

EUCOTAX Series on European Taxation

# Tax Competition and EU Law

By

*Carlo Pinto*

Associate Attorney at Law  
International Tax Group  
Baker & McKenzie Amsterdam  
and  
Research Associate  
Dept. of Tax Law  
University of Amsterdam



 **KLUWER LAW**  
**INTERNATIONAL**  
THE HAGUE - LONDON - NEW YORK

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>Instructions for the Reader</i>	xi
<b>Chapter 1 – The Issue of (Harmful) Tax Competition</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 What is Tax Competition?	1
1.1.1 Basic concept of tax competition	1
1.1.2 Main categorisations of tax competition	2
1.1.3 Main forms and features of tax incentives	4
1.1.4 Concept of tax competition in an international context	6
1.2 Upside and Downside of Tax Competition: “Harmful” Tax Competition	9
1.2.1 Introduction	9
1.2.2 “Good” tax competition	10
1.2.3 “Harmful” tax competition	11
1.2.4 More on harmful tax competition: foreign direct investment vs. portfolio (passive) investment	12
1.2.5 “Active” versus “passive” tax competition and the “prisoner’s dilemma”	16
1.2.6 Where is the borderline?	18
1.3 (Harmful) Tax competition: Theory, evidence and methodological issues	20
1.3.1 Overview	20
1.3.2 Theories and evidence on interjurisdictional tax competition in the US experience	21
1.3.3 Significance of US studies and experience for the EU	23
1.3.4 Methodologies to study tax competition in an international (and EU) context	25
1.3.5 Direct taxation and its impact on locational decisions of companies	28
1.4 Economic evidence of (harmful) tax competition in the European Union	30
1.4.1 Introduction	30
1.4.2 (Harmful) tax competition and the Ruding Report	31
1.4.2.1 The Ruding Report (1992) and the Commission reaction	31
1.4.2.2 Main differences in tax systems of the Member States	32
1.4.2.3 Survey on the impact of taxation on locational decisions of firms	34
1.4.3 The Monti Memorandum, the Commission Report and (harmful) tax competition: the “Monti Cross”	35
1.4.4 Further economic evidence of tax competition in Europe	37

1.4.5	The 2001 Commission study on company taxation in the EU	41
1.4.5.1	Overview	41
1.4.5.2	Relationship with the Ruding Report; key developments in the EU	42
1.4.5.3	Comparison of “benchmark” tax systems of Member States (qualitative analysis)	44
1.4.5.4	Comparison of effective tax burdens in the Member States (quantitative analysis)	46
1.4.5.5	Comparison with the Ruding Report and the Baker & McKenzie studies	48
1.4.5.6	Synthesis	50
1.5	Main Conclusions	51
<b>Chapter 2 – EU Legal Framework of (Harmful) Tax Competition</b>		53
2.1	Introduction	53
2.2	Fundamental Treaty Provisions and Tax competition	54
2.2.1	Main economic objectives and means of attainment; sovereignty of Member States in direct taxation	54
2.2.2	More on direct taxation: means of harmonisation and the subsidiarity principle	57
2.2.3	Loyalty principle	59
2.2.4	Tax competition as the norm	61
2.3	Internal market rules and (harmful) tax competition	64
2.3.1	Establishment of the “internal market”	64
2.3.2	Fundamental freedoms and (harmful) tax competition	65
2.3.3	Exercise of fundamental freedoms and tax competition	67
2.3.4	Treaty rules on competition between undertakings and State aid	70
2.3.5	Legal and economic considerations of internal market rules and impact on tax competition	73
2.4	Treaty rules on market distortions and tax competition	74
2.4.1	Treaty structure and main goal of internal market distortions provisions	74
2.4.2	Article 96: substantive and procedural requirements	75
2.4.3	Article 97	79
2.4.4	Impact on (harmful) tax competition	81
2.4.5	Potential significance of Articles 96 and 97 in relation to harmful tax competition in the future	83
2.5	Economic and monetary union (EMU) and tax competition	86
2.5.1	EMU and direct tax policies of Member States: basic legal and institutional framework	86
2.5.2	The case of the Irish 2001 Budget	89

## Contents

2.5.3	Application of EMU provisions as an additional tool against harmful tax competition?	91
2.6	Conclusion	93
<b>Chapter 3 – EC State Aid Rules and (Harmful) Tax Measures of Member States</b>		97
3.1	Introduction	97
3.2	What is State aid?	98
3.2.1	Overview of the concept of State aid within the EU	98
3.2.2	Categorisation of State aids by form	100
3.2.3	Categorisation of State aids by objective	102
3.2.4	Significance of State aid in the EU and recent policy trends	103
3.3	EC Treaty rules on State aid	105
3.3.1	Basic substantive law on State aid: Article 87(1)	105
3.3.2	Exemptions from the incompatibility principle and “regional” aid	108
3.3.3	Procedural rules for the granting of State aid: Article 88	111
3.3.4	Commission discretion, legal nature of the Commission documents on State aid, and principle of legitimate expectations	114
3.4	Fiscal State aid	119
3.4.1	Categorisation of fiscal State aid measures	119
3.4.2	Fiscal State aid in Commission practice and in ECJ case law	120
3.4.3	Investment allowances and relationship with Treaty fundamental freedoms	121
3.4.4	(Special) depreciation rules: the German Airlines case	124
3.4.5	Administrative practices and lax behaviour of tax authorities	126
3.4.6	Tax-free areas: the Madeira ZFM and the Trieste FIC	128
3.5	The Commission decision in the Irish corporation tax case	130
3.5.1	Background	130
3.5.2	The 1998 Commission Recommendations	132
3.5.3	Further considerations on the Recommendations and follow-up	133
3.5.4	The Irish corporation tax case as the milestone in the Commission’s change of policy	135
3.6	Fiscal State aid, the 1998 Commission Notice and harmful tax competition	136
3.6.1	Overview	136
3.6.2	Criteria for application of Article 87 to direct tax measures	138
3.6.3	Specificity criterion: benchmark tax system vs. special tax incentives	140

3.6.4	The justification of the “nature or general scheme of the tax system”	144
3.6.5	The Notice and the administrative practices of tax authorities	148
3.6.6	Implementation of the Notice: the Commission large-scale investigation on fiscal State aid of July 2001 and its outcome	150
3.7	New rules on regional fiscal aid and the future of tax-free areas	152
3.7.1	Introduction	152
3.7.2	The new guidelines on national regional aid and the “outermost regions”	153
3.7.3	The rules on regional fiscal aid contained in the Notice	155
3.7.4	Impact of the new rules on the Canary Islands regime	156
3.7.5	Is there a future for tax-free areas? The Madeira ZFM case	159
3.7.6	Tax credits and tax holidays: the case of the Basque Provinces	162
3.7.7	Fiscal regional State aid: some conclusions	164
3.8	The 2001 Commission large-scale investigation: notional taxation, ring-fenced “offshore” regimes and methods of Relieving double taxation	166
3.8.1	Tax base aid: coordination centres and similar intra-group regimes; the Belgian example	166
3.8.2	Analysis of these regimes and of notional methods to determine the taxable base under EC (fiscal) State aid rules	169
3.8.3	Other intra-group finance regimes: the Netherlands CFM and the French Centrales de trésorerie	176
3.8.4	Tax rate and tax liability aid: ring-fencing and offshore regimes; the Gibraltar case	183
3.8.5	Methods of relieving international double taxation: the Irish regime on foreign-source income	187
3.9	Synthesis: Treaty rules on State aid as an effective tool against harmful tax competition	190
<b>Chapter 4 – Non-Legally Binding Rules and Harmful Tax Competition: The Code of Conduct and the OECD Reports</b>		195
4.1	Introduction	195
4.2	The EU “Package” to tackle harmful tax competition and the Code of Conduct	196
4.2.1	Historic overview: the three parts of the “Package”	196
4.2.2	The Code of Conduct: legal nature, scope, and criteria to identify harmful tax regimes	199
4.2.3	Consequences of the application of the Code of Conduct and implementation rules	204

## *Contents*

4.2.4	Evaluation of the Code	205
4.3	Follow-up to the Code of Conduct: The “Primarolo” Report and the “2000 Guidelines”	207
4.3.1	Establishment of the “Code of Conduct Group” and its work	207
4.3.2	The “Primarolo Report” and the “2000 Guidelines”	208
4.3.3	Financial services and royalty income	209
4.3.4	Insurance activities	211
4.3.5	“Headquarter company” regimes: the “centres” and intra-group services	212
4.3.6	Holding and offshore company regimes	213
4.3.7	Reactions of Member States to the Primarolo Report	215
4.3.8	Comments on the Primarolo Report and the 2000 Guidelines; the “2003 Agreement”	216
4.4	Financial services regimes	219
4.4.1	Overview	219
4.4.2	Financial services performed to third parties: the Irish IFSC and the Madeira ZFM and relationship with the State aid rules	220
4.4.3	Intra-group financial services: the Luxembourg and Netherlands “finance company” regimes	223
4.4.4	The Netherlands “CFM” regime	229
4.4.5	“Finance branch” regimes and the 2000 Guidelines	233
4.5	“Headquarter company” regimes and intra-group activities performed by “centres”	237
4.5.1	Overview	237
4.5.2	The Belgium regimes for coordination centres and service centres	238
4.5.3	Distribution centre regimes	241
4.6	“Holding company” regimes and the participation exemption	244
4.6.1	Use of holding companies and criteria to assess the harmfulness of their tax treatment	244
4.6.2	The participation exemption: the Netherlands example and the 2000 Guidelines	246
4.6.3	The Danish participation exemption and its recent changes	253
4.6.4	Special holding company regimes: the Luxembourg “1929 holding company” and the soparfi regimes	257
4.6.5	Spain: the ETVE	259
4.6.6	Recent trends in the EU and the future of the participation exemption and holding companies	262
4.7	Discretion of Tax authorities: the old and new Netherlands ruling practices	264
4.8	The OECD effort to counter harmful tax competition	268
4.8.1	Introduction	268

4.8.2	The 1998 OECD Report: scope and criteria to identify harmful tax competition	269
4.8.3	Countermeasures recommended by the 1998 Report and the “Forum on Harmful Tax Practices”	274
4.8.4	The 2000 Report on harmful tax practices and the list of tax havens	276
4.8.5	Reactions to the 2000 Report (and the MOU) and follow-up	279
4.8.6	The 2001 Progress Report and the milder approach of the OECD	281
4.8.7	Comments on the 2001 Report and its flaws	284
4.8.8	The new black list, the Model Convention on information exchange and the way forward for the OECD project	286
4.9	Concluding remarks on the EU and the OECD projects to counter harmful tax competition	290

**Chapter 5 – Unilateral Countermeasures Against Harmful Tax Competition and EU Law** 295

5.1	Introduction and scope	295
5.2	Description of most common unilateral countermeasures to undo harmful tax competition	295
5.2.1	Overview	295
5.2.2	Specific (or targeted) countermeasures: CFC legislation and restriction of deductibility of payments to low-taxed entities	296
5.2.3	General countermeasures: denial of participation exemption (for EU subsidiaries) and other statutory anti-abuse rules	297
5.3	EC Treaty Freedoms and Countermeasures	300
5.3.1	Introduction	300
5.3.2	Freedom of establishment and the anti-abuse (tax) laws of Member States	301
5.3.2.1	Articles 43–48 EC Treaty: freedom of primary and secondary establishment	301
5.3.2.2	Applicability in the direct tax area	303
5.3.2.3	The Treaty freedoms, abuse of EC law, and national anti-abuse (tax) measures	307
5.3.2.4	The principle of proportionality and direct taxation	315
5.3.2.5	Summary	317
5.3.3	Free circulation of capital	318
5.3.3.1	Articles 56–58 EC Treaty	318
5.3.3.2	Justifications for restrictions	319
5.3.3.3	Case law on Article 58(1)(a)	320
5.3.3.4	Case law on Article 58(1)(b)	321

## Contents

5.3.3.5	Relationship with freedom of establishment	323
5.4	Compatibility of CFC legislation (and other specific countermeasures) with the freedoms of establishment and capital	324
5.4.1	Main features of CFC legislation	324
5.4.1.1	Introduction	324
5.4.1.2	CFC regimes: jurisdictional vs. global approach	325
5.4.1.3	Entity vs. transactional approach	326
5.4.1.4	Tax policy considerations	327
5.4.1.5	CFC legislation and international tax principles	328
5.4.1.6	CFC regimes and EU Member States	329
5.4.2	CFC rules applying to regimes of Member States: compatibility with EC law	332
5.4.2.1	Compatibility of CEN with the Treaty	332
5.4.2.2	Compatibility of CFC rules with freedom of establishment	336
5.4.2.2.1	Introduction	336
5.4.2.2.2	Is CFC legislation covered by the Treaty?	337
5.4.2.2.3	Is there a discrimination or restriction?	338
5.4.2.2.4	Is there a justification?	339
5.4.2.2.5	Is there a less restrictive means of achieving the same objective? The proportionality principle	342
5.4.2.3	Compatibility of CFC rules with freedom of capital movement	344
5.4.3	CFC regimes applying to non-EU Member States	345
5.4.3.1	Scope	345
5.4.3.2	Is this situation covered by the freedom of establishment?	346
5.4.3.3	Is there a discrimination or restriction?	350
5.4.3.4	Is there a justification?	352
5.4.3.5	Compatibility with the free circulation of capital	353
5.4.4	Synthesis: when is CFC legislation compatible with the Treaty?	353
5.5	Compatibility of general countermeasures with EC Law	355
5.5.1	Scope	355
5.5.2	Denial of participation exemption	355
5.5.3	Application of general anti-abuse rules	358
5.6	Countermeasures against authorised or non-assessed State aid regimes	359
5.6.1	Issue	359
5.6.2	Countermeasures, loyalty principle and authorised aids	360
5.6.3	Countermeasures and tax regimes not assessed under the fiscal State aid rules by the Commission	362



5.7	Application of countermeasures to Code of Conduct Blacklisted Regimes and the Loyalty principle	364
5.7.1	Issue	364
5.7.2	Evaluation	365
5.7.3	Impact on the fundamental freedoms and possible justifications	367
5.8	Conclusion	368
<b>Chapter 6 – Synthesis and Proposal</b>		371
6.1	Overview	371
6.2	Synthesis of the main findings	372
6.2.1	Concept of tax competition and the economic model in the EU	372
6.2.2	EU legal framework and “harmful” tax competition	375
6.2.3	Assessment of the existing framework dealing with harmful tax competition and the need for a new comprehensive approach	378
6.3	Commission direct tax policy in the 21st century and impact on (harmful) tax competition	381
6.3.1	Commission strategy in corporate taxation for the coming years	381
6.3.2	New tax treaty policy and countermeasures against harmful tax competition	383
6.3.3	The Netherlands/Portuguese Tax Treaty	385
6.3.4	Comprehensive approaches to tax EU-wide corporate profits: impact on harmful tax competition	387
6.3.5	Prospects	391
6.4	Proposal to deal with harmful tax competition in the EU	394
6.4.1	Summary and main thrust	394
6.4.2	Choice of instrument and legal basis	395
6.4.3	Scope	396
6.4.4	Concept of harmful tax competition: objective and subjective aspect	397
6.4.5	Categories of harmful tax measures	401
6.4.6	Administrative practices	403
6.4.7	Procedural rules: black list and body in charge of its administration	404
6.4.8	The issue of countermeasures in domestic laws and tax treaties	407
6.5	Example of a resolution on harmful tax competition Draft Council Resolution on a common framework for dealing with harmful tax competition in the European Union	411
6.6	Conclusion	416
<b>Main Bibliography</b>		419

*Contents*

<b>Main Cases of the European Court of Justice and of the Court of First Instance</b>	423
<b>Main Commission Notices and Decisions on Fiscal State aid</b>	427
<b>Main EU Legislation, Official Documents and Reports</b>	429
<b>Main OECD official documents</b>	433
<b>Index</b>	435