The UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules

A Commentary

(With an Integrated and Comparative Discussion of the 2010 and 1976 UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules)

Second Edition

DAVID D. CARON
LEE M. CAPLAN

OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS
# Table of Contents

**Table of Cases and Other Practice**  xxvii  
**Table of Instruments**  lix  
**List of Abbreviations**  lxxxiii  

## Chapter 1: Introduction

1. Introduction 1  
2. The International Arbitral Process and the Work of UNCITRAL 2  
3. The Development of the UNCITRAL Rules of Procedure 3  
   A. The Drafting of the 1976 Rules 3  
   B. The UNCITRAL Rules and the Iran–US Claims Tribunal 4  
      (1) The Origins and Structure of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal 4  
      (2) The Tribunal's Use of the UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules 5  
   C. The UNCITRAL Rules and the 1985 UNCITRAL Model Law 6  
   D. Adoption of the UNCITRAL Rules in other Public and Private Institutions 6  
   E. Investor–State Arbitration and the UNCITRAL Rules 7  
   F. The 2010 UNCITRAL Rules 8  
   G. The Work of UNCITRAL on Rules for Transparency in Investor–State Arbitration 9  
4. How to Use this Commentary 10  
   A. Navigating the Text 10  
   B. The Interplay between the 1976 Rules and 2010 Rules in Application and Interpretation 10  
   C. The Extracts 10  
   D. Further Research 11  
5. Conclusion 11  

## PART I: FUNDAMENTAL PRINCIPLES AND THE LEGAL FRAMEWORK WITHIN WHICH THE ARBITRAL TRIBUNAL OPERATES

**Introduction** 13  

## Chapter 2: Scope of Application, General Provisions, and Place of Arbitration

1. Introduction 16  
2. Scope of Application—Article 1 16  
   A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule 16  
   B. Commentary 17  
      (1) General comments 17  
      (2) Scope of application 18  
      (3) No writing requirement for agreement to arbitration 18  
      (4) Party autonomy and modification of the Rules 19  
      (5) Presumptive scope of application 20  

Table of Contents

(6) Future transparency rules for investor-state arbitration 23
(7) Model arbitration clause 24
(8) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules 24
C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment and other Tribunals 26
   (1) Article 1(1) (1976 Rules)—scope and modification 26
   (2) Article 1(2) (1976 Rules)—non-derogation from mandatory law 28
3. General Provisions—Article 17 29
   A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule 29
   B. Commentary 30
      (1) Basic principles—Article 17(1) 30
          (a) Arbitral autonomy and its limits 30
          (b) Confidentiality in UNCITRAL arbitration 36
          (c) Non-disputing party (amicus) participation in UNCITRAL arbitration 39
          (d) The nature of the proceedings before the Iran-US Claims Tribunal 41
          (e) Concluding comments 45
      (2) Provisional timetable and modification of time periods—Article 17(2) 46
          (a) Provisional timetable 46
          (b) Modifications of time periods 47
          (c) Issues of general application 49
      (3) Right to a hearing—Article 17(3) 49
          (a) Scope of hearings 49
          (b) Timing of hearing requests 51
          (c) Preliminary meetings 52
      (4) Communication of documents—Article 17(4) 53
      (5) Joinder of third persons—Article 17(5) 54
      (6) Consolidation of claims 57
      (7) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules 58
C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment and other Tribunals 59
   (1) Article 15(1) (1976 Rules)—general 59
   (2) Article 15(1) (1976 Rules)—amicus submissions 65
D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran—US Claims Tribunal 69
   (1) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 15(1) 69
   (2) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 15(2) 74
4. Place of Arbitration—Article 18 77
   A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule 77
   B. Commentary 78
      (1) Meaning of “place of arbitration” 78
      (2) Selection of the place of arbitration—Article 18(1) 80
          (a) Considerations to be taken into account 80
              (1) Nature and suitability of the local law 81
              (2) Enforceability of the award 85
              (3) Neutrality 87
              (4) Practical considerations 89
          (b) Decision on place of arbitration not procedural: consultation with the parties 91
      (3) Where the award is “deemed to have been made” 92
      (4) The location of tribunal deliberations and meetings “for any other purpose, including hearings”—Article 18(2) 93
      (5) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules 95
C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals 96
D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran—US Claims Tribunal 109
Chapter 3: Applicable Law, Amiable Compositeur

1. Introduction

2. Applicable Law—Article 35
   A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule
   B. Commentary
      (1) The primary rule—Article 35(1)
         (a) The principle of party autonomy and its application
         (b) Choice of applicable law where not designated by the parties
      (2) Amiable compositeur or ex aequo et bono—Article 35(2)
      (3) The significance of the contract and of trade usages—Article 35(3)
      (4) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules
      (5) A note on the Iran–US Claims Tribunal
   C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals
   D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal

PART II: ARBITRAL PROCEDURES TO CONTROL THE SELECTION AND CONDUCT OF ARBITRATORS

Introduction

Chapter 4: The Number and Selection of Arbitrators

1. Introduction

2. Designating and Appointing Authorities—Article 6
   A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule
   B. Commentary
      (1) Opportunities for agreement on an appointing authority—Article 6(1)
      (2) The role of the designating authority—Article 6(2) and (4)
      (3) Suspension of time periods in the absence of an appointing authority—Article 6(3)
      (4) The role of the appointing authority—Article 6(5)–(7)
   C. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal

3. The Number of Arbitrators—Article 7
   A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule
   B. Commentary
      (1) The default rule on the number of arbitrators—Article 7(1)
      (2) The exception to the default rule of three arbitrators—Article 7(2)
      (3) A number of arbitrators other than one or three
   C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals

4. Appointment of the Sole Arbitrator—Article 8
   A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule
   B. Commentary

5. Appointment of a Three-Person Panel—Article 9
   A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule
   B. Commentary
      (1) The right of each party to appoint an arbitrator
      (2) The appointment of the presiding arbitrator
   C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals
Chapter 5: The Challenge of Arbitrators

1. Introduction

A. An Overview of Challenges before Investment and other Tribunals
   (1) Challenge decision of April 15, 1993
   (2) Challenge decision of January 11, 1995
   (3) Challenge decision by a Division of the LCIA—October—December 2005
   (4) The US Government’s challenge of Professor James Anaya in the Grand River arbitration—2007
   (5) The Argentine Government’s challenge of Mr Judd L. Kessler in the National Grid arbitration—2007
      (a) The Argentine Government’s first challenge—2007
      (b) The Argentine Government’s second challenge—2008
   (7) The Argentine Government’s challenge of Mr Stanimir Alexandrov in the ICS arbitration—2009
   (8) The claimant’s challenge to Mr J. Christopher Thomas in the Gallo arbitration—2009

B. An Overview of the Challenges before the Iran–US Claims Tribunal
   (1) The Iranian Government challenge of Nils Mangård—1982
   (2) The US Government challenge of Judges Kashani and Shafei—1984
   (3) The first Iranian Government challenge of Judge Briner in Case No 55, Amoco Iran and Islamic Republic of Iran—1988
   (4) The second Iranian Government challenge of Judge Briner—1989
   (6) The US claimant’s challenge of Judge Noori in Case No 248, Carlson and Melli Industrial Group—1990
   (8) The Iranian Government challenges of Judge Krzysztof Skubiszewski—1999
   (9) The US Government challenge of Judge Bengt Broms—2001
   (10) The US claimant’s challenge of Judge Bengt Broms in connection with Case No 485, Frederica Lincoln Riahi—2004
   (13) The Iranian Government challenge of Judge Krzysztof Skubiszewski and Judge Gaetano Arangio-Ruiz—2009
   (14) The US Government challenge of Judge Seyed Jamal Seifi—2010
   (15) The Iranian Government challenge of Judge Charles Brower—2010

C. The Exclusivity of the UNCITRAL Challenge Procedure
2. The Duty to Disclose—Article 11
   A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule
   B. Commentary
      (1) The duty to disclose
      (2) Model statements of independence
      (3) Disclosure guidelines of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal
   C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals
   D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal
      (1) General
      (2) Disclosure by the US Arbitrators

3. The Grounds for Challenge—Article 12
   A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule
   B. Commentary
      (1) Challenge on the ground of justifiable doubts as to impartiality or independence—general remarks on Article 12(1)
         (a) The standard for impartiality and independence is objective
         (b) The same standard applies to party-appointed and non-party appointed arbitrators
         (c) Criteria regarding and examples of justifiable doubts
      (2) Challenge on the ground of justifiable doubts as to impartiality or independence
         (a) The meaning of “impartiality” and “independence”
         (b) When are doubts as to impartiality or independence justified?
            (1) An arbitrator’s relationship with a witness
            (2) An arbitrator’s financial relationship with a party (shareholding)
            (3) An arbitrator’s financial relationship with a party (salary)
            (4) An arbitrator’s previous employment by the parent corporation of a party
            (5) An arbitrator’s representation in another forum that is adverse to a party
            (6) An arbitrator’s previous advocacy on behalf of a country formerly adverse to a sovereign party
            (7) An arbitrator’s representation of a third party with a right to intervene in the proceedings
            (8) An arbitrator’s handling of the proceedings
            (9) An arbitrator’s statement regarding a party or the dispute
            (10) An arbitrator’s decision-making
            (11) An arbitrator’s breach of the confidentiality of deliberations
            (12) An arbitrator’s physical assault of a fellow arbitrator
         (c) The standard of independence and impartiality does not vary according to the stage of the proceedings
         (d) A failure to disclose under Article 11 may give rise to, but does not per se establish, justifiable doubts as to impartiality or independence
         (e) Are there any limitations on the circumstances which may be used as the basis for justifiable doubts?
      (3) Article 12(1) as providing the exclusive grounds for challenge
      (4) When may a party challenge the arbitrator it has appointed?—Article 12(2)
   C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment and other Tribunals
   D. Extracts From the Practice of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal
      (1) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 10(1)
      (2) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 10(2)
4. The Initiation of the Challenge and the Potential for Agreement to the Challenge—Article 13
   A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule 241
   B. Commentary 241
      (1) When notice of challenge must be made—Article 13(1) 241
         (a) General comments on the travaux préparatoires 241
         (b) When circumstances “became known” to the challenging party 243
            (1) Burden of proof 243
            (2) Actual prior knowledge 245
      (2) To whom should notice be sent; what form should notice take; sufficiency of the notice; who may send notice—Article 13(2) 248
      (3) Procedure if challenge is accepted by all parties or challenged arbitrator withdraws—Article 13(3) 253
      (4) Timeline for seeking a decision on a challenge—Article 13(4) 256
   C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals 256
   D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal 257
      (1) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 11(1) 257
      (2) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 11(2) 263
      (3) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 11(3) 267

5. The Resolution of the Challenge 268
   A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule 268
   B. Commentary 268
      (1) Which authority shall make the decision on the challenge if the other party does not agree to the challenge or the challenged arbitrator does not withdraw? 268
      (2) When may a party seek the assistance of the PCA Secretary-General in designating an appointing authority? 270
      (3) What procedures the appointing authority may follow in deciding the challenge 271
      (4) Limitations on the powers of the appointing authority 272
   C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals 272
   D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal 273
      (1) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 12(1) 273
      (2) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 12(2) 274

6. Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules 274

Chapter 6: Failure to Act, other Disruptions, and the Replacement of an Arbitrator

1. Introduction 278
2. Failure to Act and other Disruptions—Article 12(3) 278
   A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule 278
   B. Commentary 278
      (1) Drafting history of the rule and general comments 278
      (2) Iran–US Claims Tribunal practice with respect to an arbitrator’s failure to act 280
      (3) Iran–US Claims Tribunal practice with respect to other disruptions 282
         (a) A note on substitute arbitrators 282
         (b) A note on truncated proceedings 283
            (1) The absence of Mr Sani, Fall 1983 284
            (2) The absence of Judge Mostafavi, Spring 1988 284
            (3) The practice of the Tribunal regarding absence from the hearing 285
(4) The practice of the Tribunal regarding the rendering of awards despite a refusal to sign 286
(5) The practice of the Tribunal regarding the rendering of awards on agreed terms despite no participation by an arbitrator 286
(6) The practice of the Tribunal regarding the holding of pre-hearing conference despite the absence of an arbitrator 286
  (c) A note on resignation of an arbitrator 286
(4) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules 289
C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals 289
D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal 290
(1) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 13(1)—Resignation 290
(2) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 13(2)—Failure to act 291
(3) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 13(3) 302
(4) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 13(4) 302
(5) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 13(5) 302

3. Replacement of an Arbitrator—Article 14 305
A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule 305
B. Commentary 305
  (1) Rationale for the revised approach: the problem of spurious resignations 305
  (2) Procedures when an arbitrator "has to be replaced"—Article 14(1) 307
  (3) Replacement of an arbitrator in "exceptional circumstances"—Article 14(2) 308
    (a) Depriving a party of its right to appoint 308
    (b) Procedural requirements 311
    (c) Exclusivity of the discretion of the appointing authority 312
    (d) Agreement between the parties 313
  (4) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules 314
    (a) The express power to proceed 315
    (b) The inherent power to proceed 317

4. Repetition of Hearings in the Event of Replacement of an Arbitrator—Article 15 318
A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule 318
B. Commentary 318
  (1) General comments 318
  (2) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules 320
C. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal 322

Chapter 7: Exclusion of Liability for Arbitrators and other Participants 325
1. Introduction 325
2. Exclusion of Liability for Arbitrators and other Key Actors—Article 16 325
   A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule 325
   B. Commentary 326
      (1) General 326
      (2) Practice of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal 330
      (3) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules 330
   C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals 330
   D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal 331
Table of Contents

Chapter 8: The Institution of the Appointing Authority

1. Evolution of the UNCITRAL Approach? 337
2. The Appointing Authority and Composition Generally 338
3. Lessons from the Iran-US Claims Tribunal for the Office of the Appointing Authority 340


Introduction 345

Chapter 9: Representation and Assistance

1. Introduction 347
2. Representation and Assistance—Article 5 347
   A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule 347
   B. Commentary 348
      (1) The right to representation and assistance by persons chosen 348
      (2) Communicating the identity and role of representatives and advisers 351
      (3) Proof of a representative's authority 352
      (4) Practice of the Iran-US Claims Tribunal 353
      (5) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules 354
   C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals 355
   D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran-US Claims Tribunal 356

Chapter 10: The Notice Initiating Arbitration and the Response

1. Introduction 359
2. The Notice Initiating Arbitration—Article 3 360
   A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule 360
   B. Commentary 361
      (1) The requirement to communicate a notice of arbitration—Article 3(1) 361
      (2) The date of commencement of arbitration proceedings—Article 3(2) 362
      (3) Mandatory information provided in the notice of arbitration—Article 3(3) 363
      (4) Additional information provided in the notice of arbitration—Article 3(4) 364
      (5) Insufficiency of the notice of arbitration—Article 3(5) 364
      (6) International investment agreements and the notice of arbitration 365
      (7) The notice of arbitration at the Iran-US Claims Tribunal 366
      (8) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules 368
   C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals 369
3. The Response to the Notice of Arbitration—Article 4 370
   A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule 370
   B. Commentary 370
      (1) The requirement to respond to the notice of arbitration—Article 4(1) 370
      (2) Mandatory contents of the response to the notice of arbitration—Article 4(1) 372
      (3) Optional contents of the response to the notice of arbitration—Article 4(2) 373
Chapter 11: The Choice of Language

1. Introduction
2. The Choice of Language—Article 19
   A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule
   B. Commentary
      (1) Determination of the language of arbitration—Article 19(1)
      (2) Translation of documents and exhibits—Article 19(2)
      (3) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules
   C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals
   D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal
      (1) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 17(1)
      (2) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 17(2)

Chapter 12: Notice and the Calculation of Periods of Time

1. Introduction
2. Notice and Calculation of Periods of Time—Article 2
   A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule
   B. Commentary
      (1) What form notice may take—Article 2(1)
      (2) When notice becomes effective—Article 2(2)–(4)
         (a) A notice is “deemed to have been received”
         (b) Means of delivery, including by electronic communication
            (1) Electronic communications
      (3) How to calculate periods of time under the Rules—Article 2(5)–(6)
      (4) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules
   C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals
   D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran–United States Claims Tribunal
      (1) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 2(1)
      (2) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 2(2)
      (3) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 2(3)
      (4) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 2(4)
      (5) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 2(5)

Chapter 13: Statements of Claim and Defence

1. Introduction
2. The Statement of Claim—Article 20
   A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule
   B. Commentary
      (1) Submission of the statement of claim
      (2) Contents of the statement of claim
      (3) Sanctions for an inadequate statement of claim
      (4) A note on the Iran–US Claims Tribunal
      (5) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules
   C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals
Table of Contents

D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal 419
   (1) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 18(1) 419
   (2) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 18(2) 419

3. The Statement of Defence—Article 21 421
   A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule 422
   B. Commentary 422
      (1) Submission of the statement of defence 422
      (2) Contents of the statement of defence 423
      (3) Submission of counterclaims and claims for the purpose of set-off 424
          (a) General issues 424
          (b) The counterclaim or the claim for a set-off must be within the jurisdiction of the arbitral tribunal 426
      (4) Supplementary provisions on counterclaims and claims for the purpose of set-off 428
      (5) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules 429

C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals 432

D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal 434
   (1) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 19(1) 434
   (2) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 19(2) 436
   (3) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 19(3) 436
   (4) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 19(4) 447

Chapter 14: Objections to the Jurisdiction of the Arbitral Tribunal

1. Introduction 449
2. Objections to Jurisdiction—Article 23 450
   A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule 450
   B. Commentary 450
      (1) The power of the tribunal to determine its own jurisdiction—Article 23(1) 450
      (2) Objections to the existence or validity of the contract of which the arbitration agreement is a part and the doctrine of separability—Article 23(1) 453
      (3) When objections should be raised—Article 23(2) 455
      (4) When objections should be ruled upon—Article 23(3) 457
      (5) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules 458

C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals 459

D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal 463
   (1) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 21(1) 463
   (2) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 21(2) 463
   (3) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 21(3) 464
   (4) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 21(4) 464

Chapter 15: Amendments to the Claim or Defence

1. Introduction 467
2. Amendments to the Claim or Defence—Article 22 467
   A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule 467
   B. Commentary 468
      (1) General 468
      (2) The amendment must not fall outside the jurisdiction of the arbitral tribunal 469
(3) Grounds for rejecting an amendment: delay, prejudice or other circumstances
(4) Procedural questions
(5) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules
C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals
D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal

Chapter 16: Further Written Statements and Time Limits on Submission

1. Introduction
2. Further Written Statements—Article 24
   A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule
   B. Commentary
      (1) General comments
      (2) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules
   C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals
   D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal
3. Time Limits on Submission—Article 25
   A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule
   B. Commentary
      (1) General comments
      (2) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules
   C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals
   D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal

Chapter 17: Interim Measures

1. Introduction
2. Interim Measures—Article 26
   A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule
   B. Commentary
      (1) The precondition of a request by a party—Article 26(1)
      (2) Types of interim measures that may be granted—Article 26(2)
         (a) Maintain or restore the status quo
         (b) Prevent prejudice to the arbitral process itself
         (c) Preserve assets for possible satisfaction of award
         (d) Preserve evidence
      (3) Conditions for the ordering of interim measures—Article 26(3)
         (a) A likely harm not adequately reparable by an award of damages
         (b) An appropriate balance of likely harms
         (c) A reasonable possibility of success on the merits
         (d) Further conditions imposed by the agreement of the parties
      (4) Conditions relaxed for the preservation of evidence—Article 26(4)
      (5) The form (award or order) in which interim measures are granted
      (6) Tribunal’s power to modify, suspend or terminate interim measures—Article 26(5)
      (7) Security for the costs of interim measures—Article 26(6)
      (8) Disclosure by any party of any material change—Article 26(7)
      (9) Liability for costs and damages—Article 26(8)
      (10) Relations between the arbitral tribunal and municipal courts in issuing interim measures—Article 26(9)
      (11) Issuance of preliminary orders
      (12) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules
Table of Contents

C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals 533
D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal 543
   (1) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 26(1) 543
   (2) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 26(2) 550
   (3) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 26(3) 551

PART IV: THE PRESENTATION OF THE CASE:
EVIDENCE AND HEARINGS

Introduction 553

Chapter 18: Evidence

1. Introduction 555
2. Evidence—Article 27 557
   A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule 557
   B. Commentary 557
      (1) Burden of proof—Article 27(1) 557
      (2) Definition of "witnesses"—Article 27(2) 561
         (a) General comments 561
         (b) Practice of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal 563
      (3) Written witness statements—Article 27(2) 564
      (4) Production of documents—Article 27(3) 565
         (a) General comments 565
         (b) Production of documents at the request of a party 567
         (c) "Enforcement" of production orders 570
      (5) Admissibility, relevance, materiality, and weight of the evidence—Article 27(4) 571
      (6) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules 574
   C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals 576
      (1) Article 27(1) (1976 Rules)—Burden of proof 576
      (2) Article 27(2) (1976 Rules)—Definition of "witnesses" 577
      (3) Article 27(2) (1976 Rules)—Written witness statements 577
      (4) Article 27(3) (1976 Rules)—Production of documents 577
      (5) Article 27(4) (1976 Rules)—Admissibility, relevance, materiality, and weight of the evidence 579
   D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal 580
      (1) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 24(1)—Burden of proof 580
      (2) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 24(2)—Summary of evidence 587
      (3) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 24(3)—Document production 588
      (4) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 25(5)—Written witness statements 593
      (5) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 25(6)—Admissibility, relevance, materiality, and weight of the evidence 594

Chapter 19: The Hearings

1. Introduction 601
2. The Hearings—Article 28 602
   A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule 602
   B. Commentary 602
      (1) Notice of hearing—Article 28(1) 602
      (2) The conduct of the hearing—Article 28(2)–(4) 604
Table of Contents

(a) The conditions for and manner of witness examination 604
  (1) Notice of hearing and witness testimony 605
  (2) Cross-examination 605
  (3) Declarations 606
(b) Hearings normally held in camera 607
(c) Retirement of witnesses 608
(d) Examination without physical presence of witness 609
(e) Translation of oral statements, record of the hearing 610
(3) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules 611
C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals 613
  (1) The conditions for and manner of witness examination 613
  (2) Hearings held in camera 617
D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran-US Claims Tribunal 617
  (1) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 25(1) 617
  (2) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 25(2) 619
  (3) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 25(3) 623
  (4) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 25(4) 624
3. Closure of the Hearing—Article 31 624
A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule 624
B. Commentary 625
  (1) Closure of the hearing—Article 31(1) 625
  (2) Reopening of the hearing—Article 31(2) 626
  (3) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules 628
C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals 629
D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran-US Claims Tribunal 629
  (1) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 29(1) 629
  (2) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 29(2) 630

Chapter 20: Tribunal-Appointed Experts

1. Introduction 635
2. Tribunal-Appointed Experts—Article 29 636
A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule 636
B. Commentary 637
  (1) General comments 637
  (2) Appointment by the tribunal and terms of reference—Article 29(1)–(2) 638
    (a) Appointment 638
    (b) Terms of reference 640
  (3) Objections to the qualifications or the impartiality and independence of the tribunal-appointed expert—Article 29(2) 642
  (4) The provision of relevant information to the tribunal-appointed expert—Article 29(3) 644
  (5) The tribunal-appointed expert’s report—Article 29(4) 645
  (6) Expert hearings—Article 29(5) 646
  (7) Note on party-appointed “expert witnesses” 647
  (8) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules 648
C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals 648
D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal 650
  (1) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 27(1)—appointment and terms of reference 650
    (a) The Shabir Shaine Ebrahimi case 650
    (b) The Arco Exploration case 653
    (c) The Starrett Housing case 655
Table of Contents

(d) "The Richard D Harza case" 656
(e) "The Behring International case" 660
(2) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 27(2)—provision of relevant information 662
(3) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 27(3)—expert report 663
(a) "The Shahin Shaine Ebrahimi case" 663
(b) "The Ario Exploration case" 664
(c) "The Behring International case" 665
(d) "The Richard D Harza case" 665
(e) "The Starrett Housing case" 665
(4) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 27(4)—expert hearing 667

PART V: DEFAULT AND WAIVER

Introduction 669

Chapter 21: Default

1. Introduction 671
2. Default—Article 30 671
   A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule 671
   B. Commentary 672
      (1) General comments 672
      (2) Failure to file early written submissions—Article 30(1) 675
      (3) Failure to appear at a hearing—Article 30(2) 677
      (4) Failure to produce documentary evidence—Article 30(3) 677
      (5) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules 678
   C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals 679
   D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran-US Claims Tribunal 683
      (1) General and Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 28 683
      (2) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 28(2) 685
      (3) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 28(3) 687

Chapter 22: Waiver

1. Introduction 691
2. Waiver—Article 32 691
   A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule 691
   B. Commentary 692
      (1) General comments 692
      (2) The level of knowledge required 693
      (3) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules 695
   C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals 695
   D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran-US Claims Tribunal 696

PART VI: THE AWARD

Introduction 697

Chapter 23: Deliberations and Decisions

1. Introduction 699
2. Decisions—Article 33 700
Table of Contents

A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule 700
B. Commentary 700
   (1) Awards and other Decisions by Majority Vote—Article 33(1) 700
      (a) General comments 700
      (b) Majority decision-making by the Iran–US Claims Tribunal 704
         (1) The dynamics of deliberations 704
         (2) The practice of deliberating and drafting awards 705
   (2) Decision-Making on Procedural Questions—Article 33(2) 706
      (a) The meaning of “questions of procedure” 709
      (b) Revision 710
   (3) Confidentiality of deliberations—Article 31, Note 2 of the 1983 Tribunal Rules 711
      (a) The scope of the rule of confidentiality 712
      (b) Enforcing the rule of confidentiality 715
         (1) Censure of written statements 715
         (2) Statements of disapproval by fellow arbitrators 716
         (3) Challenge proceedings 716
   (4) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules 716

C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment and other Tribunals 717

D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal 719
   (1) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 31(1) 719
   (2) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 31(2) 722
   (3) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 31, Note 2—Confidentiality of deliberations 723

Chapter 24: Form and Effect

1. Introduction 732
2. Form and Effect—Article 34 732
   A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule 732
   B. Commentary 733
      (1) Discretion to make separate awards—Article 34(1) 733
      (2) The award is “final and binding” and the parties must “carry out the award without delay”—Article 34(2) 738
         (a) General commentary on “final and binding” 738
            (1) “Final” 738
            (2) “Binding” 741
         (b) Waiver of recourse against the award—optional provision 742
      (3) Written award and designation of the date and place of the award’s making—Article 34(2) and (4) 745
      (4) Obligation to sign and failure to sign the award—Article 34(4) 746
         (a) General comments on the travaux préparatoires 746
         (b) Practical matters regarding the statement of reasons for the absence of an arbitrator’s signature 749
      (5) Statement of reasons for the award and dissenting opinions—Article 34(3) 750
         (a) Statement of reasons for the award 750
         (b) Dissenting and separate opinions 752
      (6) Publication of the award—Article 34(5) 755
      (7) Copies of the award to the parties—Article 34(6) 757
      (8) Filing and registration of the award 759
      (9) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules 759
Table of Contents

C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment and other Tribunals 761
   (1) Article 32(1) (1976 Rules)—Types of award 761
   (2) Article 32(2) (1976 Rules)—Final and binding 762
   (3) Article 32(2) and (4) (1976 Rules)—Date, place, and signature 763
   (4) Article 32(4) (1976 Rules)—Failure to sign the award 764
   (5) Article 32(7) (1976 Rules)—Filing and registration of an award 765

D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal 766
   (1) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 34(1) 766
   (2) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 34(2) 767
   (3) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 32(3) and (4)—Date, place, and signature 767
   (4) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 32(3)—Reasons for the award 768
   (5) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 32(4)—Failure to sign the award 769
      (a) Statements of reasons for failure to sign the award 769
      (b) Improper statements of reasons and post-award exchanges 773
   (6) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 32(5)—Publication of the award 776

Chapter 25: Settlement and other Grounds for Termination

1. Introduction 781
2. Settlement and other Termination—Article 36 781
   A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule 781
   B. Commentary 782
      (1) Settlement—Article 36(1) 782
         (a) Types of settlement agreement and the practice of awards on agreed terms 782
         (b) Discretion to record a settlement agreement 784
         (c) Pre-settlement negotiations 786
      (2) Other grounds for termination—Article 36(2) 788
         (a) Continuation of the arbitration is “unnecessary” or “impossible” 788
         (b) The existence of “remaining matters” 790
      (3) Technical requirements for awards on agreed terms—Article 36(3) 790
      (4) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules 790
   C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals 792
   D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal 793
      (1) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 34(1) 793
      (2) Tribunal Rules (1983), Article 34(2) 798

Chapter 26: Post-Award Proceedings

1. Introduction 801
2. Interpretation of the Award—Article 37 802
   A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule 802
   B. Commentary 802
      (1) General 802
      (2) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules 806
   C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals 806
   D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal 808
3. Correction of the Award—Article 38 811
   A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule 811
   B. Commentary 811
      (1) General 811
      (2) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules 814
   C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals 815
D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal
   (1) Request for correction granted
   (2) Request for correction denied
4. Additional Award—Article 39
   A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule
   B. Commentary
      (1) General
      (2) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules
   C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals
   D. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal
      (1) Request for additional award granted
      (2) Request for additional award denied
5. The Finality of Awards and the Inherent Power to Reconsider
   A. Commentary
   B. Extracts from the Practice of Investment Tribunals
   C. Extracts from the Practice of the Iran-US Claims Tribunal
      (1) Limited power of review
      (2) Revision to address fraud or corruption

Chapter 27: The Costs of Arbitration

1. Introduction
2. The Costs of Arbitration—Article 40
   A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule
   B. Commentary
      (1) The duty to fix the costs of arbitration
      (2) Costs defined
         (a) Subparagraph (a)
         (b) Subparagraphs (b) and (c)
         (c) Subparagraph (d)
         (d) Subparagraph (e)
         (e) Subparagraph (f)
      (3) The costs of interpretation, correction and completion of an award—Article 40(3)
      (4) Note on the costs of the Iran–US Claims Tribunal
      (5) Comparison to the 1976 UNCITRAL Rules
   C. Extracts from the Practice of Investment and other Tribunals
3. Arbitrators’ Fees—Article 41
   A. Text of the 2010 UNCITRAL Rule
   B. Commentary
      (1) Regulation of the arbitrators’ fees and expenses under the Rules—general background, Article 41(1) and (2)
      (2) Determining the fees and expenses of the arbitral tribunal and potential review by a neutral arbiter—Article 41(3)–(6)
         (a) The manner in which fees and expenses will be determined—Article 41(3) and (5)
            (1) Transparency
            (2) Neutral Mechanism
            (3) Efficiency
         (b) The computation of the arbitral tribunal’s fees and expenses—Article 41(4)–(6)
            (1) Transparency
            (2) Neutral Mechanism
            (3) Efficiency