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## 1. Introduction: Setting the Framework of the Discussion

### A. The Issue

1. Terminology
2. Contractual nature of arbitration and modern international transactions
3. Multifaceted fact-patterns relating to several overlapping theories
4. Applicable legal standards and conflict of laws issues

### B. Scope and Limitations

1.45

### C. Existing Literature and Contribution of the Book

1. Current discussion on arbitration agreements and third parties: the contractual approach
2. Contribution of the book on arbitration agreements and third parties: the jurisdictional approach
3. Current discussion and contribution of the book on arbitral awards and third parties

### D. Structure of the Work

1.90

### E. Final Remarks

1.99

## 1 LEGAL BASES FOR THIRD-PARTY CLAIMS I: TRADITIONAL THEORIES OF CONTRACT AND CORPORATE LAW, TERMS IN ARBITRATION CLAUSES, RULES AND LAWS

## 2. Third-Party Claims Pursuant to Traditional Theories of Contract and Corporate Law

### A. Assignment and Other Forms of Transfer, Including the Cases of Bills of Lading, Subrogation, Merger, and Transfer of Debt

1. Assignment

2. Assignment of an arbitration clause and consent of the assignee
3. The principle of separability and transfer of arbitration clauses
4. The arbitration clause as a burden and a benefit
5. Assignment of an arbitration clause and consent of the debtor
6. Applicable law and assignment of an arbitration clause
7. The conflict of laws approach
8. National substantive rules on the assignment of an arbitration clause
The validity of an assignment of an arbitration clause must be distinguished from that of the assignment of the main contract 2.53
The legal position of the assignor after the completion of the assignment 2.60
(2) Other forms of transfer: bills of lading, subrogation, merger, transfer of debt 2.64
   Bills of lading 2.65
   Subrogation 2.67
   Merger 2.69
   Transfer of debt 2.70
(3) Transfer of an arbitration clause pending arbitration 2.71

B. Representation: Agency and Apparent Authority 2.76
   (1) Conflict of laws rules on validity and effects of an arbitration clause concluded by an agent or representative 2.80
   (2) Substantive rules on validity and effects of an arbitration clause concluded by an agent or representative 2.82
      Actual authority and power 2.89
      The principal rather than the agent will be bound by the arbitration clause it concluded on behalf of its principal 2.89
      Is specific authorization required for the valid conclusion of the arbitration clause by the agent? 2.97
      Apparent or ostensible authority 2.105
      Representation and apparent authority in transactions involving corporations and state agencies 2.112
      Representation and apparent authority in transactions involving corporations 2.119
      Representation and apparent authority in transactions involving state and state agencies 2.124

C. Third-Party Beneficiary 2.143
   (1) Whether the third-party beneficiary is to enforce the arbitration clause, contained in the main contract 2.146
   (2) Whether the party promising the substantive benefit may bring an arbitration claim against the third-party beneficiary 2.164
   (3) Applicable law and third-party beneficiary 2.176

D. Incorporation by Reference 2.181
   (1) Preliminary notes on third-party arbitration claims and incorporation by reference 2.181
   (2) Incorporation by reference and the requirement of specific intent to arbitrate 2.187
      Jurisdictions taking a restricting approach, requiring specific reference to the arbitration clause 2.190
      Non-Model Law jurisdictions 2.190
      Model Law jurisdictions 2.197
      Jurisdictions taking a liberal approach, upholding arbitration clauses incorporated by generic reference 2.203
      Switzerland 2.203
      USA 2.205
      Effectiveness of the language employed for incorporation by reference 2.208
      The breadth of the arbitration clause to be incorporated 2.214
      The Century Indemnity v Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's case 2.219
   (3) Concluding remarks on the incorporation-by-reference theory 2.229
### E. Third-Party Claims Pursuant to Principles of Corporate Law

1. Corporations
   - Insolvent corporation and third-party administrator
   - Intra-corporation disputes
2. Partnerships
3. Legal entities and transnational legal standards

### F. The 'Grey Area': Third-Party Situations that Fall Short of Privity and will not Normally Allow for Third-Party Claims

1. Construction contracts
2. Other types of string or chain contracts
3. Guarantees and other security agreements

### 3. Further Legal Bases for Third-Party Claims: Terms in Arbitration Clauses and Arbitration Rules and Laws

#### A. Arbitration Agreements Allowing for Third-Party Claims

1. Third-party claims based on express or implied terms in an arbitration clause
   - Several parties in a single contract containing an arbitration clause
   - Several parties in several interrelated contracts
   - Several identical or compatible arbitration clauses—several incompatible arbitration clauses
   - Several arbitration clauses that expressly allow for joinder and third-party claims: the principle of effective interpretation
2. Name-borrowing
3. Claiming through or under an arbitration clause

#### B. Third-Party Claims Based on Institutional Rules and Arbitration Laws

1. Institutional rules
   - International Chamber of Commerce (ICC) Arbitration Rules
   - London Court of International Arbitration (LCIA) Arbitration Rules
   - Vienna Rules
   - UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules
   - Swiss Rules
   - Belgian Centre for Mediation and Arbitration ('CEPINA')
   - American Arbitration Association/International Centre for Dispute Resolution
   - Other institutional rules
2. National Arbitration Laws
3. Concluding Remarks on Institutional Rules and Arbitration Laws
II LEGAL BASES FOR THIRD-PARTY CLAIMS II: IMPLIED CONSENT AND NON-SIGNATORY THEORIES

4. The Doctrine of Arbitral Estoppel
   A. Overview of the Doctrine
   B. The Two Alternative Versions of the Doctrine of Arbitral Estoppel
      (1) The equitable version of arbitral estoppel
      (2) The intertwined version of arbitral estoppel
         Conditions of application
            First, the dispute between the signatory and the non-signatory must be intertwined with the contract containing an arbitration clause
            Second, the non-signatory must have contractual or close corporate links with one of the signatories
   C. Does Arbitral Estoppel Depart from the Consensual Origins of Arbitration?
   D. Concluding Observations on the Arbitral Estoppel Doctrine
      (1) Can tribunals apply the theory of arbitral estoppel?
      (2) The breadth of the arbitration clause is relevant for the application of the arbitral estoppel
      (3) The intertwined version is closer to commercial reality than the equitable version

5. The Doctrine of Group of Companies
   A. Overview of the Doctrine
   B. Legal Basis of the Doctrine
   C. Conditions for the Application of the Doctrine
      (1) First, the existence of a tight group structure
      (2) Second, the active role of the non-signatory company in the negotiations, performance, or termination of the contract containing an arbitration clause
      (3) Third, common intention of the parties to arbitrate
   D. Applicable Law
   E. The Theories of Alter Ego, Lifting the Corporate Veil, and Group of Companies: Similarities and Distinctions

6. Critique on the 'Non-Signatory Theories' and the Contractual Approach to Third Parties
   A. Interrelatedness of the Theories Concerning Non-signatory Parties
   B. Positive Contribution of the Non-signatory Theories
   C. Conceptual Limitations of the Non-signatory Theories
      (1) It is questionable whether fact patterns are safe evidence of consent to arbitrate
         First, fact patterns employed under non-signatory theories constitute typical commercial practice rather than evidence of consent to arbitrate
         Second, conduct of the non-signatory typically pertains to the main contract rather than the arbitration clause
      (2) Fact patterns may compromise the requirement of consent to arbitrate
III A JURISDICTIONAL APPROACH TO THE DISCUSSION ON ARBITRATION AND THIRD PARTIES

7. Why and under what Conditions Tribunals Can Assume Jurisdiction over a Third-Party Claim
   A. Disputes before a Tribunal may Affect the Jurisdictional Position of Third Parties 7.07
   B. Tribunals may Assume Jurisdiction over Third-Party Claims that are Inseparable from the Main Dispute in Arbitration Proceedings 7.19
      (1) First, third-party claims may become inseparable from the main dispute in arbitration proceedings due to contractual arrangements involving parties and third parties 7.25
      (2) Second, third-party claims may become inseparable from the main dispute in arbitration proceedings due to conduct of a third party 7.32
   C. Rectifying the Artificial Discrepancy between Commercial Reality and the Scope of Arbitration Proceedings 7.37
   D. Summing up the Jurisdictional Approach 7.41
   F. Review of Third-Party Case Law Focusing on the Dispute and its Repercussions for Third Parties 7.70

8. When a Tribunal Should Assume Jurisdiction over a Third-Party Claim and Other Select Issues Related to the Jurisdictional Approach
   A. Exercising its Discretion: When Should a Tribunal Assume Jurisdiction over a Third-Party Claim 8.04
   B. Further Issues in Relation to the Jurisdictional Approach 8.10
      (1) Jurisdictional approach and consensual premisses of arbitration 8.10
      (2) Jurisdictional approach and enforceability of awards 8.24
      (3) Policy considerations: maximizing the efficiency of arbitration 8.30
   C. Advantages of the Jurisdictional Approach and Concluding Remarks 8.36

IV ARBITRAL AWARDS AND THIRD PARTIES

9. The Legal Effects of Arbitral Awards
   A. The Arbitral Effect 9.03
   B. The Current Legal Framework of Arbitral Effect 9.06
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   D. The ‘Same Parties’ Requirement: Rule and Exceptions 9.12
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D. Relevant Factors and Circumstances for the Application of the Third-Party Effect 10.44

(1) First, whether there is a significant legal and factual overlap between the two consecutive sets of proceedings between different parties 10.45
(2) Second, whether the third party has been invited to join the first arbitral proceedings 10.51
(3) Third, whether there is fraud or collusion between the parties in the first award 10.55

E. Parties Able to Can Rely on a Previous Arbitral Award 10.57

(1) A third party relying upon a previous award in subsequent proceedings with one of the parties in the first arbitration 10.58
(2) A party relying on a previous award in subsequent proceedings with a third party 10.60

11. Select Issues on the Effect of Arbitral Awards

A. Should the Effect of an Arbitral Award Depend on the Previous Review by the Second Forum in Terms of Procedure? 11.02
B. Contractual Obligation of a Third Party to be Bound by an Award 11.17
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CONCLUSION TO PART IV
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