

The framework of judicial sentencing

A STUDY IN LEGAL DECISION MAKING

Austin Lovegrove

University of Melbourne



**CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS**

Contents

	<i>List of figures</i>	<i>page</i> x
	<i>List of tables</i>	xi
	<i>Acknowledgments</i>	xiii
1	Judicial decision making and sentencing policy: continuation of a study	1
2	A sentencing decision model: single and multiple similar counts	36
3	A sentencing decision model: multiple disparate counts	52
4	Testing the decision model for multiple disparate counts	62
5	The techniques of data collection	78
6	Judges' thoughts on sentencing the multiple offender	99
7	An alternative sentencing decision model for the multiple offender	150
8	Validity and development of the alternative decision model: the data collection	169
9	Towards a requisite decision model for sentencing the multiple offender	204
10	The armature of judicial sentencing	250
	Appendix 1 Case 37 from Sentencing Research Exercise – Part 3B	264
	<i>References</i>	272
	<i>Index</i>	278

Figures

2.1	Seriousness scale for <i>Total Value of the Theft</i>	page 41
2.2	Seriousness scale for <i>Violence to the Victims</i>	42
2.3	Relative weight of <i>Violence to the Victims</i> in relation to <i>Total Value of the Theft</i>	43
2.4	Relationship between Effective Sentence and Case Seriousness	46
2.5	Seriousness scale for <i>Counts of Burglary</i>	49
9.1	Schematic representation of the alternative decision model	240
9.2	Prototypic relationship between the Percentage Cumulation and the Total Sentence for a Case – Judge 1	242
10.1	A hypothetical relationship between Case Seriousness and Effective Sentence	256

Tables

4.1	Sentencing problems for Propositions 1–13	<i>page</i> 70
4.2	Sentencing problems for Proposition 14	70
4.3	Relationship between Propositions 1–13 and the sentencing problems as direct and indirect tests	75
8.1	Structures of the offence characteristics for armed robbery as principal offence in the fictitious cases	178
8.2	Descriptions of the levels on <i>Violence to the Victims</i> for armed robbery in the fictitious cases	180
8.3	Descriptions of the components and levels on <i>Organization</i> for armed robbery in the fictitious cases	182
8.4	Descriptions of the levels on <i>Violence to the Victims</i> for burglary in the fictitious cases	188
8.5	Descriptions of the levels and components on <i>Organization</i> for burglary in the fictitious cases	188
8.6	Structures of the offence characteristics for single counts of burglary in the fictitious cases	189
8.7	Structures of the combined cases of armed robbery and multiple secondary counts of burglary in the fictitious cases	191
8.8	The criminal history for the fictitious cases	194
8.9	Analysis of the offender characteristics in the plea in mitigation for the fictitious cases	197
9.1	Distribution of the sums of sentence (years) for the secondary counts of burglary in Exercises 3B and 3C – Judges 1, 4, 5 and 7	215
9.2	Sentences (months) for counts as whole cases (3A) and part cases (3B and 3C) in Exercise 3 – Judge 1	217

9.3	Sentences (months) for counts as whole cases (3A) and part cases (3B and 3C) in Exercise 3 – Judge 4	219
9.4	Sentences (months) for counts as whole cases (3A) and part cases (3B and 3C) in Exercise 3 – Judge 5	220
9.5	Sentences (months) for counts as whole cases (3A) and part cases (3B and 3C) in Exercise 3 – Judge 7	221
9.6	Effect on cumulation of the sum of the sentences for the multiple secondary counts in the fictitious cases – Judge 1	224
9.7	Effect on cumulation of the sentence for the principal offence in the fictitious cases – Judge 1	226
9.8	Effect on cumulation of the sum of the sentences for the multiple secondary counts in the fictitious cases – Judge 4	227
9.9	Effect on cumulation of the sentence for the principal offence in the fictitious cases – Judge 4	229
9.10	Effect on cumulation of the sum of the sentences for the multiple secondary counts in the fictitious cases – Judge 5	230
9.11	Effect on cumulation of the sentence for the principal offence in the fictitious cases – Judge 5	232
9.12	Effect on cumulation of the sum of the sentences for the multiple secondary counts in the fictitious cases – Judge 7	233
9.13	Effect on cumulation of the sentence for the principal offence in the fictitious cases – Judge 7	235
9.14	Effective sentences (years) for the fictitious cases of multiple offending – Judges 1, 4, 5 and 7	237
9.15	Prototypic relationship between the total sentence for a case (years) and the percentage cumulation – Judge 1	241