

# Subnational Authorities in EU Law

MICHÈLE FINCK

*Fellow in Law at the London School of Economics  
and Lecturer at Keble College, University of Oxford*

**OXFORD**  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

# *Table of Contents*

<i>Table of Cases</i>	xv
<i>Table of Legislation</i>	xix
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xxi
<b>1. The Status of Subnational Authorities in EU Law</b>	<b>1</b>
Introduction	1
A. The Outsider Narrative and the Insider Narrative	4
1. The outsider narrative	4
a. The monolithic nature of statehood	6
b. The bi-centric interaction between the EU and the Member States	10
c. Member States have a special status in EU law by virtue of being masters of the Treaties	13
2. The insider narrative: a polycentric conception of the European legal order	15
a. Polycentricity	17
b. Porosity	21
c. Member States and SNAs can be assimilated	25
B. The Evolving Structure of the European Legal Order	27
1. The nature of European integration	28
2. The nature of contemporary governance challenges	32
<b>2. SNAs in the Contemporary European Union</b>	<b>37</b>
Introduction	37
A. A Wide Diversity of Subnational Authorities	38
B. SNAs' Norm-Generating Capacity	40
C. Subnational Authorities and European Integration	45
D. The Committee of the Regions	48
E. Associations of Regions and Localities	50
F. SNAs and International Relations	53
G. The Constant Evolution of Subnational Autonomies	56
<b>3. Subnational Authorities as Outsiders of EU Law</b>	<b>61</b>
Introduction	61
A. Manifestations of the Outsider Narrative in EU Law	62
1. The Member States as masters of the Treaties	62

2. Member States have special rights by virtue of being masters of the Treaties	67
a. Standing under Article 263 TFEU	67
b. Only Member States can engage in closer cooperation	72
c. Member States have special obligations by virtue of being masters of the Treaties	74
d. Direct responsibility	74
e. Indirect responsibility	82
3. Member States are monolithic entities	84
a. The doctrine of purely internal situations	85
b. The bi-centric nature of the ordinary legislative procedure	88
c. The Court adheres to the monolithic nature of statehood under EU law	91
d. The outsider narrative is characterized by the paradigms of division and separate spheres of public authority	92
4. Explicit references to SNAs in the EU Treaties	95
a. Article 4(2) TEU	96
b. Article 5(3) TEU	100
<b>4. The Insider Narrative</b>	<b>109</b>
Introduction	109
A. SNAs as Contributors to EU Law's Substantive Development	110
1. The <i>Omega</i> case	110
a. <i>Omega</i> and the protection of national identities under EU law	114
b. The protection of human dignity as a general principle of EU law	116
c. The public policy exemption	118
d. The justification of the local measure	120
B. Polycentricity	122
1. <i>Horvath</i>	122
2. <i>Digibet</i>	125
3. The Covenant of Mayors	130
4. The Pact of Amsterdam and the Committee of the Regions' Charter on Multi-Level Governance	132
5. The European Citizens' Initiative	134
C. Porosity	136
1. <i>Casagrande</i>	136
2. <i>Regione Sardegna</i>	139
3. Cross-border cooperation in the polycentric union	141
4. The Regions of Knowledge Initiative	142
5. The European Grouping of Territorial Cooperation	144

D. Subnational Authorities as Allies in the Achievement of Supranational Objectives	146
1. <i>Carbonati Apuani</i>	147
2. <i>Josemans</i>	150
3. <i>Walloon Waste</i>	153
4. SNAs' duty of loyalty towards the EU	155
5. Tripartite contracts	160
E. The Assimilation of Member States and SNAs	162
1. <i>Flemish Care Insurance</i>	163
2. <i>Aragonesa</i>	167
3. <i>Anton Las</i>	169
4. The smart specialization agenda	171
F. Subnational Authorities as Internal Market Participants	172
1. Public procurement law	173
a. Rüffert	174
b. Coditel Brabant	177
2. State aid law	179
a. Azores and the 'sufficiently autonomous' test	183
b. Azores and SNAs as insiders	188
5. Conclusion	191
<i>Bibliography</i>	197
<i>Index</i>	211