

**THERAPEUTIC JURISPRUDENCE:  
THE ROLE OF PERCEIVED EMPATHY  
OF DRUG COURT JUDGES  
AND ITS EFFECTS ON THERAPEUTIC OUTCOME**

**A Dissertation**

**Presented to the Faculty of  
The California School of Professional Psychology at Alameda**

**In Partial Fulfillment  
Of the Requirements of the Degree  
Doctor of Philosophy**

**By**

**Hillary M. Siedler**

**March 3, 2000**

## Table of Contents

	<u>Page</u>
Dedication.....	iv
Acknowledgments.....	v
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
<i>The History of Diversion Programs for Drug Offenders</i> .....	3
Drug Courts Defined .....	8
Why Drug Courts are Unique .....	11
Therapeutic Jurisprudence.....	13
Behavioral Model.....	15
Drug Treatment Court Judge .....	16
Elements Common to all Successful Models of Therapy .....	18
The Helping Alliance and Empathy.....	21
The Transtheoretical Model of Change.....	23
II. REVIEW OF THE RELEVANT LITERATURE.....	26
Problems with Current Drug Court Studies.....	26
Review of Therapeutic Jurisprudence Literature.....	29
Review of Literature on Therapeutic Commonalities .....	31
Review of Literature on the Therapeutic Alliance and Empathy .....	32
Retention in Treatment.....	34
III. METHODS .....	39
Research Design and Data Analysis .....	40

Participants .....	41
Procedure.....	43
Demographics .....	45
Barrett-Lennard Relationship Inventory .....	46
The Stages of Change (SOCRATES) .....	47
Retention.....	48
Confidentiality .....	50
Human Participants Considerations .....	51
Feedback to Participants.....	51
Benefits.....	52
Analysis .....	52
Threats to Research Methodology. ....	54
IV. RESULTS.....	56
Additional Analyses.....	64
V. DISCUSSION.....	78
References.....	90
Appendix A: Demographic Questionnaire .....	101