

# Table of contents

Table of contents.....	III
List of tables .....	IX
List of figures.....	XI
List of maps .....	XIII
List of abbreviations.....	XV
1. Introduction .....	1
2. EU cohesion policy: An overview.....	5
2.1 An introduction to EU cohesion policy.....	5
2.1.1 EU cohesion policy in the EC Treaty .....	5
2.1.2 How can EU regional policy be justified? .....	8
2.1.2.1 Divergence theories and the “cohesion problem”: How the European Commission justifies its regional policy .....	8
2.1.2.2 The economic case for (EU) regional policy .....	12
2.1.2.3 A critical review of the case for EU regional policy: The political economy of EU cohesion policy .....	15
2.1.3 The development of EU cohesion policy (1957-1999) .....	19
2.1.4 EU cohesion policy 2000-2006.....	25
2.1.4.1 The three priority objectives: Objective 1, Objective 2, and Objective 3 .....	26
2.1.4.2 The Community Initiatives, innovative actions and the Cohesion Fund.....	29
2.1.4.3 How are the new Member States taken into account? .....	30
2.1.4.4 The overall financial allocation .....	32
2.1.5 Cohesion policy after 2006: A synopsis of the debate .....	38
2.1.5.1 Debated issues characterized by consensus and agreement .....	40
2.1.5.2 The controversial issues in the debate.....	43
2.1.6 The European Commission’s proposals for EU cohesion policy 2007-2013.....	48
2.1.6.1 Priority 1: Convergence .....	49
2.1.6.2 Priority 2: Regional competitiveness and employment.....	50

2.1.6.3 Priority 3: European territorial cooperation .....	52
2.1.6.4 The financial resources .....	52
2.1.6.5 Further reforms proposed by the European Commission .....	53
2.1.6.6 Assessing the European Commission's reform proposals .....	56
2.1.7 The financial perspective 2007-2013 .....	59
2.1.7.1 The financial perspective 2007-2013: The results of the Brussels European Council .....	59
2.1.7.2 Assessing the financial perspective 2007-2013 with respect to cohesion policy .....	61
2.1.7.3 The European Parliament's objections .....	62
2.2 Is GDP per capita a good indicator for EU cohesion policy? .....	62
2.2.1 How well does GDP per capita measure (regional) economic development? .....	64
2.2.1.1 Problems caused by including indirect taxes, depreciation and subsidies in GDP .....	65
2.2.1.2 Data availability and comparability .....	65
2.2.1.3 Problems caused by interregional differences in purchasing powers .....	66
2.2.1.4 Problems caused by calculating the per capita figures .....	66
2.2.1.5 The omission of non-traded activities, informal activities, and leisure .....	67
2.2.1.6 The omission of income inequality and environmental externalities, the double accounting problem, and the neglect of the future .....	69
2.2.2 The original purpose of GDP and its current <i>raison d'être</i> .....	70
2.2.3 The search for more sophisticated (regional) development indicators and concepts .....	72
2.2.3.1 Revisions and extensions of the GDP (per capita) indicator .....	73
2.2.3.2 Composite (social) indicators .....	75
2.2.3.3 Indicator sets to portray regional problems or regional living conditions .....	78
2.2.3.4 Taking into account subjective well-being and happiness .....	79
2.2.4 GDP per capita as an indicator for regional policy: Some concluding remarks and suggestions for policy .....	80
3. Income disparities between the new Member States and the EU-15: Convergence or not? .....	85
3.1 Disparities between the new Member States and the EU-15 .....	85
3.2 Some catching-up scenarios .....	90

3.2.1 Calculations by Brzeski and Colombatto (1999) .....	91
3.2.2 Calculations by Kolodko (2002) .....	93
3.2.3 Calculations by Wagner and Hlouskova (2002) .....	97
3.2.4 Calculations by the European Commission (2004a) .....	102
3.3 A critical look at the convergence hypothesis .....	105
3.3.1 Questioning the convergence hypothesis .....	105
3.3.2 Convergence or divergence: what can be learned from theory? .....	110
3.3.2.1 Neoclassical growth theory .....	110
3.3.2.2 Endogenous growth theory .....	111
3.3.2.3 New economic geography .....	114
3.3.3 Convergence or divergence: what can be learned from the empirical evidence? .....	116
3.3.3.1 The classical concepts of convergence .....	116
3.3.3.2 The worldwide evidence .....	117
3.3.3.3 The evidence for the OECD economies and the EU .....	120
3.3.3.4 The evidence for the EU's cohesion countries .....	123
3.3.3.5 Can the Irish growth model be replicated in the CEECs? .....	128
3.4 Convergence or not? Some additional and concluding remarks .....	134
4. Growing regional disparities within the new Member States: A case study of Hungary .....	139
4.1 Growing regional disparities within the new Member States: The role of transition and European integration via trade and FDI .....	139
4.1.1 Growing regional disparities within the new Member States: The role of transition ..	139
4.1.2 Growing regional disparities within the new Member States: The role of European integration .....	141
4.1.2.1 Increasing interregional differentiation due to European integration via trade ..	142
4.1.2.2 Increasing interregional differentiation due to European integration via FDI .....	144
4.1.3 Evidence for growing regional disparities within the new Member States .....	146
4.2 Growing regional disparities within Hungary: An overview .....	148
4.2.1 Hungary's territorial organization .....	150
4.2.2 Evidence for growing regional disparities within Hungary .....	152

4.2.2.1 Growing regional disparities at all levels of spatial aggregation: The Hoover index .....	152
4.2.2.2 Spatial distribution of income per capita: Some illustrative maps .....	154
4.2.2.3 Regional economic indicators: Growing regional disparities along all dimensions .....	156
4.3 A closer look at Hungary's regions and counties .....	163
4.3.1 Budapest and Central Hungary: Winners of transition and integration .....	166
4.3.2 Another winner of transition and integration: Western Transdanubia – the case study examples of Győr-Moson-Sopron county and the city of Győr.....	168
4.3.3 Northern Hungary, Eastern Hungary, and Southern Hungary: So far the losers of transition and integration.....	173
4.4 A short look at the role of Hungarian regional policy in the 1990s .....	176
4.5 Regional disparities in Hungary: Some additional remarks and an outlook .....	177
5. EU cohesion policy and the striving for equity and efficiency: Incompatible goals? .....	183
5.1 EU cohesion policy after 2006: The double challenge.....	183
5.2 The empirical evidence for an equity–efficiency trade-off: Convergence between countries, divergence within countries.....	184
5.3 How can the empirical evidence be explained? .....	188
5.4 Equity and efficiency: are the two main goals of regional structural policy incompatible?193	
5.5 EU cohesion policy and the striving for equity and efficiency: Some concluding remarks	198
6. EU cohesion policy and the equity–efficiency trade-off: A theoretical perspective .....	203
6.1 Regional policies, equity, and efficiency: Some theoretical underpinnings.....	203
6.1.1 The “uneven development theories” of the 1950s .....	204
6.1.2 Economics of agglomeration and the “growth pole” concept .....	205
6.1.2.1 Economics of agglomeration: Marshallian externalities and local spillovers of information, knowledge, and technology .....	207
6.1.2.2 Economics of agglomeration: Increasing returns to scale.....	210
6.1.2.3 The “growth pole” concept.....	211
6.1.3 The equity–efficiency trade-off and the case for regional policies .....	215
6.2 The Martin model .....	219

6.2.1 The assumptions, variables, and the relationship between growth, regional income disparities and agglomeration .....	220
6.2.2 The effects of different regional policy approaches.....	223
6.2.2.1 The effects of an income transfer to the poor region .....	223
6.2.2.2 The effects of an interregional infrastructure policy .....	224
6.2.2.3 The effects of an intraregional infrastructure policy .....	227
6.2.2.4 The effects of a regional policy fostering innovation and technology spillovers...	229
6.3 The regional policy prescriptions of the Martin model: Good guidelines for the new EU Member States? .....	231
6.3.1 An agglomeration-driven growth pattern and national catching-up as main goal .....	231
6.3.2 The main bottleneck in the CEECs: Infrastructure .....	234
6.3.3 The situation of innovation, R&D and scientific education: The example of Hungary	236
7. Adding dynamics to Martin's equations: The model and the policy implications.....	241
7.1 A dynamic version of Martin's equations.....	241
7.2 The policy implications of the dynamic model version .....	249
7.2.1 A regional policy in two steps: The case for sequencing .....	249
7.2.2 Ireland: Again a role model to follow? .....	257
7.2.3 The case for a cohesion policy based on national wealth.....	258
8. Conclusions .....	263
References .....	273
List of expert interviews.....	295

## List of tables

Table 1: Regional income disparities in the EU-25: relative GDP per capita in 2002 (EU-25=100, PPS).....	11
Table 2: Annual structural spending, 2000–2006 (Berlin European Council forecast) (1999 prices, EUR billion) .....	32
Table 3: Indicative structural spending allocations per Objective and per EU-15 Member State, 2000–2006 (1999 prices, EUR billion).....	35
Table 4: New Member States and Structural Funds and instruments, 2004–2006 (current prices, EUR million) .....	36
Table 5: Structural and Cohesion Fund commitment appropriations by priority, 2007–2013 (at 2004 prices, EUR billion), as proposed by the European Commission .....	53
Table 6: Instruments and objectives of EU cohesion policy 2000–2006 and 2007–2013 (as suggested by the European Commission).....	56
Table 7: The debate about the future of cohesion policy and the European Commission’s reform proposals: A critical assessment .....	58
Table 8: The European Commission’s proposals and the financial perspective 2007–2013: A critical assessment .....	61
Table 9: GDP and GNI in the new Member States (and in Portugal and Greece): the level relative to the EU-25 (2001–2003 averages).....	87
Table 10: Further indicators to illustrate the disparities between the new Member States and the EU-15 (various years) .....	89
Table 11: Catch-up scenario calculated by Brzeski and Colombatto (1999) .....	92
Table 12: Catch-up scenario calculated by Kolodko (2002) .....	95
Table 13: Minimum, maximum and mean real per capita GDP growth rate projections by Wagner and Hlouskova (2002).....	99
Table 14: Convergence time in years relative to levels of the EU-25 and the C-4 for the mean growth rates scenario (as calculated by Wagner and Hlouskova, 2002) .....	100
Table 15: A typology of new growth theories and the respective “convergence engines” .....	113
Table 16: Income disparities between the richest and the poorest region in the Visegrad group countries and in some old EU Member States.....	147
Table 17: Growing regional disparities at all spatial levels: Hoover indices (in %).....	153
Table 18: Regional GDP per capita as a percentage of the national average (1995–2003).....	157
Table 19: Regional GDP per capita (in PPS) as a percentage of the EU-15 and EU-25 averages (2001–2003) .....	158
Table 20: The geographical distribution of FDI (selected counties, one per region).....	159

Table 21: Further economic development and living conditions indicators (2004) .....	160
Table 22: Education indicators (2002) .....	162
Table 23: Typology of Hungarian regions during the transition decade .....	178

## List of figures

Figure 1: EU budgetary expenditures devoted to regional policy (and the CAP), 1975-1999 (in % of general budget).....	25
Figure 2: Breakdown of Structural Funds and instruments by sector of expenditure, 2000-2006 (1999 prices, EUR billion, planned expenditure).....	34
Figure 3: Breakdown of expenditure by heading/category, 2007-2013.....	60
Figure 4: European Commission (2004a) simulation of GDP per head (PPS) in the new Member States, 2004-2040 (relative growth assumption 1.5 per cent p.a.) .....	103
Figure 5: European Commission (2004a) simulation of GDP per head (PPS) in the new Member States, 2004-2040 (relative growth assumption 2.5 per cent p.a.) .....	104
Figure 6: GDP at current market prices per head of population (in PPS) in the cohesion countries, 1960-2002 (EU-15 = 100).....	125
Figure 7: GDP at current market prices per head of population (in PPS) in Ireland, 1988-2002 (EU-15 = 100).....	129
Figure 8: The CEECs' exports and imports to and from the EU during the "transition decade" (shares in total CEECs' exports and imports) .....	143
Figure 9: EU FDI flows into the CEECs during the "transition decade" (in billions of EUR).....	144
Figure 10: $\beta$ -convergence between countries, $\beta$ -divergence within countries: The trade-off between equity and efficiency .....	186
Figure 11: The Williamson hypothesis: The inverted U-curve .....	189
Figure 12: The relationship between growth, regional income disparities and agglomeration....	222
Figure 13: The effects of an income transfer to the poor region.....	224
Figure 14: The effects of an interregional infrastructure policy .....	225
Figure 15: Short-term demand effects vs. long-term supply effects of an interregional infrastructure policy .....	227
Figure 16: The effects of an intraregional infrastructure policy .....	228
Figure 17: The effects of a regional policy fostering innovation and technology spillovers.....	230
Figure 18: A regional policy fostering innovation and technology spillovers: Hardly any overall growth if growth is very sensitive to decreases in agglomeration .....	233
Figure 19: The evolution of agglomeration and regional disparities .....	248
Figure 20a: The evolution of agglomeration and regional disparities over time.....	249
Figure 20b: The evolution of agglomeration and regional disparities over time: The policy implications .....	250



## List of maps

Map 1: EU-25 regions eligible for support from Objective 1 and Objective 2 (2004-2006).....	37
Map 2: EU-25 regions eligible for support under the Priorities 1 (Convergence) and 2 (Regional competitiveness and employment) (2007-2013) (Draft).....	51
Map 3: The seven planning-statistical regions (NUTS 2) and the 19 counties (NUTS 3) in Hungary .....	152
Map 4: Spatial distribution of income per capita in Hungary .....	155
Map 5: The Central and Eastern European “boomerang” .....	165