

ARBITRATION  
UNDER  
INTERNATIONAL  
INVESTMENT  
AGREEMENTS

A Guide to the Key Issues

SECOND EDITION

*Edited by*

KATIA YANNACA-SMALL

OXFORD  
UNIVERSITY PRESS

# CONTENTS

<i>Table of Cases</i>	xxvii
<i>International Legislation</i>	lxvii
<i>National Legislation</i>	lxxxix
<i>List of Contributors</i>	xcii

## PART I INVESTMENT TREATIES AND THE SETTLEMENT OF INVESTMENT DISPUTES: THE FRAMEWORK

<b>1. Bilateral Investment Treaties and Investment Provisions in Preferential Trade Agreements: Recent Developments in Investment Rule-making</b> <i>Roberto Echandi</i>	
I. Introduction	1.01
II. BITs and Investment Provisions in PTAs: The Gradual Shift from Investment Protection to the Promotion of Liberalization of Investment Flows	1.12
A. The Investment Protection Rationale of BITs	1.12
B. Investment Protection and Liberalization in 'New Generation' BITs and Investment Chapters of RTAs	1.23
C. Impact of Investor-state Dispute Settlement Experience on Investment Rule-making: A New Generation of IIAs	1.28
III. Conclusion	1.120
<b>2. The Energy Charter Treaty</b> <i>Emmanuel Gaillard and Mark McNeill</i>	
I. Introduction	2.01
II. The Making of the Energy Charter Treaty	2.06
III. 'Investments' and 'Investors' Covered by the Energy Charter Treaty	2.10
IV. Denial of Benefits	2.21
V. Substantive Investment Protections	2.31
VI. Dispute Settlement	2.47
VII. Fork in the Road	2.53
VIII. Provisional Application	2.58
IX. Taxation Carve-out	2.85
X. Conclusion	2.89
<b>3. International Investment Dispute Settlement Mechanisms</b> <i>Ucheora Onwuamaegbu</i>	
I. Introduction	3.01
II. Institutionally Supported Arbitration	3.03
A. Overview	3.03
B. International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes	3.06

C. International Court of Arbitration of the International Chamber of Commerce, Paris	3.14
D. The Arbitration Institute of the Stockholm Chamber of Commerce	3.20
III. Selected Procedural Issues	3.24
A. Commencement of Proceedings and the Role of the Institution in the Initial Determination of Jurisdiction	3.24
B. Appointment and Disqualification of Arbitrators	3.32
C. Interim Measures	3.38
D. Seat/Place of Arbitration, Language of Proceedings, and Applicable Law	3.42
E. Tribunal's Experts	3.44
F. Transparency and Third-party Participation	3.45
G. The Award and Post-award Remedies	3.47
H. Costs	3.55
IV. Ad Hoc Dispute Settlement: UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules	3.62
A. Overview of UNCITRAL	3.62
B. Commencement of Proceedings under the UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules	3.66
C. Appointment and Disqualification of Arbitrators	3.67
D. Proceedings	3.70
E. Transparency	3.72
F. Other Provisions	3.76
G. Other UNCITRAL Texts	3.81
V. Conclusion	3.83
<b>4. The Role of Precedent in Investment Treaty Arbitration</b>	
<i>Jan Paulsson</i>	
I. Introduction	4.01
II. The Anti-arbitrariness Vaccine	4.13
III. Limitations	4.18
IV. The Legal Status of Precedents	4.22
V. The Core Concepts	4.34
VI. Life and Death of Precedent in a Decentralized System	4.53
VII. Reconsidering the Value of Precedents	4.57
VIII. Towards More Rigorous Reasoning by Precedent	4.63
IX. Concluding Thoughts: Is a Synthesis Possible?	4.82
<b>PART II GUIDE TO KEY PRELIMINARY AND PROCEDURAL ISSUES</b>	
<b>5. An Overview of Procedure in an Investment Treaty Arbitration</b>	
<i>Barton Legum</i>	
I. Introduction	5.01
II. Overview of the Overview	5.04
III. Preparation of the Case	5.07
A. The Beginning	5.08
B. Initial Case Assessment	5.11
C. The Request for Arbitration	5.13
D. Selection of Arbitration Rules	5.17

E. Selection of the Arbitrators	5.24
F. The First Session with the Tribunal	5.27
IV. The Written Submissions	5.40
V. The Hearing	5.44
A. Post-hearing Activity	5.49
B. The Decision or Award and Its Aftermath	5.53
VI. Conclusion	5.59
<b>6. Aspects of Procedure for Institution of Proceedings and Establishment of Tribunals in Investment Treaty Arbitration</b>	
<i>Milanka Kostadinova</i>	
I. Introduction	6.01
II. The Initiation of Proceedings	6.04
A. The Issue of Consent	6.04
B. 'Gate-keeping' Provisions in Treaties	6.09
C. The Request for Arbitration	6.17
III. The Establishment of the Arbitral Tribunal	6.50
A. Preliminary Remarks	6.50
B. Composition of the Tribunal	6.52
C. Appointment of the Arbitral Tribunal	6.65
IV. Conclusion	6.100
<b>7. The Fate of Frivolous and Unmeritorious Claims</b>	
<i>Katia Yannaca-Small and David Earnest</i>	
I. Introduction	7.01
II. Treatment of Frivolous Claims under International Investment and Trade Agreements	7.02
A. The United States Model Bilateral Investment Treaty	7.02
B. The Comprehensive Economic and Trade Agreement (CETA)	7.05
C. Other International Investment Agreements	7.08
III. Summary Disposition under Institutional Rules	7.11
A. ICSID	7.11
B. Other International Arbitration Rules	7.16
IV. Investor-state Cases that Address Preliminary Objections to Frivolous Claims	7.21
A. Cases under the Dominican Republic–United States–Central American Free Trade Agreement	7.22
B. Cases under the United States–Peru Trade Promotion Agreement	7.26
C. Cases Applying ICSID Rule 41(5)	7.27
IV. Conclusion	7.31
<b>8. Challenges of Arbitrators in Investment Arbitration: Still Work in Progress?</b>	
<i>Loretta Malintoppi and Alvin Yap</i>	
I. Introduction	8.01
II. The Role of Institutions and Professional Associations	8.10
A. International Centre for Settlement of International Disputes (ICSID)	8.10

B. Permanent Court of Arbitration (PCA)	8.22
C. United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL)	8.27
D. International Chamber of Commerce (ICC)	8.34
E. Stockholm Chamber of Commerce (SCC)	8.43
F. London Court of International Arbitration (LCIA)	8.47
G. Singapore International Arbitration Centre (SIAC)	8.52
H. The IBA Guidelines on Conflicts of Interest in International Arbitration (IBA Guidelines)	8.56
III. Innovations in International Investment Agreements	8.60
IV. Selected Decisions on Challenges	8.81
A. ‘Issue Conflicts’	8.86
B. Administrative Secretaries	8.99
C. Social Media	8.109
V. Conclusion	8.115
<b>9. Piercing the Veil of Confidentiality: The Recent Trend towards Greater Public Participation and Transparency in Investment Treaty Arbitration</b>	
<i>Andrea J Menaker and Eckhard Hellbeck</i>	
I. Introduction	9.01
II. Public Access to Documents	9.09
A. The NAFTA Approach	9.12
B. ICSID’s Disclosure Regime	9.21
C. The UNCITRAL Rules on Transparency	9.32
D. The Mauritius Convention	9.38
E. Mandating Disclosure Through Investment Treaty Provisions	9.41
III. Third-party Written Submissions	9.52
A. NAFTA Chapter 11: The Beginning of Modern Third-party Participation in Investment Arbitration	9.54
B. The NAFTA Free Trade Commission Interpretation and Guidelines and Subsequent NAFTA Practice	9.65
C. Third-party Submissions in ICSID Cases	9.77
D. Treatment of Third-party Submissions by Other Arbitral Rules	9.108
E. Treatment of Third-party Submissions by Other Investment Treaties	9.113
IV. Public Access to Arbitral Hearings	9.121
A. The NAFTA Experience: The First Open Hearings	9.122
B. Open Hearings Under the ICSID Arbitration Rules: Still Subject to the Parties’ Consent	9.136
C. Open Hearings: Recent Developments	9.144
V. Conclusion	9.157

PART III GUIDE TO KEY JURISDICTIONAL ISSUES

**10. Who is Entitled to Claim? The Definition of Nationality in Investment Arbitration**

*Katia Yannaca-Small*

I. Introduction	10.01
II. Natural Persons as Investors	10.03
A. Customary International Law	10.04
B. State Practice/Investment Agreements	10.08

C. Jurisprudence under the ICSID Convention	10.11
III. Legal Persons as Investors	10.22
A. Customary International Law	10.24
B. State Practice/Investment Agreements	10.34
C. Jurisprudence	10.52
IV. Conclusion	10.129
<b>11. The Meaning of ‘Investment’ in Investment Treaty Arbitration</b>	
<i>Katia Yannaca-Small and Dimitrios Katsikis</i>	
I. Introduction	11.01
II. The Definition of ‘Investment’ in International Agreements	11.06
A. The Definition of ‘Investment’ in Investment Treaties	11.06
B. The Notion of ‘Investment’ in the ICSID Convention	11.16
III. Arbitral Jurisprudence on the Definition of ‘Investment’	11.23
A. Types of Assets Constituting an ‘Investment’	11.23
B. The Interpretation of ‘Investment’ by Arbitral Tribunals	11.47
C. The Requirement that an Investment Be Made ‘In Accordance with the Host State’s Law’	11.96
D. The Requirement that an Investment Be ‘In the Territory of the Host State’	11.101
IV. Conclusion	11.116
<b>12. Bifurcation of Investment Disputes</b>	
<i>Baiju S Vasani and Sarah Z Vasani</i>	
I. Introduction	12.01
II. The Framework under Major Arbitral Rules	12.03
A. ICSID Convention/Rules	12.03
B. ICSID Additional Facility Rules	12.06
C. UNCITRAL Rules	12.07
D. ICC Rules	12.09
E. SCC Rules	12.11
III. The Standard	12.12
A. Procedural Economy	12.14
B. Likelihood of Dismissal/Reduction in the Scope of Case	12.20
C. Overlapping Issues	12.24
IV. Bifurcation Procedure	12.28
V. Conclusion	12.30
<b>13. Burden and Standard of Proof at the Jurisdictional Stage</b>	
<i>Baiju S Vasani, Timothy L Foden, and Hafsa Zayyan</i>	
I. General Principles Regarding Burdens of Proof	13.01
II. Distinguishing the Burden of Proof from the Standard of Proof	13.05
III. Who Bears the Burden of Proof at the Jurisdictional Phase?	13.06
A. The Claimant Bears the Burden of Proving the Tribunal’s Jurisdiction	13.06
B. Either Party Can Bear the Burden of Proving or Disproving the Tribunal’s Jurisdiction	13.14

C. The Respondent Bears the Burden of Proof	13.25
D. The Centrist Position: Neither Party Bears the Burden of Proving the Tribunal's Jurisdiction	13.29
IV. Who Bears the Burden of Proof Regarding Specific Jurisdictional Objections?	13.35
A. The National Identity of the Natural Person Claimant is in Dispute	13.36
B. The Claim Does Not Arise out of an 'Investment'	13.37
C. The Claimant is Not an 'Investor' Within the Meaning of the BIT/Treaty	13.39
D. Consent to Arbitrate	13.40
E. Case Already Litigated Through Domestic Courts	13.41
F. Dispute Arose Prior to the Entry of the BIT into Force	13.44
G. Dispute Barred by a Provision of the BIT/Treaty	13.45
V. Once the Tribunal Determines Who Has the Burden of Proof, What Standard of Proof Is Applicable at the Jurisdictional Phase?	13.46
A. Prima Facie Standard	13.46
B. The Standard Depends on the Facts	13.61
C. Establishing a Prima Facie Case	13.66
VI. Conclusion	13.72
<b>14. Attribution: State Organs and Entities Exercising Elements of Governmental Authority</b>	
<i>Georgios Petrochilos</i>	
I. Introduction	14.01
II. What Are State Organs?	14.10
A. Internal Law is the Source of Legal Data, Not Classifications	14.16
B. Institutional Separateness or Lack Thereof	14.21
III. Para-statal Entities	14.32
A. 'Governmental Authority'	14.37
B. Acts in Exercise of Governmental Authority	14.44
IV. Inexistence of 'Non-justiciable' Acts of state Organs	14.58
V. Attribution of Representations	14.67
A. Representations Frustrated by Later Conduct	14.68
B. Contractual breaches actionable under umbrella Clauses	14.78
VI. Conclusion	14.92
<b>15. Breach of Treaty Claims and Breach of Contract Claims: When Can an International Tribunal Exercise Jurisdiction?</b>	
<i>Stanimir A Alexandrov</i>	
I. Introduction	15.01
II. Treaty-based Tribunals' Jurisdiction over Treaty Claims Arising out of an Underlying Contract	15.04
A. Contract Protection under Customary International Law	15.06
B. Contract Protection under Investment Treaties	15.11
C. Investment Treaty Claims Arising out of Contracts	15.17

III. Treaty-based Tribunals' Jurisdiction over 'Purely' Contractual Claims	15.20
A. Umbrella Clause Provisions as a Basis for Jurisdiction over Contract Claims	15.23
B. Provisions Granting Jurisdiction over 'Any Disputes'	15.24
C. Provisions Granting Jurisdiction over Disputes Relating to 'Investment Agreements'	15.35
IV. Distinguishing Between Breach of Treaty Claims and Breach of Contract Claims	15.38
A. The Power of Treaty-based Tribunals to Interpret Contracts	15.44
B. The Difficulty (and Irrelevance) of Attempting to Identify Contract Claims 'Dressed' as Treaty Claims	15.48
C. The Impact of Contractual Forum Selection Clauses on the Jurisdiction of Treaty-based Tribunals	15.57
D. The Role and Significance of 'Fork-in-the-Road' Provisions	15.72
V. Conclusion	15.80
<b>16. The Umbrella Clause: Is the Umbrella Closing?</b>	
<i>Katia Yannaca-Small</i>	
I. Introduction	16.01
II. History of the Umbrella Clause and State Practice	16.06
III. Significance of the Umbrella Clause in Treaties	16.16
IV. Effects, Scope, and Conditions of Application of the Umbrella Clause	16.23
A. The Effects of the Umbrella Clause	16.26
B. The Scope of the Umbrella Clause or the Conditions of Its Application	16.52
V. Conclusion	16.84
<b>17. Counterclaims in Investment Treaty Arbitration</b>	
<i>Mark A Clodfelter and Diana Tsutieva</i>	
I. Introduction	17.01
II. Milestone Cases	17.06
III. Counterclaims under the ICSID Convention	17.19
A. Introduction to Article 46	17.20
B. Counterclaims Arising Directly out of the Subject Matter of the Dispute	17.25
C. Counterclaims Within the Scope of the Parties' Consent	17.37
D. Counterclaims Otherwise Within ICSID's Jurisdiction	17.65
E. Conclusion	17.67
IV. Counterclaims Under the UNCITRAL Rules	17.68
A. Introduction	17.68
B. <i>Ipsa Facto</i> Importation of Consent	17.73
C. The 1976 UNCITRAL Rules: Implied Modification by State Parties	17.82
D. The Connection Requirement under the New and Old UNCITRAL Rules	17.88
E. Conclusion	17.94
V. Moving Forward: Greater Expectations in Counterclaim Practice	17.95



**18. The State's Corruption Defence, Prosecutorial Efforts, and Anti-corruption Norms in Investment Treaty Arbitration**

*Charles N Brower and Jawad Ahmad*

I. Introduction	18.01
II. Binary Outcomes of the Corruption Defence—Can the Playing Field be Levelled?	18.12
A. Preliminary Remarks Regarding Jurisdiction, Admissibility, and Merits	18.14
B. Illegality Where There Is a Legality Clause	18.19
C. Illegality Where There Is <i>No</i> Legality Clause	18.24
D. The State's Obligation to Prosecute or Investigate and the Corruption Defence	18.29
III. Anti-corruption Norms in Recent Investment Agreements	18.84
A. Introduction	18.84
B. Independent Anti-corruption Provisions—the Japanese Treaties	18.88
C. Anti-corruption Norms as a Part of Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR)—the Canadian Treaties	18.102
IV. Conclusion	18.110

PART IV GUIDE TO KEY SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES

**19. The Law Applicable in Investment Treaty Arbitration**

*Yas Banifatemi*

I. Introduction	19.01
II. Identification of the Law Chosen by the Parties	19.04
A. Choice of Law in Context	19.05
B. Variations on the Law of the Host State and International Law	19.09
III. Determination of the Applicable Law by the Arbitrators in the Absence of the Parties' Agreement	19.14
IV. Implications of the Specific Nature of Investment Treaties in the Choice of Law Process	19.22

**20. Fair and Equitable Treatment: Have Its Contours Fully Evolved?**

*Katia Yannaca-Small*

I. Introduction	20.01
II. Does FET Refer to Customary International Law or Is It an Autonomous Standard?	20.08
A. The NAFTA Tribunals	20.12
B. Non-NAFTA Tribunals	20.20
C. What Difference Does It Make Whether Fair and Equitable Treatment Refers to the Minimum Standard of Customary Law?	20.25
III. The Normative Content of the Fair and Equitable Treatment Standard	20.28
A. Denial of Justice, Due Process	20.31
B. Transparency, Stability, and Legitimate Expectations	20.45
C. Obligation of Vigilance and Protection	20.87
D. Lack of Arbitrariness and Non-discrimination	20.90
IV. Conclusion	20.98

**21. The National Treatment Obligation**

*Andrea K Bjorklund*

I. Introduction	21.01
II. Precluding Nationality-based Discrimination	21.05
III. National Treatment in Practice	21.17
A. The Like Circumstances Inquiry	21.22
B. Treatment Accorded the Investor	21.59
C. ‘Arbitrary and Discriminatory’ Treatment	21.77
D. Determining the Level of Treatment that Must Be Accorded a Foreign Investor	21.83
E. Objective Justifications for Differential Treatment: The Role of Burden Shifting in National Treatment Analysis	21.89
IV. Reservations and Exceptions	21.94
A. State, Provincial, or Municipal Government Measures	21.97
B. Measures to Protect Health, Safety, and the Environment	21.103
C. Measures to Protect Local Culture	21.105
V. Conclusions	21.106

**22. Indirect Expropriation and the Right to Regulate: Has the Line Been Drawn?**

*Katia Yannaca-Small*

I. Introduction	22.01
II. Basic Concepts of the Obligation to Compensate for Expropriation	22.06
III. The Notion of ‘Property’	22.13
IV. Legal Instruments and Other Texts	22.25
V. Main Sources of Jurisprudence	22.35
A. The Iran–US Tribunal	22.39
B. The European Court of Human Rights	22.42
C. Investor-state Tribunals	22.46
VI. Criteria Indicating Whether an Indirect Expropriation Has Occurred	22.49
A. Degree of Interference with the Property Right	22.51
B. Duration of the Regulation	22.73
C. Economic Impact as the Exclusive Criterion	22.79
D. Character of Governmental Measures and the Police Powers of the State	22.85
E. Proportionality	22.97
F. Interference of the Measure with Reasonable Investment-backed Expectations	22.109
VII. Conclusion	22.119

**23. The MFN Clause and Its Evolving Boundaries**

*Abby Cohen Smutny, Petr Polásek, and Chad Farrell*

I. Introduction	23.01
II. Historical Background	23.04
A. Origins	23.04
B. Work of the International Law Commission	23.08
C. Early Jurisprudence	23.35
III. Bilateral Investment Treaty Practice	23.48

A. MFN Clauses and Dispute Settlement	23.48
B. The Cases	23.57
IV. Treaty-making Practice and Investment Treaty Jurisprudence	23.114
V. Conclusion	23.118

PART V REMEDIES AND COSTS

**24. Interim Relief in Investment Treaty Arbitration**

*Gabrielle Kaufmann-Kohler, Aurélie Antonietti, and Michele Potestà*

I. Introduction	24.01
II. The Power to Grant Interim Relief	24.03
A. Interim Relief in the ICSID System	24.04
B. Interim Relief under the UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules	24.11
C. Other Relevant Provisions	24.17
III. Purpose of the Measures: Preserving the Respective Rights of the Parties	24.19
A. ICSID System	24.20
B. NAFTA Proceedings	24.28
C. UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules	24.29
IV. Types of Measures	24.35
A. Preservation of a Right	24.37
B. Preservation of the <i>Status Quo</i> /Non-aggravation of the Dispute	24.47
C. Preserving the Integrity of the Proceedings/Preventing Prejudice to the Arbitral Process Itself	24.62
D. Preserving Evidence	24.65
E. Protection of the Tribunal's Jurisdiction	24.70
F. Non-frustration of the Award	24.84
V. Requirements for Interim Relief	24.92
A. The Initiative to Request Interim Relief	24.93
B. Jurisdiction of the Tribunal?	24.96
C. Prima Facie Case on the Merits?	24.105
D. Urgency	24.113
E. Necessity or Risk of Irreparable Harm	24.130
VI. Against Whom Can the Measures be Ordered?	24.144
VII. Effect of Interim Measures	24.147
A. ICSID Convention Cases	24.148
B. Additional Facility Cases	24.154
C. NAFTA Proceedings	24.157
D. UNCITRAL Rules	24.158
VIII. Concurrent Jurisdiction of Domestic Courts	24.162
A. ICSID Convention Proceedings	24.163
B. Additional Facility Rules	24.165
C. UNCITRAL Rules	24.166
D. NAFTA Proceedings	24.167
IX. Conclusion	24.168

**25. Compensation and Damages in Investment Treaty Arbitration**

*Irmgard Marboe*

I. Introduction	25.01
II. Applicable Legal Rules and Principles	25.06
A. Rules on State Responsibility	25.07
B. BIT Provisions on Compensation	25.13
C. Other BIT Provisions	25.18
D. Contractual Obligations	25.21
III. Causation	25.26
IV. Valuation Date	25.33
V. Limiting Circumstances	25.40
A. Contributory Negligence	25.41
B. Mitigation of Damages	25.44
C. Country Risk	25.52
VI. Valuation Methods	25.56
A. Market Approach	25.59
B. Income Approach	25.62
C. Asset-based or Cost Approach	25.67
VII. Conclusion	25.70

**26. Third-party Funding in Investment Treaty Arbitration**

*Nigel Blackaby and Alex Wilbraham*

I. Introduction	26.01
II. Does Third-party Funding Provoke Frivolous Claims?	26.12
III. The Different Forms of Funding	26.15
A. Non-recourse Financing	26.16
B. Financing by Lawyers	26.17
C. Insurance	26.18
D. Equity Financing	26.19
E. Debtor in Possession Financing	26.20
F. <i>Pro Bono</i> or Charitable Funding	26.21
G. Common Interest Funding	26.22
IV. Regulation of Litigation Funding	26.23
V. Jurisdiction and Admissibility	26.27
VI. Third-party Funding and Liability for Costs	26.34
A. The Right to Recover Costs if Successful	26.35
B. Recovery of Funding Costs	26.38
C. Security for Costs	26.44
VII. Disclosure of Third-party Funding	26.52
A. Disclosure of a Third-party Funder's Identity	26.53
B. Should the Terms of Funding Agreements be Disclosed?	26.59
VIII. Concluding Remarks	26.63

PART VI THE POST-AWARD PHASE

**27. Annulment of ICSID Awards: Is it Enough or Is Appeal around the Corner?**

*Katia Yannaca-Small*

I. Introduction	27.01
II. Scope and Application of Annulment under the ICSID Convention	27.04
A. Annulment: An Exceptional Recourse?	27.08
B. Annulment <i>versus</i> Appeal: A Thin Line in ICSID Annulment Proceedings	27.15
III. The Grounds for Annulment	27.23
A. Improper Constitution of the Tribunal	27.24
B. Manifest Excess of Powers	27.30
C. Failure to State Reasons	27.44
D. Serious Departure from a Fundamental Rule of Procedure	27.50
IV. Stay of Enforcement	27.55
V. The Quest for Coherence and Consistency: Proposals for an Appeal Mechanism	27.70
A. Past and Current Efforts to include Provisions on the Establishment of an Appeal Mechanism in Investment Agreements	27.74
B. What Lies Ahead? A Multilateral Solution?	27.101
VI. Conclusion	27.106

**28. Review of non-ICSID Awards by National Courts**

*Kaj Hobér and Nils Eliasson*

I. Introduction	28.01
II. The Legal Framework for Review and Challenge of Investment Treaty Awards	28.09
III. Decisions by National Courts	28.14
A. Republic of Poland v Saar Papier Vertriebs GmbH	28.15
B. Russian Federation v Sedelmayer	28.18
C. Republic of Ecuador v Occidental Exploration & Production Company	28.26
D. Petrobart Ltd v Kyrgyz Republic and Kyrgyz Republic v Petrobart Ltd	28.34
E. Czech Republic v Saluka Investments BV	28.45
F. Bayview Irrigation District 11 and Ors v Mexico	28.49
G. Czech Republic v European Media Ventures SA	28.57
H. Mexico v Cargill, Incorporated	28.66
I. Argentina v BG Group PLC	28.76
J. Energoalians (Currently Known as Komstroy) v Moldova	28.83
K. Ecuador v Chevron (USA) and Texaco	28.88
L. Sanum Investments Ltd v Lao People's Republic	28.98
M. Russian Federation v Renta 4 S.V.S.A. et al.	28.117
IV. Discussion	28.127
A. Do National Courts Have Jurisdiction to Determine Challenges of Investment Treaty Awards?	28.128
B. Is It Appropriate for National Courts to Review Investment Treaty Awards?	28.134
C. What Standards of Review Do National Courts Adopt for Reviewing Challenges to the Jurisdiction of Investment Treaty Arbitral Tribunals?	28.145
V. Conclusion	28.159

**29. Enforcement of Investment Treaty Awards**

*August Reinisch*

I. Introduction	29.01
II. Enforcement of Non-ICSID Awards	29.03
A. Foreign Arbitral Awards	29.04
B. Investment Awards as Commercial Disputes	29.06
C. Investment Treaty Arbitration and the Requirement of a Written Arbitration Agreement	29.10
D. Obligation of National Courts to Enforce Investment Awards	29.12
III. Obstacles to the Recognition and Enforcement of Investment Awards	29.13
A. The Article V(1) Grounds for Refusing Enforcement of Investment Awards	29.16
B. The Article V(2) Grounds for Refusing Enforcement of Investment Awards	29.29
C. State Immunity as an Additional Hurdle	29.44
IV. Enforcement of ICSID Awards	29.64
A. The Autonomous International Law Obligation to Comply with ICSID Awards	29.66
B. Exclusivity	29.68
C. The Strict Obligation to Recognize and Enforce ICSID Awards	29.71
D. State Immunity Rules on Enforcement Measures as Remaining Obstacles	29.75
E. Other Failed Attempts to Enforce ICSID Awards	29.82
V. Alternative Enforcement Mechanisms	29.83
VI. Conclusion	29.87

**30. A Practical Guide: Research Tools in International Investment Law**

*Julien Fourret*

I. Introduction	30.01
II. Arbitral Case Law and Public International Case Law	30.08
A. Case Law	30.09
B. Journal Reviews of Investment Arbitration Case Law	30.10
III. International Treaties: Identification and Interpretation	30.11
A. Resources to Identify Investment Treaties	30.12
B. Fundamental Rules of Treaty Interpretation in Public International Law	30.13
IV. Customary International Law	30.21
A. What Is International Custom and How Is a Customary Norm Created?	30.22
B. Means to Identify Customary Norms in Public International Law	30.27
C. Applicability and Relevance of Customary Norms in International Investment Law	30.33
V. Conclusion	30.36

*Index*

837