tang, Stanford U

SVIP 1.6 (4082-4087)
Velocity analysis using shot-indexed sensitivity kernels: Application to a complex geological model
Yaofeng He* and Xiao-Bi Xie, UCSC

SVIP 1.7 (4088-4092)
Velocity analysis using multiparameter CIGs
Moshe Reshef, Tel Aviv U

SVIP 1.8 (4093-4097)
Velocity analysis using weighted semblance
Simon Luo and Dave Hale, Colorado School of Mines

Velocity Modeling and Updating

SVIP 2.1 (4098-4102)
Interpretation-driven velocity model building to improve subsalt imaging
Gabriel Ritter*, CGGVeritas

SVIP 2.2 (4103-4107)
Dirty salt velocity inversion: The road to a clearer subsalt image
Shuo Ji*, Tony Huang, Kang Fu, and Zhengxue Li, CGGVeritas

SVIP 2.3 (4108-4112)
Extending MAZ PSDM velocity model building to land context using controlled beam migration, a case study
Olivier Hermant*, Jean-Paul Gruffeille, Serge Zimine, and Sylvain Navion, CGGVeritas

SVIP 2.4 (4113-4117)
Seismic velocity sensitivity analysis: Gulf of Mexico example, Pony Field
Ryan Mann*, Henry Zollinger, and Steve Checkles, Hess

SVIP 2.5 (4118-4122)
Building geologically plausible anisotropic depth models using borehole data and horizon-guided interpolation
Andrey Bakulin*, Yangjun (Kevin) Liu, and Olga Zdraveva, WesternGeco

SVIP 2.6 (4123-4127)
Anisotropic model building with wells and horizons: Gulf of Mexico case study comparing different approaches
Andrey Bakulin*, Olga Zdraveva, Yangjun (Kevin) Liu, and Kevin Lyons, WesternGeco

SVIP 2.7 (4128-4132)
Can we correct for azimuthal variations of residual moveout in land WAZ context, using depth nonlinear slope tomography? An imaging case history
Jean-Philippe Monteil*, Serge Zimine, Gilles Lambaré, Patrice Guillaume, Jean-Paul Touré, Nicolas Deladénère, Xiaoming Zhang, Anthony Prescott, Didier Lerca, Sylvain Navion, CGGVeritas; Jean-Luc Boelle, Total; Ahmed Belmokhtar and Abdelejebbar Ladmek, Sonatrach

SVIP 2.8 (4133-4138)
The inverse scattering series depth imaging algorithms: Development, tests, and progress toward field data application
Arthur B. Weglein*, Fang Liu, Zhiqiang Wang, Xu Li and Hong Liang, M-OSRP, U Houston

Case Histories

TL 1.1 (4139-4144)
4D seismic monitoring of CO2 sequestration based upon spectral-element and adjoint methods: Comparison of acoustic, elastic, and poroelastic theories
Christina Morency*, Yang Luo, and Jeroen Tromp, Princeton U

TL 1.2 (4145-4149)
4D critical angle analysis using Valhall LoFS data
Hossein Mehdizadeh*, and Martin Landre, NTNU; and Olav Inge Sárked, BP

TL 1.3 (4150-4154)
Time-lapse (4D) effect in Forties Field, UK, North Sea: reservoir strain: implication for sand production
Amoyedo Sunday*, Roger Slatt, and Kurt Marfurt; U Oklahoma

TL 1.4 (4155-4159)
Atlantis time-lapse ocean-bottom node survey: A project team’s journey from acquisition through processing
Micah Reasnor*, Gerald Beaudoin, Michael Pfister, Imtiaz Ahmed, Stan Davis, Mark Roberts, and John Howie, BP America; Graham Openshaw, TecPM; Andrew Longo, Clover Global Solutions
New opportunities from 4D seismic and lithology prediction at Ringhorne Field, Norwegian North Sea
David H. Johnston, ExxonMobil Production Company; Upendra Tiwari and Michael B. Helgerud, ExxonMobil Exploration Company; Bernt P. Laugier, ExxonMobil Production Company, Stavanger

Salt Creek 4D: Monitoring a CO2 flood with fine time steps
John O'Brien*, John Moran, Gerry Wilburn, Stan Morris, and Janel Anderen, Anatoliko; Oscar Quezada, Spectraseis

Time-lapse refraction seismic monitoring
Fredrik Haristeen, Peter B. Wills*, Kees Hornman, and Long Jin, Shell International Exploration and Production; Stephen Bourne, Shell Canada

Time-shift inversion for dynamic reservoir characterization in Elgin Field
Alejandro Garcia* and Colin MacBeth, Heriot-Watt U; Andrea Grandi, Total E&P UK

New and Improved Time Lapse

Time-lapse acquisition with a dual-sensor streamer over a conventional baseline survey
Anthony Day*, Martin Widmark, Torben Hoy, and Berit Osnes, PCS

Robustness of virtual source surveys in horizontal wells for reservoir monitoring in South Oman
Kurang Mehta*, and Jorge Lopez, Shell International Exploration and Production; Paul Matheny, and Guillermo Rocco, Petroleum Development Oman

Ocean-bottom node processing in deep offshore environment for reservoir monitoring
Jean-Luc Boelle*, Total Exploration-Production; Didier Leicer and Abderrahim Lafram, CGGVeritas; Juan Cantillo, Total Exploration-Production

Remediating noise in marine 4D seismics due to source-receiver mispositioning
Jon Lippard*, Bard Osdal, and Per Riste, Statoil

Image-domain time-lapse inversion with extended images
Aaron Girard*, Technical U Deli and ION Geophysical; Ivan Vasconcelos, ION Geophysical/GXT Imaging Solutions

A new approach to remove the water-column effect from 4D ocean-bottom data
Yi Wang, Richard Bate, and Sergio Grion, CGGVeritas

4D gravity monitoring of fluid movement at Delhi Field, Louisiana: A feasibility study with seismic and well data
Richard A. Krakenlulhi*, Yiaguo Li, and Tom Davis, Colorado School of Mines

Feasibility of estimating time-lapse Q: A modeling example
Ludmila Adam, Boise State U; Michael Batzle, Colorado School of Mines; Kasper van Wijk, Boise State U

Seismic reservoir monitoring with permanent encoded seismic arrays
 Chowega Ayeni*, Stanford U

Detecting medium changes from coda by interferometry
Oleg V. Poliannikov* and Alison Malcolm, MIT

Source repeatability of time-lapse offset VSP surveys for monitoring CO2 injection
Zhifu Zhang*, Lianjie Huang, and Yi Wang, Los Alamos National Laboratories; Haijiang Zhang, MIT

Multimonitor warping inversion on Ekofisk Field
Andrea Grandi*, TOTAL E&P UK; Raymond Bruland and Yuriza Noor, TOTAL Norge

Separating pressure and saturation changes using seismic attenuation
Thomas Blanchard*, Roger Clark, and Mirko van der Baan, U Leeds

Land seismic repeatability prediction from near-surface investigation
Yousuf Al-Jabri*, and Milovan Urosevic, Curtin U Technology and CO2CRC

P-wave attenuation as an additional tool for monitoring CO2 injection sites
Thomas Blanchard*, U Leeds; Mirko van der Baan, U Alberta; Roger Clark and Bolat Kurmashov, U Leeds
New Insights and Workflows

**TOM 1.1** (4257-4262)
Influence of wavefront healing on seismic tomography
Yuzhu Liu*, Liangguo Dong, and Jizhong Yang, Tongji U

**TOM 1.2** (4263-4268)
Automatic event picking and tomography on 3D RTM angle gathers
Jinjun Liu* and Weishan Han, CCGVeritas

**TOM 1.3** (4269-4274)
Waveform tomography strategy for seismic reflection data from the Queen Charlotte Basin of western Canada
E. M. Takam Takougang* and A. J. Calvert, Simon Fraser U

**TOM 1.4** (4275-4279)
Tomographic inversion over diffraction CFP operators in structurally complex areas
Luiz Alberto Santos*, Djalma M. Soares Filho, André Bulcio, and Carlos E. Theodoro, Petrolebras; Weiwei J. Mansur, U Federal do Rio de Janeiro; George A. McMechan, U Texas at Dallas

**TOM 1.5** (4280-4285)
Target-oriented wavefield tomography using demigrated Born data
Yaxun Tang* and Biondo Biondi, Stanford U

**TOM 1.6** (4286-4290)
Application of steering filters to localized anisotropic tomography with well data
Andrey Bakulin, Marta Woodward*, Yongjun (Kevin) Liu, Olga Zdraveva, Dave Nichols, and Konstantin Ostypov, WesternGeco

**TOM 1.7** (4291-4296)
Monte Carlo full waveform inversion of tomographic crosshole data using complex geostatistical a priori information
Knud S. Corduz*, Thomas M. Hansen, and Klaus Mosegaard, Technical U Denmark

**TOM 1.8** (4297-4301)
Adaptive Lp inversion to recover both blocky and smooth features
Jiajia Sun and Yaoguo Li, Colorado School of Mines

Imaging

**VSP 1.1** (4302-4307)
Practical implementation of seismic interferometry for imaging a steeply dipping dyke
Emma Brand* and Charles Hurich, Memorial U Newfoundland

**VSP 1.2** (4308-4313)
Restoration of boundary location for structural heterogeneities on base of VSP data
Valeriy Chkuaseli*, Schlumberger

**VSP 1.3** (4314-4318)
Multicriteria approach to automatic first arrival picking for 3-C/3D VSP data
Emil Illias, VSFusion

**VSP 1.4** (4319-4323)
Elastic Gaussian-beam imaging of walkaway VSP data
M. I. Protasov*, and V. A. Tcheverda, Institute of Petroleum Geology and Geophysics SB RAS; I. Borodin, WesternGeco; A. A. Shevchenko, Schlumberger Moscow Research

**VSP 1.5** (4324-4328)
3D VSP and crosswell surveys for deep EOR target imaging in South Oman
Denis Kiyashchenko*, Shell International Exploration and Production; Abdullah Maamari, Petroleum Development of Oman

**VSP 1.6** (4329-4333)
Combining standard salt/sediment proximity analysis with interferometric imaging
Martin Karrenbach*, Vlad Soutyrine, and Ruiling He, SR2020

**VSP 1.7** (4334-4338)
Dipole sonic-log calibration using walkaway VSP data
S. Home*, P. Primiero, A. Donald, E. Knight, and C. Sayers, Schlumberger; K. Kosler and Donald Keir, Apache

**VSP 1.8** (4339-4343)
Angle-domain VSP reverse-time migration and application
Wenbo Sun* and Sam Zandong Sun, China U Petroleum
Velocity Tomography

VTOM P1.1 (4344-4347)
Image incident point tomography — a case study
Sergius Dell*, and Dirk Gajewski, U Hamburg

VTOM P1.2 (4348-4353)
Evaluation of preconditioning strategies on waveform tomography of field data: Success with long-offset seismic data in a thrust-fold belt
A. J. Brenders*, and R. Gerhard Pratt, U Western Ontario; S. Charles, Talisman Energy

VTOM P1.3 (4354-4358)
On seismic velocity tomography processing for the shallow section exploration
Virgil Bardan*, Paul Cristea, Valeriu Manj, and Constantin Nitica, Geological Institute of Romania

VTOM P1.4 (4359-4363)
Anisotropic velocity model building using OBS node tomography at Atlantis Field, Gulf of Mexico
Marlana Chirvasiti*, John Eigen, Beriram Nolte, Imtiaz Ahmed, and Ganyuan Xia, EPT BP; Micah Reesner, John Howie, and David Shepherd, GOM BP

VTOM P1.5 (4364-4368)
Tomographic velocity model building of near surface with reversed-velocity interfaces
Hui Liu*, Hua-wei Zhou, Wenge Liu, and Zhihui Zou, Texas Tech; Peiming Li, BGP

Methods and Strategies

VTOM P2.1 (4369-4374)
Wave-equation migration velocity analysis with extended common-image-point gathers
Tongning Yang and Paul Sava, Colorado School of Mines

VTOM P2.2 (4375-4379)
Fast 3D velocity updates using the prestack exploding reflector model
Claudio Guerra and Biondo Biondi*, Stanford U

VTOM P2.3 (4380-4384)
Velocity model building strategy for multiazimuth surveys
Junru Jiao*, Chaoguang Zhou, Sonny Lin, Dennis van der Burg, and Sverre Brandsberg-Dahl*, PCS

VTOM P2.4 (4385-4389)
Depth migration velocity analysis on rugged topography by Kirchhoff PSDM
Bing Zhang*, and Wubao Fang, Sinopec Geophysical Research Institute; Wang Huazhong and Liu Shaoyong, Tongji U

VTOM P2.5 (4390-4394)
3D elastic wavefield tomography in the time domain
Lluis Cuasch*, Ivan Stiel, Adrian Umplesby, and Mike Warner, Imperial College London

VTOM P2.6 (4395-4399)
Nonlinear slope tomography from common-offset vector volumes as applied to a high-density land WAZ survey from the Sultanate of Oman
Patrice Guillaume, Jean-Philippe Montel, Art McCarthy, Matthieu Bulleau, and Gilles Lambare, CGGVeritas; Paul Zwartjes, Richard Smith, and Jallla Riyami, Petroleum Development Oman

VTOM P2.7 (4400-4404)
Velocity estimation via demigration and phase-fit maximization
Ons Zemni*, Albert Tarantola, and Satish Singh, Institut de Physique du Globe de Paris; Henri Calandra, Total

VTOM P2.8 (4405-4409)
Implications of the Born approximation for WEMVA: A systematic approach
Wiktor Weibull* and Borge Arnesen, Norwegian U Science and Technology

Physical Properties in Mineral Exploration

W 6.3 (4410-4413)
Physical properties — a geological survey perspective
Desmond Rainsford* and Tom Muir, Ontario Geological Survey

W 6.4 (4414-4417)
Physical properties measurements for mineral exploration geophysics
Mark Shore*, Magma Geosciences Inc.

W 6.5 (4418-4422)
Anisotropy of fractured mica-rich schist from Outokumpu, Finland: VSP measurements, laboratory measurements, and theoretical model
Heather Schijns* and Douglas R. Schmitt, U Alberta; Peika Heikkinen, U Helsinki; Ilmo T. Kukkonen, Geological Survey of Finland

W 6.6 (4423-4427)
Integrating petrophysical data to build a 3D-earth model
Emmanuel L. Bongatjum*, Jun-wei Huang, and Bernd Milkereit, Physics Dept., U Toronto
Predicting Geohazards Predrill using Geophysical Methods

Mitigating drilling hazards in the Forties Field using ocean bottom seismometers
Klaas Kosler and David Monk, Apache North Sea; Rafael Bouraly, Arne Rolkan, and Shuki Ronen, CGGVeritas

Recent Advances in Elastic-wave Inversion for Shear-wave Interpretation

Prestack waveform inversion — the present state and the road ahead
Subhashis Mallick*, Pradip Kumar Mukhopadhyay, Admit Padhi, and Laramie Vladimir Alvarado, U Wyoming
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