

# Tort Law and Economics

*Edited by*

**Michael Faure**

*Professor of Comparative and International Environmental Law, University of Maastricht and Professor of Comparative Private Law and Economics, Erasmus University Rotterdam, The Netherlands*

ENCYCLOPEDIA OF LAW AND ECONOMICS, SECOND  
EDITION

**Edward Elgar**

Cheltenham, UK • Northampton, MA, USA

---

# Contents

---

<i>List of contributors</i>	xvii
<i>List of abbreviations</i>	xviii
<i>General introduction</i>	xxi

## PART I EFFICIENT LIABILITY RULES

<b>1</b>	<b>Strict liability versus negligence</b>	<b>3</b>
	<i>Hans-Bernd Schäfer and Frank Müller-Langer</i>	
1.1	Introduction	3
1.2	Unilateral accidents	5
	1.2.1 Rule of no liability	6
	1.2.2 Negligence	6
	1.2.3 Relaxing assumptions	7
	1.2.4 Strict liability	10
	1.2.5 Relaxing assumptions for strict liability	10
	1.2.6 Liability and uncertain legal standards of due care	13
1.3	Bilateral accidents	15
	1.3.1 The 'cheapest cost avoider'	16
	1.3.2 Rule of no liability	17
	1.3.3 Negligence	17
	Simple negligence	17
	Negligence with the defence of contributory negligence	18
	Comparative negligence rule	18
	1.3.4 Strict liability	19
	Simple strict liability	19
	Strict division of losses	19
	Strict liability with the defence of contributory negligence	20
	Strict liability with the defence of relative negligence	20
	1.3.5 Relaxing assumptions	20
1.4	Litigation costs	24
1.5	The decentralisation effect of strict liability and negligence	24
1.6	The information-generating consequence of negligence	27

1.6.1	Negligence generates public information on safety technology	27
1.6.2	Information generated by the negligence rule alleviates principal-agent problems	28
1.7	Strict liability versus negligence if the injurer's wealth is lower than the damage	29
1.8	Liability and contracts	30
1.9	Negligence under the disguise of strict liability, liability for design defects	31
1.10	Multiple tortfeasors	32
1.11	Risk aversion, liability law and insurance	33
1.12	Relaxing behavioural assumptions of rational choice	35
1.13	Comparing strict liability and negligence	36
	Bibliography	39
<b>2</b>	<b>Contributory and comparative negligence in the law and economics literature</b>	<b>46</b>
	<i>Mireia Artigot i Golobardes and Fernando Gómez Pomar</i>	
2.1	Introduction	46
2.2	The world of negligence	47
2.3	Contributory and comparative negligence: concepts and evolution	48
2.3.1	Contributory negligence: origin, development and later trend towards comparative negligence	48
2.3.2	Comparative negligence: pure and modified forms	52
2.4	Incentives to take care	53
2.4.1	Initial literature: contributory negligence and the least-cost avoider	53
2.4.2	Haddock and Curran and Shavell: the equivalence between contributory and comparative negligence	58
2.4.3	Relaxing some of the initial assumptions and moving away from first-best analysis	59
2.4.3.1	Evidentiary uncertainty and court error	59
2.4.3.2	Stochastic due care and the losses of injurers and victims	66
2.4.3.3	Heterogeneous agents	67
2.4.3.4	Asymmetric information and liability rules as mechanisms to reveal information	70
2.4.3.5	Convergence to equilibrium	72

2.5	Other grounds for criticism of comparative negligence	73
	Ability to apportion negligence	73
	Risk coverage	73
	The role of jurors	74
	The costly mechanism of adjusting the defendant's liability share both with respect to the plaintiff and with respect to the other defendants	74
2.6	Empirical studies on the performance of contributory and comparative negligence rules, and on pure comparative versus modified comparative negligence	74
2.7	Conclusions	77
	Bibliography	77

## PART II CAUSATION AND MULTIPLE TORTFEASORS

<b>3</b>	<b>Causation and foreseeability</b>	<b>83</b>
	<i>Omri Ben-Shahar</i>	
3.1	Introduction	83
3.2	Causation in early economic analysis of law	84
3.3	Prospective causation	85
3.4	Causation and socially optimal care	86
3.5	Causation under strict liability	87
	3.5.1 The effect of the scope of liability on the level of care	87
	3.5.2 The effect of the scope of liability on the level of activity	88
3.6	Causation under the negligence rule	89
	3.6.1 The determination of the optimal standard of care	89
	3.6.2 The effect of the scope of liability on the actual level of care	89
	3.6.3 The scope of liability in an imperfectly operating negligence system	89
3.7	Uncertainty over causation	91
3.8	The case for threshold probability rules	92
3.9	The case for the proportional liability rule	93
3.10	Risk-based liability and safety regulation	96
3.11	Causal apportionment among joint tortfeasors	97
3.12	Foreseeability in tort law	100
3.13	Foreseeability in contract law	102
3.14	Causation and litigation costs	104
	Bibliography	105

<b>4</b>	<b>Joint and several liability</b>	109
	<i>Lewis A. Kornhauser and Richard L. Revesz</i>	
4.1	Introduction	109
4.2	Legal regimes	109
4.3	Settlements	110
4.3.1	Several only liability	112
4.3.2	Joint and several liability with two defendants	113
4.3.2.1	Independent probabilities	113
4.3.2.2	Perfectly correlated probabilities	115
4.3.2.3	The effects of limited solvency	115
4.3.3	Joint and several liability with $n > 2$ defendants	117
4.4	Deterrence: opening remarks	119
4.4.1	Full solvency	120
4.4.1.1	Negligence	120
4.4.1.2	Strict liability	122
4.4.2	Limited, exogenously determined solvency	122
4.4.3	Endogenous solvency	124
4.5	Fairness: several remarks	125
4.6	Size of the plaintiff's recovery	126
4.7	Division of the plaintiff's recovery	126
4.8	The effects of settlements	127
4.9	Division of the burden of insolvency	128
4.10	Insurance under joint and several liability	129
4.11	Conclusions	130
	References	130
<b>5</b>	<b>Vicarious and corporate civil liability</b>	134
	<i>Reinier H. Kraakman</i>	
5.1	Introduction	134
5.2	The standard case for vicarious liability	135
5.3	Factors militating against strict vicarious liability	137
5.4	The interaction between principal and agent liability	140
5.5	Negligence and composite vicarious liability regimes	142
5.6	Reaching beyond the principal: alternative liability targets	144
5.7	Corporate civil liability versus criminal liability	145
	Bibliography	147

PART III DAMAGES

<b>6 Tort Damages</b>	153
<i>Louis T. Visscher</i>	
6.1 Introduction	153
6.2 Full compensation of harm?	156
6.3 Pecuniary and nonpecuniary losses	158
6.4 Assessment of losses	159
6.5 Damages for fatal accidents	160
6.5.1 Introduction	160
6.5.2 Optimal amount that injurers should pay	160
6.5.3 Optimal amount that the surviving relatives should receive	162
6.6 Compensation for nonpecuniary losses?	163
6.7 Uncompensated losses	165
6.8 Punitive damages	166
6.9 Pure economic loss	168
6.10 Harm to the victim or gain to the injurer?	170
6.11 Future losses	171
6.12 Role of defendants' wealth	172
6.13 Mitigation of losses	173
6.14 Judicial moderation, limitation of damages and insolvency	174
6.15 Loss of a chance	176
6.16 Multiple tortfeasors	178
6.17 Secondary accident cost reduction	180
6.18 Empirical research	181
6.19 Conclusion	182
Bibliography	184
<b>7 Pure economic loss</b>	201
<i>Jef De Mot</i>	
7.1 Introduction	201
7.2 A taxonomy of pure economic loss cases	202
7.3 The insufficiency of explanations not based on efficiency	203
7.4 Private versus social loss	204
7.5 Private loss, social loss and market structure	206
7.6 Strict liability versus negligence	207
7.6.1 Unilateral precaution accidents	207
7.6.2 Bilateral and trilateral precaution accidents	208
7.7 Intentional versus negligent torts	209

x *Tort law and economics*

7.8	Economic analysis of the various types of cases	209
7.8.1	Ricochet loss cases	209
7.8.2	Transferred loss cases	209
7.8.3	Closure of public service and infrastructures cases	210
7.8.4	Flawed professional advice cases	210
7.9	Conclusion	211
	Bibliography	211
<b>8</b>	<b>Non pecuniary losses</b>	<b>215</b>
	<i>Siewert D. Lindenbergh and Peter P.M. van Kippersluis</i>	
8.1	Introduction	215
8.2	Tort law and economics	216
8.3	Why should non pecuniary losses be compensated?	217
8.3.1	Why compensation?	217
8.3.2	Prevention	218
8.3.3	Efficient distribution and insurance	220
8.4	Valuation of non pecuniary loss	223
8.5	Risks of high awards	224
8.6	Conclusions	225
	Bibliography	226
<b>9</b>	<b>Punitive damages</b>	<b>228</b>
	<i>A. Mitchell Polinsky and Steven Shavell</i>	
9.1	Introduction	228
9.2	Optimal damages when injurers are found liable for sure: the basic theory of deterrence	229
9.3	Optimal damages when injurers might escape liability	231
9.4	Optimal damages when harm is underestimated	232
9.5	Optimal damages when injurers' gains are socially illicit	233
9.6	Optimal damages when parties can bargain and transact in the marketplace	234
9.7	Optimal damages and punishment	235
9.8	Optimal damages in the light of both objectives	237
9.9	Extensions of the analysis	238
	Bibliography	242
<b>PART IV SPECIFIC CASES</b>		
<b>10</b>	<b>Environmental liability</b>	<b>247</b>
	<i>Michael Faure</i>	
10.1	Introduction	247

10.2	Goals of environmental liability	249
10.3	Coase	249
10.4	Negligence versus strict liability	252
10.5	The influence of regulation on liability	253
10.5.1	Criteria for regulation	253
10.5.2	Necessity of the combination	253
10.5.3	Violation of regulation and liability	254
10.5.4	Compliance with regulation and liability	254
10.5.5	Liability and incentive-based instruments	255
10.6	Damage and damages	255
10.7	Moving beyond the original polluter	257
10.7.1	Causal uncertainty	257
10.7.2	Joint and several liability	258
10.7.3	Channelling of liability	260
10.7.4	Liability of lenders and producers	260
10.8	Latency and retroactive liability	261
10.8.1	Retroactive liability?	261
10.8.2	Changes in the level of care	262
10.9	Insurance of environmental liability	263
10.9.1	Moral hazard	264
10.9.2	Adverse selection	265
10.9.3	Latency and retroactive liability	265
10.9.4	Causal uncertainty	266
10.9.5	Compulsory insurance	266
10.9.6	Disaster insurance	267
10.10	Other compensation mechanisms	267
10.11	Environmental federalism and environmental liability	269
10.12	The nuclear risk	270
10.13	Marine oil pollution	272
10.14	Concluding remarks	274
	Bibliography	277
<b>11</b>	<b>Products liability</b>	<b>287</b>
	<i>Mark A. Geistfeld</i>	
11.1	Introduction	287
11.2	The basic model for analyzing the efficiency properties of contracting and tort liability	289
11.3	The significance of imperfectly competitive markets	290
11.4	The role of consumer information about product risk	291
11.5	Do consumers undervalue product safety?	292
11.6	Informational mechanisms in product markets	293



11.7	Product warranties	295
11.8	Insurance costs and warranty liability	297
11.9	The regulatory problem	298
11.10	The choice between negligence and strict liability	300
11.11	Empirical studies of the effect of seller liability on product safety	301
11.12	The impact of tort liability on innovation and productivity	304
11.13	Products liability and the market for liability insurance	306
11.14	Introduction to the main doctrines of products liability	308
11.15	The requirement of defect	309
11.16	Construction or manufacturing defects	310
11.17	Design defects	311
11.18	Warning defects	313
11.19	Extended seller liability	315
11.20	Defenses based on consumer conduct	316
11.21	The enforceability of contractual waivers of seller liability	316
11.22	Bystander injuries	317
11.23	Compensatory damages	318
11.24	Punitive damages	319
11.25	The evolution of products liability, and the evolution of economics	320
	Bibliography	321
<b>12</b>	<b>Medical malpractice</b>	<b>341</b>
	<i>Steve Boccara</i>	
12.1	Introduction	341
12.2	The development of medical malpractice liability	342
12.3	The economic analysis of medical malpractice liability	343
	12.3.1 The nature of the doctor–patient relationship	343
	12.3.2 Incentive and compensation	344
	12.3.3 Medical malpractice and the law and economics approach	346
	12.3.4 Tort law, regulation and insurance	347
12.4	The main topics of medical malpractice	349
	12.4.1 Negligence versus strict liability	349
	12.4.2 Standard of care	351
	12.4.3 Is the tort system relevant?	351
	12.4.4 Defensive medicine	354
12.5	The medical malpractice crisis	356
	12.5.1 The context	356

12.5.2	Malpractice insurance and the underwriting cycle	359
12.6	Some proposals to end the medical malpractice crisis	360
12.6.1	Tort reforms	360
12.6.2	Shifting toward a no-fault system	362
12.7	Conclusions	364
	Bibliography	365

## PART V ALTERNATIVE COMPENSATION SYSTEMS

<b>13</b>	<b>Tort law and liability insurance</b>	<b>377</b>
	<i>Gerhard Wagner</i>	
13.1	Introduction	377
13.2	The economic rationale of insurance	377
13.2.1	The demand for insurance	377
13.2.1.1	Risk aversion	377
13.2.1.2	Consequences for the deterrence function of tort law	379
13.2.1.3	Insuring liability for fault	380
13.2.1.4	Non-pecuniary losses	381
13.2.2	The supply of insurance	382
13.2.2.1	Pooling: the law of large numbers	382
13.2.2.2	Subdivision of risk	383
13.3	Compensation of victims as a purpose of liability insurance?	384
13.4	Economic problems of liability insurance	386
13.4.1	Asymmetric information and imperfect insurance	386
13.4.2	Adverse selection	388
13.4.3	Moral hazard	389
13.4.3.1	Effect: destruction of incentives generated by tort law	389
13.4.3.2	Source: informational asymmetry	390
13.4.3.3	Remedy: partial insurance	391
13.4.3.4	Activity levels	392
13.5	Economic virtues of liability insurance	393
13.5.1	Unlimited liability on paper, limited liability in reality	393
13.5.2	The pervasiveness of limited liability	394
13.5.3	Limited liability and the incentive to take care	394
13.5.4	Limited liability and the incentive to insure	395
13.5.5	Limited liability and activity levels	397
13.5.6	Compulsory insurance	397

13.5.7	Alternative means	398
13.6	The limits of insurance: insurability	399
13.6.1	Uninsurability as an argument in political discourse	399
13.6.2	Elements of insurability	399
13.6.3	Insurability as a flexible concept	400
13.7	The impact of liability insurance on tort law	401
	Bibliography	402
<b>14</b>	<b>No-fault compensation systems</b>	<b>406</b>
	<i>Karine Fiore</i>	
14.1	Introduction	406
14.2	No-fault systems: the priority given to the protection of victims	407
14.2.1	Definition of no-fault systems	407
14.2.2	The theoretical rationale of no-fault systems	409
14.2.2.1	Higher compensation	409
14.2.2.2	Lower transaction costs	409
14.2.2.3	Lower insurance premiums	410
14.2.3	Disadvantages of no-fault systems	411
14.2.4	Examples and empirical evaluations	412
14.2.4.1	Automobile insurance	412
14.2.4.2	A universal no-fault system: the case of New Zealand	413
14.3	No-fault versus strict liability systems	416
14.3.1	Definition of the strict liability system	416
14.3.2	The advantages of strict liability	416
14.4	The efficiency of compensation systems: the necessary conditions	418
14.4.1	Unlimited liability/compensation amounts	418
14.4.2	Safety regulation	420
14.4.3	Compulsory insurance	421
14.5	Examples of compensation systems (based on strict liability) for catastrophic risks	422
14.5.1	Compensation for nuclear accidents	423
14.5.2	Compensation for marine oil pollution accidents	425
14.6	Conclusions	427
	Bibliography	427

## PART VI OTHER PERSPECTIVES ON TORT LAW

<b>15 Harmonizing tort law: a comparative tort law and economics analysis</b>	435
<i>Willem H. van Boom</i>	
15.1 Introduction	435
15.2 Tort law as domestic preference	436
15.3 Domestic preferences and the market for tort law systems	438
15.4 Differences in European tort law systems and the harmonization of tort law	439
15.4.1 General	439
15.4.2 Economic analysis in the harmonization debate	440
15.4.3 Tort law an obstacle for the mobility of persons and goods?	442
15.4.4 Regulatory competition versus culture	443
15.4.5 Cross-border externalities argument	444
15.4.6 Race to the bottom argument	445
15.4.7 Reduction of (transaction) cost	446
Bibliography	447

## PART VII EMPIRICS

<b>16 Empirics of tort</b>	453
<i>Ben C.J. van Velthoven</i>	
16.1 Introduction	453
16.2 Prerequisites for empirical analysis	456
16.2.1 Variation	456
16.2.2 Data	458
16.2.3 Methods	459
16.3 The tort litigation system	461
16.3.1 Base rate	462
16.3.2 Claiming rate	463
16.3.3 Disposition of claims	465
16.3.4 Punitive damages	468
16.3.5 Administrative costs	470
16.4 Effects of tort reform on litigation and insurance	471
16.5 Safety effects	473
16.5.1 Automobile accidents	474
No-fault	474
Other changes in liability rules	477

Other safety measures	477
16.5.2 Industrial accidents	479
From negligence to workers' compensation	480
Trends in workers' compensation	480
16.5.3 Product liability	482
Empirical findings	483
Asbestos	484
16.5.4 Medical malpractice	485
Defensive medicine	486
16.6 Cost-benefit analysis	488
Bibliography	490
<i>Index</i>	499