

Revolution and Evolution in Private Law

Edited by
Sarah Worthington
Andrew Robertson
Graham Virgo



• H A R T •
PUBLISHING

OXFORD AND PORTLAND, OREGON

2018

Table of Contents

<i>Preface</i>	v
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xiii
<i>Table of Legislation</i>	xxv
<i>Notes on Contributors</i>	xxix

FOUNDATIONS

1. Revolution and Evolution in Private Law	3
<i>Sarah Worthington</i>	
2. Revolutions in Private Law?	11
<i>David Ibbetson</i>	
I. Introduction	11
II. The Law of Tort	13
III. Contract	19
IV. Unjust Enrichment.....	24
V. Concluding Thoughts	29
3. Private Law's Revolutionaries: Authors, Codifiers and Merchants?	31
<i>Hector L MacQueen</i>	
I. Introduction	31
II. Berman's Law and Revolutions	32
III. Roman Law in the Western Legal Tradition	35
IV. Civil Codes and Revolutions	41
V. Revolutions in the Law of Obligations: Scotland and Stair	43
VI. Mercantile Contracts	47
4. Paradigms Lost or Paradigms Regained? Legal Revolutions and the Path of the Law	51
<i>TT Arvind</i>	
I. Introduction: The Revolutionary Turn in Private Law	51
II. The Limits of Legal Revolutions	55
A. (Mis)interpreting the Past: A Revolutionary Problem	55
B. Normative Choices and Legal Change.....	58
III. Scientific Revolutions and Legal Revolutions.....	59
A. A Matter of Light	59
B. A Legal Parallel?	60
IV. Paradigms, Theories and Black-Letter Law.....	62
A. Revolutions and Paradigms	62
B. Theoretical Revolutions and Black-Letter Law	65
V. Conclusion: The Failure of Legal Revolutions	71

DOCTRINES

5. Risk Revolutions in Private Law	75
<i>Jenny Steele</i>	
I. Introduction.....	75
II. Risk Revolutions and Private Law	77
A. Security and Responsibility	77
B. Risk Revolutions: A Brief Overview.....	77
C. Risk in Private Law	79
D. Risk and Regulatory Change	81
III. A Recognised ‘Security’ Revolution.....	82
A. Security Revolution and the Context of Private Law.....	85
B. After Effects.....	86
IV. Backwards or Forwards with a New Risk Revolution.....	87
V. Tort, Security, Responsibility and Risk.....	92
VI. Conclusions: Revolution upon Revolution?	96
6. The Unacknowledged Revolution in Liability for Negligence.....	99
<i>Steve Hedley</i>	
I. Introduction.....	99
II. Asocial Theories	100
III. Bringing in the Modern World	102
IV. Modern Irrelevance of Asocial Theories?	103
V. Abolishing Tort?	106
VI. Tort from the Government’s Point of View	107
VII. Modern Theories about Tort.....	113
A. Tort as Compensation or Insurance	113
B. Abolition	114
C. Symbolic Theories.....	115
VIII. Symbolic Action: Current Debates	117
IX. Bureaucratic Action: Prospects for the Future	119
X. Conclusion.....	120
7. A Revolution in Vicarious Liability: <i>Lister</i> , the <i>Catholic Child</i> <i>Welfare Society Case</i> and Beyond	121
<i>Paula Giliker</i>	
I. Introduction.....	121
II. Tracing the Need for Change: Sexual Abuse and Vicarious Liability	123
III. The <i>Lister</i> Revolution: Replacing ‘Unauthorised Mode’ with the ‘Close Connection’ Test	127
IV. <i>Lister</i> Revisited: A Modern Theory of Vicarious Liability	131
V. Back to the Supreme Court: <i>Cox</i> , <i>Mohamud</i> and the Two-Stage Test.....	134
VI. Conclusion.....	138

8.	Revolutions in Contractual Interpretation: A Historical Perspective	141
	<i>Joanna McCunn</i>	
	I. Introduction	141
	II. Some Background	142
	III. Contractual Interpretation in the Sixteenth Century.....	145
	A. <i>Throckmerton v Tracy</i>	145
	B. The Identification of Intentions	147
	C. Intentions and Reason.....	148
	D. Conceptions of Contractual Intention	150
	E. Interpretation and Equity.....	152
	IV. Two Approaches to Interpretation.....	154
	V. The Seventeenth Century and Beyond	157
	VI. Conclusion.....	159
9.	Revolutions and Counterrevolutions in Equitable Estoppel	161
	<i>Andrew Robertson</i>	
	I. Introduction	161
	II. Early Expansionism: Making Representations Good.....	163
	III. The Nineteenth-Century Contraction.....	166
	IV. Re-expansion: Proprietary and Promissory Estoppel	169
	A. Proprietary Estoppel	169
	B. Promissory Estoppel.....	171
	V. Contemporary Contractionary Turns	172
	VI. Conclusion	175
10.	Reflections on the Restitution Revolution.....	177
	1. England and Wales	177
	<i>Amy Goymour</i>	
	I. Introduction	177
	II. The First Edition of <i>Goff and Jones</i>	178
	A. The Pre-existing Legal Landscape.....	178
	B. Ambitions of the First Edition	179
	C. Contemporary Critical Reception.....	180
	III. The Development of the Subject Since 1966	181
	A. Evolution	182
	(i) Tracking Evolution via Statistics	182
	(ii) Tracking Evolution via Substantive Developments	183
	B. Attempts at Revolution	184
	IV. The Place of <i>Goff and Jones</i> in the Subject's Intellectual	
	Evolution.....	187
	A. 'But for' the Publication of <i>Goff and Jones</i> in 1966	187
	B. Viewing the Precise Contribution of <i>Goff and Jones</i> ,	
	with the Benefit of Hindsight.....	188
	(i) Stages in the Subject's Intellectual Evolution.....	188

	(ii) The Place of <i>Goff and Jones</i> in the Evolutionary Process	189
	(iii) Evaluating this Mode of Intellectual Evolution.....	189
V.	The Future (R)evolution of the Subject.....	190
	A. Some Micro-level Concerns.....	190
	B. Some Macro-level Concerns.....	191
	C. <i>Goff and Jones</i> at 100?	192
2.	Australia.....	193
	<i>Elise Bant</i>	
	I. A Potted History of Unjust Enrichment in Australia pre- <i>AFSL v Hills Industries</i>	193
	II. <i>AFSL v Hills Industries</i>	194
	III. The Future of Unjust Enrichment and Restitution Law in Australia.....	196
	A. Evolution and Refinement of the Fourfold Inquiry	196
	B. Unjust Enrichment and Restitution	197
	C. Equity and Unjust Enrichment	199
	IV. Conclusion	201
3.	Canada	202
	<i>Mitchell McInnes</i>	
	I. Introduction	202
	II. History.....	202
	III. A False Start.....	204
	IV. A New Direction	205
	V. Positive Developments.....	205
	VI. A Very Bad Idea	207
	VII. Conclusion	210
4.	South Africa	210
	<i>Helen Scott</i>	
	I. The Idea of Unjustified Enrichment	210
	II. The Rationalisation of Unjustified Enrichment	213
	III. Farewell to Unjustified Enrichment?	216
5.	A Judicial Perspective	219
	<i>Sir Terence Etherton MR</i>	
11.	Revolutions in Personal Property: Redrawing the Common Law's Conceptual Map.....	227
	<i>Sarah Worthington</i>	
	I. Introduction	227
	II. What Has English Law Done with the <i>Numerus Clausus</i> Principle?	229
	III. Which 'Things' Count as Property?.....	230
	IV. Which 'Types of Interests' Count as Property?	235

V. Consequences.....	243
VI. Conclusion	247

GENERAL ISSUES

12. Modern Equity: Revolution or Renewal from Within?	251
<i>Pauline Ridge</i>	
I. Introduction	251
II. A Revolutionary Narrative of Modern Equity	252
III. A Counter Narrative of Modern Equity	253
IV. The Integration of Common Law and Equity	254
A. The Revolutionary Narrative: Undue Influence	254
B. The Counter Narrative: The Claim for Money Had and Received.....	257
C. Ancillary Liabilities for Receipt of Trust Property	258
(i) Background: The Knowing Receipt and Persisting Property Claims	258
(ii) The Revolutionary Narrative and Knowing Receipt.....	259
(iii) The Counter Narrative: The <i>Heperu</i> Claim.....	259
(iv) The Revolutionary Narrative: <i>Great Investments Ltd</i> <i>v Warner</i>	260
(v) Has Either Narrative Prevailed?	263
V. Conscience	264
A. Introduction	264
B. The Two Roles of Conscience	265
(i) Conscience as a Doctrinal Rationale: The <i>Heperu</i> Claim	265
(ii) Conscience as a Determinant of Liability	267
VI. Judicial Method	267
VII. Conclusion	270
13. Concurrent Liability: A Spluttering Revolution	273
<i>Paul S Davies</i>	
I. Introduction	273
II. Contract versus Tort.....	275
A. First Steps: Contract Trumps Tort	275
B. Asserting Concurrent Liability	277
C. The Beginnings of a Counter Revolution?	281
(i) Remoteness	281
(ii) Type of Loss.....	285
(iii) Contributory Negligence.....	286
(iv) Choice of Law.....	287
(v) Limitation	287
D. Conclusions	288
III. Contract versus Trusts.....	288
IV. Conclusions.....	293

14. The Illegality Revolution	295
<i>Graham Virgo</i>	
I. Introduction	295
II. The Central Controversy: Rule versus Discretion	296
A. No Reliance on Illegality	299
B. Withdrawal from an Illegal Transaction	300
C. The Parties are not <i>In Pari Delicto</i>	301
D. The Policy Behind the Illegality	302
E. Close Connection or Inextricable Link	302
III. <i>Patel v Mirza</i>	303
IV. <i>Patel v Mirza</i> : Revolution or Evolution?	309
A. Impact on Legal Doctrine	309
B. Judicial Reception of the Decision	310
V. Synthesis	311
15. The Revolutionary Trajectory of EU Contract Law towards	
Post-national Law	315
<i>Hugh Collins</i>	
I. A Revolutionary Cocktail for the <i>Ancien Régime</i>	315
II. Techno-law	317
A. Instrumentalism	318
B. Functions	321
C. Incompleteness	322
III. The Impact of Techno-law	323
IV. From Rule-Book to Rights-Based Conception of the Rule of Law	326
A. Legitimation	328
B. Enhanced Functionalism	330
C. The Implications of a Rights-Based Conception of the	
Rule of Law	331
V. Real Transnational Law	332
VI. Post-national Law	335
 <i>Index</i>	 337