

# Contents

<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1 Information, Monitoring, Incentives, and Behavior	4
1.2 Outline of the Paper and Summary of Findings	5
<b>2 How Central Exams Change Behavior</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1 Central Exams as an Accountability Device to Mitigate Agency Problems	6
2.2 The Impact Channels of Central Exams: Effects on the Behavior of Parents, Administrators, Schools, Teachers, and Students	8
<b>3 International Data</b>	<b>13</b>
3.1 The Micro Databases of TIMSS and TIMSS-Repeat	13
3.2 What Can Be Learned from International Evidence on Central Exams: Opportunities and Limitations	16
<b>4 Central Exams and Student Performance: The International Evidence</b>	<b>18</b>
4.1 Basic Results from TIMSS and TIMSS-Repeat	18
4.2 Effects by Grade	22
4.3 Effects by Performance Quartiles and Students' Background	23
4.4 The Potential for Bias in the Estimates	26
<b>5 How Do Central Exams Change the Working of the Education System?</b>	<b>27</b>
5.1 The Impact of School and Teacher Autonomy With and Without Central Exams	28
5.2 The Impact of Regular Testing and Homework With and Without Central Exams	34
5.3 The Impact of Parental Influence With and Without Central Exams	34
<b>6 Conclusion: Do Central Exams Lead to Real Gains in Knowledge?</b>	<b>36</b>
<b>Appendix: Construction of the TIMSS-Repeat Database</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>References</b>	<b>44</b>

*Paper prepared for the conference "Taking Account of Accountability: Assessing Politics and Policy" at the John F. Kennedy School of Government, Harvard University, June 10-11, 2002. Financial support for the construction of the TIMSS-Repeat micro database by the Program on Education Policy and Governance (PEPG), Harvard University, under a grant from the John M. Olin Foundation is gratefully acknowledged. The hospitality of both the PEPG and the National Bureau of Economic Research during spring 2002, which enabled me to write this paper, is highly appreciated. I would also like to thank Caroline Hoxby and Kathryn Schiller for their constructive discussion of the paper at the conference, John Bishop for his kind provision of data on central exit exams in most of the countries analyzed, Eugenio Gonzalez of the TIMSS International Study Center for helpful clarifications on the TIMSS-Repeat data, Andreas Ammermüller for research assistance in the construction of the TIMSS-Repeat database, and Marty West for many helpful comments on a first draft of this paper.*