

Property Rights in Personal Data

A European Perspective

Nadezhda Purtova



Wolters Kluwer
Law & Business

Table of Contents

Preface and Acknowledgements	xv
Chapter 1	
Introduction	1
1. Subject Matter, Research Question and Aim of This Study	1
2. Perspective of This Study	4
2.1. Legal Perspective	4
2.2. European Perspective	5
2.3. Perspective of the Individual	5
3. Theoretical Framework	6
3.1. Legal Pragmatism	6
3.2. Evolutionary Approach to Data Protection	7
4. Method of Functional Equivalence	10
5. The Key Message of This Study	12
6. Structure of the Argument	13
Part I	
Setting the Stage	15
Chapter 2	
The Personal Data Problem: The Developments Raising Personal Data Related Issues	17
1. Introduction	17
2. Developments	18
2.1. General Technological Developments	18
2.2. Profiling	23

Table of Contents

2.3. Institutional Developments	24
2.4. Market Related	33
2.5. Societal Developments	35
2.6. The Transformation of the Structure of the Data Flow	37
2.6.1. Chain Informatisation	37
2.6.2. Cloud Computing	38
2.6.3. Ambient Intelligence	38
2.6.4. The New Structure of Relationships within the Data Flow	39
3. Conclusion	40

Chapter 3

The Personal Data Problem: Concerns	43
1. Introduction	43
2. Data Collection: Secrecy, Misbalance of Power, Freedom, Autonomy, Etc.	45
3. Analysis of Data: Fear of Errors, Misrepresentation, Dehumanisation, and <i>Perfect Knowledge</i>	47
4. The Implementation of Data: Discrimination, Manipulation, Inequality	50
5. Beyond Zarsky's Paradigm: A lack of Transparency and Accountability in the Data Flow	51
6. The Need for a Next Generation Personal Data Regime	53
7. Conclusion	55

Chapter 4

Introduction to Property Discourse	57
1. Introduction: Agreeing on Terms	57
2. Distinguishing the Legal Perspective on Property	57
2.1. The Layman's Perspective	59
2.2. Normative Perspective	60
2.3. Economic Perspective	61
3. Defining the Legal Perspective: The Meaning of Property in Law	63
3.1. The Fluid Nature of Property in Law	64
3.2. The Idea of Common European Property Law, New Property Rights and Their Objects	68
3.2.1. Civil Law Property	68
3.2.1.1. Revolutionary Origins and Codes as Sources	68
3.2.1.2. Structure and Scope: Unitary Ownership	69

3.2.1.3. The Rigid Application of the <i>Numerus Clausus</i> Principle Resulting in an Exclusive System of Property Rights	72
3.2.2. Property in the Common Law	74
3.2.2.1. Feudal Origins and Sources in Case Law	74
3.2.2.2. Structure and Scope: Fragmented Ownership	75
3.2.2.3. The Flexible Application of the <i>Numerus Clausus</i> Principle and the Resulting Inclusive System of Property Rights	78
3.2.3. In Search of Common Ground: Fragmented Ownership and the <i>Erga Omnes</i> Effect	80
3.2.3.1. (Re)discovered Common Ground	82
3.2.3.2. The Pragmatic Application of <i>Numerus Clausus</i> : The <i>Erga Omnes</i> Effect as the Cause of Propertisation	83
3.2.4. Map of New Property Rights in a Common European Property Discussion	85
3.3. The Market Function of Property: The Rebuttal of One Objection to the Flexible Application of Property Rights	86
4. Conclusion	88

Part II
Origins of the Idea of Propertisation **91**

Chapter 5
Limitations of US Information Privacy Law in Dealing with the Personal Data Problem **93**

1. Introduction	93
2. ‘Mantra of Privacy’: Conceptualisation of the Personal Data Problem in the United States	94
3. US Information Privacy Law	98
3.1. Law of Tort	99
3.1.1. Intrusion	100
3.1.2. Disclosure	102
3.1.3. False Light	104
3.1.4. Appropriation	105
3.1.5. Tort as a Common Law Institution	106
3.2. Constitutional Law	106
3.2.1. The Scope of the Constitutional Protection of Information Privacy	107
3.2.2. Substantive Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment	109
3.2.3. V Amendment	112
3.2.4. IV Amendment	113
3.3. Statutory Protection	115

Table of Contents

3.3.1. Code of Fair Information Practices	116
3.3.2. Implementation of the Code	117
4. Non-proprietary Tools to Fill in the Gaps	120
4.1. Retooling the System of Torts	120
4.2. Solution by Regulation	125
5. Conclusion	127

Chapter 6

Correcting Shortcomings of the US Information Privacy Law by Propertisation

1. Introduction	129
2. Mapping the US Argument on Propertisation of Personal Data	130
3. Natural Rights and Rhetorical Justifications	132
4. Economic Argument for Propertisation	133
4.1. Individual Property as Opposed to Disclosure	134
4.2. Property as Opposed to Torts	137
4.3. Property as an Instrument to Create a General System of Personal Data Protection	137
5. The Propertisation Argument Pertaining to the Specificities of the US Legal System	139
6. Scope of Property Rights: Default Rules	141
7. Established and Added Criticism of the US Propertisation Argument	144
8. Conclusion	148

Chapter 7

Review of the European Data Protection Regime

1. Introduction	153
2. The System of European Data Protection Law	154
2.1. Sources of European Data Protection Law: Their Goals and Scope of Application	154
2.2. Content of European Data Protection Law	159
2.2.1. First Cluster of Rules: Substantive Principles	159
2.2.1.1. Fair and Lawful Processing	160
2.2.1.2. Minimality	161
2.2.1.3. Purpose Limitation	162
2.2.1.4. Information Quality	162
2.2.1.5. Data Subject Participation and Control	163
2.2.1.6. Disclosure Limitation	163
2.2.1.7. Data Security	164
2.2.2. Second Cluster of Rules: The 1995 Directive's System of Implementation of the Substantive Principles	164

2.2.2.1.	Participatory Implementation	165
2.2.2.1.1.	Rights and Obligations	166
2.2.2.1.2.	Co-regulation and Self-control	167
2.2.2.2.	Top-Down Implementation: Supervisory Authorities	169
2.3.	Analysis of the Current European Approach to Data Protection	171
2.3.1.	Adequacy of the Substantive Norms of Data Protection	172
2.3.2.	Shortcomings of the Implementation Mechanisms	176
2.3.2.1.	Participatory Implementation	176
2.3.2.1.1.	Rights and Obligations	176
2.3.2.1.2.	Co-regulation and Self-control	185
2.3.2.2.	Top-Down Implementation: Overloaded DPAs	187
2.3.3.	Other Challenges	189
3.	Conclusion	191

Chapter 8

	The Possibility of Propertisation of Personal Data in the EU Legal Order	193
1.	Introduction	193
2.	Propertisation Scenarios under Directive 95/46/EC	195
2.1.	The Propertisation of Personal Data within the Boundaries Set by Directive 95/46/EC	195
2.1.1.	Absolute Exclusion of Propertisation Contrary to the Logic of the Data Protection Evolution	196
2.1.2.	The Principle of Individual Control Suggests Propertisation	197
2.1.3.	Consent Requirement and Exceptions Thereto	201
2.1.3.1.	Consent as a Tool of Control	202
2.1.3.2.	Criticisms of and Exceptions to the Consent Rule	203
2.1.4.	The Holder of Property Rights	206
2.2.	Propertisation of Personal Data as an Alternative to Directive 95/46/EC	207
2.2.1.	The Internal Market as a Free Market?	207
2.2.2.	A Window in the Directive: No Mandatory Law Clause?	209
2.2.3.	Freedom of Contract	212

Table of Contents

2.2.4. Power to Negotiate	214
2.2.5. General Contract and Consumer Protection Law Is Sufficient?	217
3. Conclusion	219
Chapter 9	
Human Rights Nature of Data Protection as a Limit on Propertisation	221
1. Introduction	221
2. 'Constitutionalisation' of Data Protection Rights in National and EU Law	222
3. A Strong Tendency to Include Data Protection Rights into the Article 8 ECHR Right to Respect for Private Life	224
3.1. The Analytical Framework	224
3.2. Article 8 (1) ECHR: Beyond Privacy as the Secrecy of Information	227
3.3. Affirmative Obligations and a Horizontal Effect of Article 8 ECHR	231
3.3.1. Affirmative Obligations in the First Line of Case Law	233
3.3.2. Affirmative Obligations in the Second and Third Lines of Case Law	233
4. Waiver of the Data Protection Rights: The Limited Scope of Private Law Solutions	240
5. Conclusion	243
Chapter 10	
The Property Rights Solution	245
1. Introduction	245
2. What Propertisation Offers	246
2.1. Property Rights as a Framework for Personal Data Management	246
2.2. The <i>Erga Omnes</i> Effect Given to Data Protection Rights Holds All Actors Accountable	250
2.3. Co-regulation and Self-control	253
2.4. Improved Top-Down Implementation	254
3. Limits of Propertisation: The Necessity of Additional Regulation	256
4. Additional Qualifications	257
4.1. How Does the Propertisation Solution Relate to Other Proposed Solutions?	257
4.2. What If a Data Subject Changes His Mind about the Transfer of a 'Lesser' Property Right in His Data?	258

4.3. Would Propertisation Make Data Protection Easier in Practice?	259
4.4. What about Personal Data Created by Other People?	259
4.5. Would the Proposed Property Regime Violate Freedom of Expression?	260
5. Conclusion	261
Chapter 11	
Conclusion	263
1. Introduction: Questions	263
2. Background	264
2.1. Personal Data Problem	264
2.2. The US Origins of the Idea of Propertisation	265
3. Answers	266
3.1. Propertisation of Personal Data, to a Degree, Is Legally Possible	266
3.1.1. Property in Law Implies Real Rights with <i>Erga Omnes</i> Effect	267
3.1.2. EU Data Protection Law Does Not Exclude Propertisation if Consistent with the Directive	269
3.1.3. Propertisation Is Possible on Condition of Limited Alienability	270
3.2. Propertisation of Personal Data Is a Sound Direction for Development of the European Data Protection	272
3.2.1. The European Data Protection Regime Fails to Channel Modern Data Processing	272
3.2.2. Real Rights Improve the Accountability and Implementation of Data Protection Rules	274
4. Conclusion	275
Table of Cases	277
Bibliography	281
Index	295