LEGALITY AND LEGITIMACY

Carl Schmitt, Hans Kelsen and Hermann Heller in Weimar

DAVID DYZENHAUS

Faculty of Law and Department of Philosophy
University of Toronto

CLARENDON PRESS • OXFORD
1997
Contents

REFERENCE POLICY ix

1. Legality and Legitimacy: Refractions from Weimar 1
  1.1 States of Emergency 6
  1.2 Weimar: a Short Introduction 17
  1.3 The Coup d'État of 20 July 1932 28
  1.4 Article 48 and the Court's Decision 32

2. Friend and Enemy: Schmitt and the Politics of Law 38
  2.1 The Sovereignty of Decision 42
  2.2 Law as the People's Will 51
  2.3 Liberalism, Parliamentarianism, and Legal Positivism 58
  2.4 The Guardian of the Constitution? 70
  2.5 Liberalism's Horizon 85
  2.6 Anti-Semitism and Apology 98

3. The Pure Theory in Practice: Kelsen's Science of Law 102
  3.1 Kelsen's Critique of Schmitt 108
  3.2 Kelsen on Article 48 123
  3.3 God, State, and Democracy 132
  3.4 The Principle of Legality 149
  3.5 The Inner Heterogeneity of Kelsen's Thought 157

4. The Legitimacy of Legal Order: Heller's Legal Theory 161
  4.1 The Crisis of State Theory 167
  4.2 Culture, Society and State 176
  4.3 The Legitimacy of the State and Law 181
  4.4 Democracy and Homogeneity 186
  4.5 Law as the Sovereign Expression of the People's Will 194
  4.6 The Concept of Constitutional Statute 200
  4.7 The Individual Legal Conscience 210
  4.8 The Idea of Legal Order 213

5. Lessons from Weimar: The Legitimacy of Legality 218
  5.1 Schmitt and Rawls on Justification 219
  5.2 Habermas on the Democratic Form of Law 235
  5.3 Hermann Heller and Contemporary Political and Legal Philosophy 247