

Contents

| | |
|---|----------|
| A. Introduction | 1 |
| B. Environmental Liability Legislation — An Overview of Selected Liability Systems | 7 |
| I. Characteristics of Different Environmental Liability Regimes .. | 7 |
| II. Environmental Liability Regimes in the United States and Japan..... | 9 |
| 1. Liability for Environmental Damages in the United States .. | 10 |
| 2. Liability for Environmental Damages in Japan | 14 |
| III. The Structure of the German Environmental Legislation | 18 |
| 1. Legal Statutes | 19 |
| 2. Statutory Instruments..... | 23 |
| 3. Guiding Principles | 25 |
| IV. The Role of the Environmental Liability Act (UmweltHG)..... | 26 |
| 1. Liability for Pollution Damages under the Civil Code | 26 |
| 2. Specific Environmental Law Statutes..... | 28 |
| 3. Strict Liability under Other Specific Acts | 29 |
| V. Liability under the Environmental Liability Act (UmweltHG).. | 31 |
| 1. The Scope of Liability | 31 |
| 2. Proving Causation and Access to Information | 34 |
| 3. Multiple Defendants | 37 |
| 4. Precautionary Cover for the Liability Risk..... | 38 |
| 5. Historical Damages..... | 39 |
| VI. The Provisions of the UmweltHG and the Casuistics of Environmental Pollution | 40 |
| VII. Potential Developments of Environmental Liability | 45 |
| 1. Liability Provisions of the Environmental Code (UGB) | 45 |
| 2. Environmental Liability in the European Union..... | 47 |
| VIII. Concluding Remarks | 50 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| C. Fundamental Aspects of Liability — An Assessment in the View of the Coase Theorem | 52 |
| I. Economic Analysis of Liability Law: A Review of the Literature | 54 |
| 1. Precautionary Incentives..... | 54 |
| 2. Risk Allocation..... | 57 |
| 3. The Problem of Causation | 57 |
| 4. Information Requirements | 58 |
| 5. Incentives to Sue, Pre-Trial Bargaining, and Settlement Behaviour | 60 |
| II. The Coase Theorem and Liability for Environmental Damages | 61 |
| 1. An Illustration of the Coase Theorem for Environmental Risk..... | 62 |
| 2. Relevance of the Coase Theorem for Environmental Policy | 64 |
| 3. Methodological Assessment of the Coase Theorem..... | 66 |
| 4. Transaction Cost Limits to Private Bargaining over Externalities | 67 |
| 5. Rationale for Government Intervention..... | 73 |
| III. Reassessing the Role of Liability | 76 |
| D. Theoretical Analysis of Environmental Liability — The Case of Uncertain Causation and Imperfect Information | 79 |
| I. Enforcement of Legal Claims for Environmental Damages | 79 |
| II. Effects of the Causality Presumption of the UmweltHG in a Formal Model..... | 82 |
| 1. The Basic Model..... | 82 |
| 2. Comparative Static Analysis..... | 87 |
| 3. Multi-Dimensional Precautionary Measures | 94 |
| 4. Assessing the Precautionary Implications of the UmweltHG Causality Presumption | 100 |
| III. A General Principal-Agent Model of Environmental Liability . | 102 |
| 1. The Basic Framework..... | 103 |
| 2. The Optimisation Problem of the Policy-Maker..... | 107 |
| 3. The Allocation of Environmental Risk..... | 111 |

| | | |
|-----------|--|------------|
| 4. | The Benchmark Case of Observable Precautionary Measures | 114 |
| 5. | The Case of Unobservable Precautionary Measures | 117 |
| IV. | A Simplified Principal-Agent Model of Environmental Liability | 121 |
| 1. | Determination of the Optimal Liability Rule..... | 124 |
| 2. | Policy Implications of the Simplified Model..... | 130 |
| V. | Scope and Limitations of the Theoretical Analysis — Implications for the Liability Debate | 132 |
| 1. | Limitations of the Theoretical Framework | 132 |
| 2. | Summary of the Theoretical Results..... | 138 |
| E. | The Economic Consequences of the German Environmental Liability Act — Empirical Evidence for the Chemical Industry .. | 141 |
| I. | The Event Study Methodology | 143 |
| 1. | Normal Returns | 144 |
| 2. | Estimation Period and Event Period | 145 |
| 3. | Event Date Uncertainty | 147 |
| 4. | Multivariate Regression Models..... | 148 |
| II. | Previous Event Studies on Environmental Policy Issues | 150 |
| 1. | The Role of Environmental Regulation..... | 151 |
| 2. | The Impact of Environmental Litigation | 153 |
| 3. | Environmental Accidents | 154 |
| III. | Stock Market Response to the UmweltHG in the German Chemical Industry | 155 |
| 1. | The Data | 155 |
| 2. | The Legislative Process | 157 |
| 3. | The Impact of Environmental Liability | 159 |
| 4. | Evaluation of the Regression Results | 165 |
| IV. | Concluding Remarks | 171 |
| F. | Summary and Conclusions..... | 173 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Appendix | 178 |
| I. Glossary of the Legal Terminology | 178 |
| II. Provisions of German Legislation Relevant to Environmental Liability | 179 |
| 1. The Civil Code (Bürgerliches Gesetzbuch (BGB)) | 179 |
| 2. Water Resources Act (WHG) | 180 |
| 3. Federal Air Pollution Control Act (BImSchG) | 180 |
| 4. Environmental Liability Act (UmweltHG) | 181 |
| III. EU Directive on Civil Liability for Damage Caused by Waste as Amended | 185 |
| IV. Comparative Statics of the Multidimensional Pollution Control Model under the Conditional Causality Presumption ... | 190 |
| 1. Costs of Precaution | 191 |
| 2. Environmental Risk | 192 |
| 3. Redefinition of the Standard of Proper Operation | 193 |
| V. Limitations of the First-Order Approach | 194 |
| VI. Derivation of Some Properties of the Optimal Liability Rule.... | 196 |
| VII. Monitoring Pollution Control Activities in the General Model | 198 |
| VIII. Risk Aversion on the Part of the Policy-Maker | 200 |
| IX. Tables | 201 |
| References | 203 |
| Index | 222 |

List of Tables

| | |
|---|-----|
| Table 1 — Criminal Offences against the Environment, 1981–1993 | 21 |
| Table 2 — The Structure of Environmental Law in Germany..... | 23 |
| Table 3 — Selected Statutory Instruments | 24 |
| Table 4 — The UmweltHG in the Light of the Casuistics of Environmental Pollution | 44 |
| Table 5 — The Effects of Incomplete Information on Bargaining Efficiency..... | 73 |
| Table 6 — Pareto-optimal Allocation of Environmental Risk..... | 114 |
| Table 7 — Summary of Previous Event Studies on Environmental Issues..... | 152 |
| Table 8 — Calendar of Events, November 1986–January 1991..... | 157 |
| Table 9 — Estimates of the Impact of the Revision of Environmental Liability on the Chemical Industry's Specific Return Component, October 1981–December 1990..... | 162 |
| Table 10 — Estimates of the Impact of the Revision of Environmental Liability on the Chemical Industry's Market-Dependent Return Component, October 1981–December 1990..... | 164 |
| Table 11 — Tests of the Adequacy for the Market Model, 1981–1990 | 167 |
| Table A1 — Selected Federal Environmental Legislation in Germany..... | 201 |
| Table A2 — Firms Included in the Portfolio for the Chemical Industry | 202 |

List of Figures

| | |
|---|-----|
| Figure 1 — Environmental Risk and the Coase Bargaining Solution..... | 62 |
| Figure 2 — Different Types of Stochastic Influence Factors..... | 80 |
| Figure 3 — Causality Presumption under the UmweltHG..... | 84 |
| Figure 4 — Imperfect Observability of Precaution..... | 85 |
| Figure 5 — The Role of the Standard of Proper Operation | 90 |
| Figure 6 — The Role of Differing Degrees of Uncertainty | 92 |
| Figure 7 — Overall Impact of the Causality Presumption in the Multi- dimensional Precaution Case | 98 |
| Figure 8 — Stylised Timing of Liability in a Principal-Agent Model | 104 |
| Figure 9 — The Impact of Precautionary Effort on the Probability Dis- tribution of Environmental Damages..... | 108 |
| Figure 10 — Optimal Liability Share in the Linear Principal-Agent Model..... | 128 |
| Figure 11 — Estimation Period and Event Period | 145 |
| Figure 12 — Rates of Return to the Chemical Industry and the Market Index, 1981:10–1990:12..... | 168 |
| Figure 13 — Relationship between Rates of Return to the Chemical Industry and the Market Index, 1981:10–1986:10..... | 170 |
| Figure 14 — CUSUM Test Statistic for Parameter Stability, 1981–1990 ... | 171 |